Supplementary Information (SI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

Supporting Information

For

Enhancing Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation: Ligand Complementarity in Ternary Cu(II) Complexes

Pranjal Das,^{a,b} Hemrupa Kuilya,^a Swati Basak,^{a,b} Baisali Hazarika^{a,b}, Joydeep Ray,^c Debajit Sarma,^c Diganta Choudhury,^a and Apurba Kalita *^{,a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, B. Borooah College, Guwahati, Assam 781007, India ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781014, Assam, India ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Patna, Patna 801103, Bihar, India

Table of Contents:

| 25 | Fig. S17 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 2 recorded | | | |
|----|---|----|--|--|
| | at different time interval in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) UV-visible | | | |
| | spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 2 recorded at different time interval in | | | |
| | 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 26 | Fig. S18 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 20 | | |
| | solution of complex 3 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 27 | Fig. S19 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 21 | | |
| | solution of complex 4 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 28 | Fig. S20 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 21 | | |
| | solution of complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 29 | Fig. S21 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 22 | | |
| | solution of complex 6 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 30 | Fig. S22 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 22 | | |
| | solution of complex 7 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 31 | Fig. S23 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 23 | | |
| | solution of complex $[Cu(bipy)_2](ClO_4)_2$ in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 32 | Fig. S24 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM | 23 | | |
| | solution of complex $[Cu(phen)_2](CIO_4)_2$ in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 33 | Fig. S25 EPR spectra of (a) Complex 1 and (b) Complex 2 in 0.1 M | 24 | | |
| 24 | neutral phosphate buffer. $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S}^2 \mathbf{f}$ | 24 | | |
| 34 | Fig. 526 Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mN solution of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phoenhote at $100 \text{ mV}c^{-1}$ scen rate. The exact | 24 | | |
| | (b) complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate at 100 mVs scan rate. The onset | | | |
| | potentials for water oxidation located near 1.27 V and 1.31 V vs. NHE for | | | |
| 25 | Complexes 1 and 2, respectively. Fig. S27 (a) Cyclic voltamograms of complex 1 at 20 (block) 20 (red) 40 | 25 | | |
| 35 | Fig. 527 (a) Cyclic Voltaniogranis of complex 1 at 20 (black), 50 (led), 40 (green) 50 (blue) 60 (purple) and 70 (payr) mVs^{-1} scap rates in 0.1 M pautral | 25 | | |
| | (green), 50 (blue), 60 (purple) and 70 (navy) mvs scan rates in 0.1 whether phosphate huffer (b) Plot of In w vs potential of complex 1 for cathodic | | | |
| | phosphale buller. (b) Plot of $-in = 0$ vs. potential of complex 1 for callodic surrent of Cu(II) Cu(I) couple (red dot) anodic surrent of Cu(II) Cu(I) couple | | | |
| | (green dot) 2nd anodic peak (blue dot) and 3rd anodic peak (orange dot) | | | |
| 36 | Fig. S28 (a) Cyclic voltamograms of complex 2 at 20 (black) 30 (red) 40 | 25 | | |
| 50 | (green) 50 (blue) 60 (purple) and 70 (pavy) mVs ⁻¹ scan rates in 0.1 M neutral | 20 | | |
| | phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of $-\ln v$ vs. potential of complex 2 for cathodic | | | |
| | current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple (red dot), anodic current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple | | | |
| | (green dot), 2^{nd} anodic peak (blue dot) and 3^{rd} anodic peak (orange dot). | | | |
| 37 | Fig. S29 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse | 26 | | |
| | voltammograms of complex 1 (blue), and analogous Zn complex, | | | |
| | [Zn(bipy)(HL ₁)](ClO ₄) ₂ (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a | | | |
| | glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt | | | |
| | counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 38 | Fig. S30 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse | 26 | | |
| | voltammograms of complex 2 (blue), and analogous Zn complex, | | | |
| | $[Zn(phen)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$ (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with | | | |
| | a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a | | | |
| | Pt counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 39 | Fig. S31(a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse | 27 | | |
| | voltammograms of ligand HL ₁ , N ⁺ -(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (blue), | | | |
| | and its N ⁻ -methylated form, N ⁻ -(2-aminoethyl)-N1-methylethane-1,2-diamine | | | |
| | (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) | | | |

| | working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, | | |
|----|--|----|--|
| 40 | scan rate 100 mVs ⁻ . | | |
| 40 | Fig. 532 UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 before | 21 | |
| | electrolysis (blue), and during electrolysis (red) at 1.02 V and 1.01 V vs. NHE | | |
| 41 | respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | 20 | |
| 41 | Fig. S33 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 at 0.08 (black), 0.16 | 28 | |
| | (red), 0.24 (green), 0.32 (blue), 0.40 (cyan), 0.48 (magenta), 0.56 (navy), 0.64 | | |
| | (purple), 0.72 (royal), 0.80 (orange) and 0.88 mM (violet) concentration in 0.1 | | |
| | M neutral phosphate buffer. Scan rate: 100 mVs ⁻¹ . (b) Catalytic current at 1.32 | | |
| | V vs. NHE for complex 1 as a function of the catalyst concentration from 0.08 | | |
| | mM to 0.88 mM in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | |
| 42 | Fig. S34 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 2 at 0.08 (black), 0.16 | 28 | |
| | (red), 0.24 (green), 0.32 (blue), 0.40 (cyan), 0.48 (magenta), 0.56 (navy), 0.64 | | |
| | (purple), 0.72 (royal), 0.80 (orange) and 0.88 mM (violet) concentration in 0.1 | | |
| | M neutral phosphate buffer. Scan rate: 100 mVs ⁻¹ . (b) Catalytic current at 1.34 | | |
| | V vs. NHE for complex 2 as a function of the catalyst concentration from 0.08 | | |
| | mM to 0.88 mM in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | |
| 43 | Fig. S35 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 in the range 0.24 to - | 29 | |
| | 0.24 V vs. NHE at 20 (black), 30 (red), 40 (green), 50 (blue), 60 (cyan), 70 | | |
| | (magenta), 80 (navy), 90 (purple) and 100 (royal) mVs ⁻¹ scan rate. (b) | | |
| | Dependence of the peak current for the Cu ^{II} /Cu ^I couple of complex 1 on the | | |
| | square root of scan rate with standard three electrode system in 0.1 M neutral | | |
| | phosphate buffer. $D_{cu} = 12.96 \text{ x } 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$ | | |
| 44 | Fig. S36 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 2 in the range 0.36 to - | 29 | |
| | 0.12 V vs NHE at 20 (black) 30 (red) 40 (green) 50 (blue) 60 (cvan) 70 | | |
| | (magenta), 80 (navy), 90 (purple) and 100 (roval) mVs-1 scan rate. (b) | | |
| | Dependence of the peak current for the Cu^{II}/Cu^{I} couple of complex 2 on the | | |
| | square root of scan rate with standard three electrode system in 0.1 M neutral | | |
| | phosphate buffer $D_{ev} = 11.72 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ | | |
| 45 | Fig. S37 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of 0.5 mM solution of complex 1 in | 30 | |
| | 0.1 M (black) 0.075 M (red) 0.05 M (blue) and 0.025 M (green) neutral | 00 | |
| | phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ (b) Plot of $(i_1/i_2)^2$ vs different | | |
| | concentration of buffer for 0.5 mM solution of complex 1 | | |
| 46 | Fig S38 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of 0.5 mM solution of complex 2 in | 30 | |
| 40 | 0.1 M (black) 0.075 M (red) 0.05 M (blue) and 0.025 M (green) neutral | 50 | |
| | phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ (b) Plot of (jcat/id) ² vs different | | |
| | concentration of buffer for 0.5 mM solution of complex 2 | | |
| 47 | Fig S30 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 with currents | 31 | |
| | normalized to the square root of the scan rates 30 (black) 40 (red) 50 (green) | 51 | |
| | and 70 (blue) mVs ⁻¹ measured in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Cyclic | | |
| | and 70 (blue) mys measured m 0.1 W neutral phosphate burlet. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of complay 2 with currents normalized to the square root of | | |
| | the scen rates 20 (block) 30 (red) 60 (groon) 70 (blue) and 00 (purple) mVs ⁻¹ | | |
| | measured in 0.1 M neutral phoenhote buffer | | |
| 10 | Fig S40 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complay 1 and (b) complay 2 in | 21 | |
| 4ð | Fig. 540 Cyclic voltaninograms of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in $H \cap (\text{Red})$ and $D \cap (\text{Pleak}) \cap 1$ M phase bate buffer recorded with a closer. | 31 | |
| | $\Pi_2 \cup (\text{Keu})$ and $D_2 \cup (\text{Diack}) \cup 1$ ivi phosphale buller recorded with a glassy | | |
| | carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCI reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode S_{abs} rote 100 mVs^{-1} | | |
| 40 | electrode. Scan rate, 100 m v s . $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{I}$ | 22 | |
| 49 | Fig. 541 Cyclic voltammograms (/ sweeps) of complex 3 recorded in 0.1 | 32 | |
| | M neutral phosphate buffer at scan rate 100 mVs ⁻ . Black line corresponds to | | |

| | sweeps 1-3, red line for sweeps 4-5 and blue line for sweeps 6-7. | |
|----|--|----|
| 50 | Fig. S42Cyclic voltammograms of complex 3 recorded before (blue) and | 32 |
| | after (red) bulk electrolysis at 1.35 V vs. NHE in 0.1 M neutral phosphate | |
| | buffer using glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm ²), | |
| | Ag/AgCl as reference electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 | |
| | mVs^{-1} . | |
| 51 | Fig. S43 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) | 33 |
| | ITO working electrode after bulk electrolysis of complex 3 in 0.1 M neutral | |
| | phosphate buffer. | |
| 52 | Fig. S44 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex | 33 |
| | $[Cu(bipy)_2](ClO_4)_2$ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate | |
| | of 100 mVs ⁻¹ . The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral $1 - 1 - 1 = 0$ | |
| | phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻ .(b) | |
| | Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex $[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{2}]$ (clock the solution of complex based of the solution of complex based of the solution of | |
| | $[Cu(dipy)_2](CiO_4)_2$ (diversion in 0.1 Wi neutral phosphate duffer. The fed line indicates the differential pulse voltermore of 0.1 M neutral phosphate | |
| | huffer in absence of complex | |
| 52 | Fig S45 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex | 3/ |
| 55 | $[Cu(nben)_{\alpha}](ClO_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate | 34 |
| | of 100 mVs ⁻¹ . The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral | |
| | phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs^{-1} .(b) | |
| | Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex | |
| | $[Cu(phen)_2](ClO_4)_2$ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line | |
| | indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate | |
| | buffer in absence of complex. | |
| 54 | Fig. S46 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse | 34 |
| | voltammograms of ligands HL ₁ , N ¹ -(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (blue), | |
| | HL ₂ , bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amine (red) and L ₃ , 2,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)pyridine | |
| | (black) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) | |
| | working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, | |
| | scan rate 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | |
| 55 | Fig. S47 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 4 (blue | 35 |
| | line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻ . The red line | |
| | indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buller in $c_{\rm res}$ and $c_{\rm $ | |
| | absence of complex at a scall rate of 100 mivs .(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 4 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral | |
| | phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of | |
| | 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex | |
| 56 | Fig. S48 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 5 (blue) | 35 |
| 20 | line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ . The red line | 00 |
| | indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in | |
| | absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs^{-1} .(b) Differential pulse | |
| | voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 5 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral | |
| | phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of | |
| | 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex. | |
| 57 | Fig. S49(a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 6 (blue | 36 |
| | line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ . The red line | |
| | indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in | |
| | absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ .(b) Differential pulse | |

| | voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 6 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral | | | |
|-----|---|----|--|--|
| | phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of | | | |
| | 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex. | | | |
| 58 | Fig. S50(a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 7 (blue | 36 | | |
| | line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ . The red line | | | |
| | indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in | | | |
| | absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs ⁻¹ .(b) Differential pulse | | | |
| | voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 7 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral | | | |
| | phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of | | | |
| | 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex. | | | |
| 59 | Fig. S51 Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of (a) complex 4 and | 37 | | |
| | (b) complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate at 100 mVs^{-1} scan rate. The onset | | | |
| | potentials for water oxidation located near 1.72 V and 1.73 V vs. NHE for | | | |
| | complexes 4 and 5 respectively. | | | |
| 60 | Fig. S52(a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 4 at 4 (black), 6 (red), 8 | 37 | | |
| | (green), 10 (blue), 12 (purple) and 14 (violet) mVs-1 scan rates in 0.1 M neutral | | | |
| | phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of i_{cat}/i_d vs. v ^{-1/2} at each scan rate for complex 4. | | | |
| 61 | Fig. S53(a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 5 at 4 (black), 6 (red), 8 | 38 | | |
| | (green), 10 (blue), 12 (purple) and 14 (violet) mVs-1 scan rates in 0.1 M neutral | | | |
| | phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of i_{cat}/i_d vs. v ^{-1/2} at each scan rate for complex 5. | | | |
| 62 | Fig. S54Plot of current density vs. time recorded during 4 hour of bulk | 38 | | |
| | electrolysis with (blue line) and without (red line) (a) complex 1 and (b) | | | |
| | complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using ITO working electrode (area | | | |
| | 4 cm ²), Ag/AgCl reference electrode and Pt counter electrode at 1.32 V vs. | | | |
| (3) | NHE and 1.34 V vs. NHE respectively. | | | |
| 63 | Fig. S55 Plot of current density vs. time recorded during 4 hour of bulk | 39 | | |
| | electrolysis with (blue line) and without (red line) (a) complex 4 and (b) | | | |
| | complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using 110 working electrode (area 4 cm^2). A $\alpha/4 \alpha \text{Cl}$ reference electrode and Pt sources electrode at 1.72 M we | | | |
| | 4 cm), Ag/AgCI reference electrode and Pt counter electrode at 1.75 v vs. | | | |
| 64 | Fig S56 Overgen evolution during the bulk electrolysic with an ITO | 20 | | |
| 04 | Fig. 550 Oxygen evolution during the burk electrolysis with all 110 electrode (area = 4 cm^2) for (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 at 1.72 and 1.75 | 39 | | |
| | Election $(a) = 4 \text{ cm}$ for $(a) = 4 \text{ cm}$ (b) complex 5 at 1.75 and 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively for 4 hour with (blue line) and without copper | | | |
| | complexes (red line). The black line indicates the theoretical amount of oxygen | | | |
| | as assumed by charge passed with 100 % faradic efficiency | | | |
| 65 | Fig. S57 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 | 40 | | |
| 05 | recorded before (red) and after (blue) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.32 V and | νF | | |
| | 1.34 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using glassy | | | |
| | carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm^2). Ag/AgCl as reference | | | |
| | electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 66 | Fig. S58 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 | 40 | | |
| | recorded before (blue) and after (red) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.73 V and | | | |
| | 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using glassy | | | |
| | carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm ²), Ag/AgCl as reference | | | |
| | electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 67 | Fig. S59UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 recorded | 41 | | |
| | before (red) and after (blue) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.32 V and 1.34 V vs. | | | |
| | NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 68 | Fig. S60 UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 recorded | 41 | | |

| | before (blue) and after (red) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.73 V and 1.75 V vs. | | | |
|----|--|-----------|--|--|
| | NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 69 | Fig. S61 Cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.1 M neutral phosphate | 42 | | |
| | buffer in the absence of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 with fresh (red) and | | | |
| | used (blue) ITO working electrode (area 4 cm ²), a Ag/AgCl reference electrode | | | |
| | and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate. 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 70 | Fig. S62 Cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.1 M neutral phosphate | 42 | | |
| | buffer in the absence of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 with fresh (blue) and | | | |
| | used (red) ITO working electrode (area 4 cm ²), a Ag/AgCl reference electrode | | | |
| | and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate, 100 mVs ⁻¹ . | | | |
| 71 | Fig. S63 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) | 43 | | |
| | ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 1 and (c) | | | |
| | ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 2 in 0.1 M | | | |
| | neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 72 | Fig. S64 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) | 44 | | |
| | ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 4 and (c) | | | |
| | ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 5 in 0.1 M | | | |
| | neutral phosphate buffer. | | | |
| 73 | Fig. S65 (a) Plot of charge vs. time recorded during bulk electrolysis of | 45 | | |
| | complex 4 at 0.0 mM (black), 0.1 mM (red), 0.2 mM (green), 0.3 mM (blue), | | | |
| | 0.4 mM (purple) and 0.5 mM (violet) concentration at 1.73 V vs. NHE. (b) Plot | | | |
| | of total charge vs. concentration of complex 4 after 1 hour of electrolysis. | | | |
| 74 | Fig. S66 (a) Plot of charge vs. time recorded during bulk electrolysis of | 45 | | |
| | complex 5 at 0.0 mM (black), 0.1 mM (red), 0.2 mM (green), 0.3 mM (blue), | | | |
| | 0.4 mM (purple) and 0.5 mM (violet) concentration at 1.75 V vs. NHE. (b) Plot | | | |
| | of total charge vs. concentration of complex 5 after 1 hour of electrolysis. | | | |
| 75 | Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes | 46 | | |
| | 1 and 2 | | | |
| 76 | Table S2 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes | 47 | | |
| | 3 and 4 | | | |
| 77 | Table S3 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes | 48 | | |
| | 5 and 6 | | | |
| 78 | Table S4 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes | 49 | | |
| | $[Zn(bipy)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$ and $[Zn(phen)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$ | | | |
| 79 | References: | 50 | | |

Experimental Procedures:

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and were of reagent grade. UV-visible spectra were recorded on Cary-60 UV-Visible spectrophotometer. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Cary 630 spectrophotometer with sample prepared as KBr pellets. The magnetic moment of the complex were measured on a Cambridge magnetic balance. Conductivity measurements were recorded using a Eutech instrument CON 700. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Thermo Scientific Flashmart Analyzer. Electrochemical measurements were made using CHI 7035E bipotentiostat. Glassy carbon working electrode, Pt wire auxiliary electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode were used in a three-electrode configuration. A Carl Zeiss Supra 55 electron microscope was used for Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) studies after Au coating. A 20 kV electron beam used for collection of EDX spectra and atomic mapping images. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected from 5 to 50^0 2 Θ using a Bruker-D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer.

The single crystal data were collected on a Bruker Smart Apex Duo diffractometer, utilizing MoK_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structures were initially solved with the direct method and further refined by employing full-matrix least squares based on F², using SHELXL-2014/7 software integrated into Apex 3 suite.^{S1} All the hydrogen positions were initially located in the difference Fourier maps, and for the final refinement, the hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically ideal positions and refined in the riding mode.^{S2} Final refinement included atomic positions for all the atoms, anisotropic thermal parameters for all the non-hydrogen atoms, and isotropic thermal parameters for all the hydrogen atoms were carried out using Olex2 1.2 package of programs.^{S3,S4} Structural illustrations have been drawn with ORTEP-3 for Windows. CCDC: 2401171, 2401172, 2435313, 2435314, 2435315, 2435316, 2435317, and 2435318 contains the crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Electron count in redox peak using Laviron equations:

To obtain the number of electrons transferred in a redox peak, Laviron equations are used. The Laviron equations are given as below.

$$E_{p,c} = E^{\Theta} - (\mathbf{RT}/\alpha nF) \ln(\alpha nv/\mathbf{RT}k) = \mathbf{C} - (\mathbf{RT}/\alpha nF) \ln(v)$$
(1)

$$E_{p,a} = E^{\Theta} + \left[\mathrm{RT}/(1-\alpha)n\mathrm{F} \right] \ln\left[(1-\alpha)n\nu/\mathrm{RT}k \right] = \mathrm{C} + \left[\mathrm{RT}/(1-\alpha)n\mathrm{F} \right] \ln(\nu) \tag{2}$$

$$E_{p/2} = C + [RT/(1-\alpha)nF] \ln(v)$$
 (3)

Where, E^{Θ} is the standard potential, $E_{p,c}$ is the potential for cathodic peak, $E_{p,a}$ is the potential for anodic peak, R is the ideal gas constant, T is temperature, F is Faraday constant, k is the rate constant of the electrochemical reaction (s⁻¹) and C is the constant.

The α value is calculated using equation (1) and equation (2) for a reversible couple from the slope of $E_{p,a}vs$. -ln v and $E_{p,c}vs$. -ln v. With the calculated value of α , number of electron (*n*) can be calculated. For the irreversible peak, number of electron (*n*) can be calculated using equation (3) from the slope of $E_{1/2}vs$. -ln v. The value of $E_{p,a}$, $E_{p,c}$ and $E_{1/2}$ are obtained from the cyclic voltammograms of the complexes at different scan rates.

Calculation of Faradic efficiency:

The theoretically calculated amount of oxygen during Bulk Electrolysis (BE) experiment can be obtained using the following relation:

Theoretical yield of oxygen (in mol
$$L^{-1}$$
) = (Q / 4FV)

Where,

Q = Total charge passed during Bulk Electrolysis (BE) experiment in coulomb.

F = Faraday constant

V= Volume of the complex solution used for bulk electrolysis (BE) experiment in Litter

Synthesis of [Cu(bipy)₂](ClO₄)₂

2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) (0.50 g, 3.2 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL methanol. To this solution Copper (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, $[Cu(H_2O)_6](ClO_4)_2$ (0.59 g, 1.6 mmol) dissolved in 10 ml methanol was added drop wise which results appearance of blue colour precipitate. After 1 hour, the resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dissolved in methanol-water mixture and kept for crystallization at room temperature which results blue crystalline compounds. Yield: 0.97 g (~88%). Elemental Analyses: Calcd. for C₂₀H₁₆N₄Cl₂O₈Cu: C, 41.79; H, 2.89; N, 9.75. Found (%): C, 41.77; H, 2.86; N, 9.78. FT-IR (KBr): 3438, 2909, 1612, 1448, 1082 cm⁻¹. Molar conductance: 207 Scm²mol⁻¹ (acetonitrile). The observed magnetic moment is found to be 1.68 µB.

Synthesis of [Cu(Phen)₂](ClO₄)₂

1,10-phenanthroline (phen) (0.50 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL methanol. To this solution Copper (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, $[Cu(H_2O)_6](ClO_4)_2$ (0.47 g, 1.25 mmol) dissolved in 10 ml methanol was added drop wise which results appearance of blue colour precipitate. After 1 hour, the resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dissolved in methanol-water mixture and kept for crystallization at room temperature which results blue crystalline compounds. Yield: 1.05 g (~90%). Elemental Analyses: Calcd. for C₂₄H₁₆N₄Cl₂O₈Cu: C, 46.28; H, 2.59; N, 9.00. Found (%): C, 46.30; H, 2.56; N, 8.98. FT-IR (KBr): 3451, 2915, 1523, 1421, 1096 cm⁻¹. Molar conductance: 206 Scm²mol⁻¹ (acetonitrile). The observed magnetic moment is found to be 1.69 μ B.

Synthesis of [Zn(bipy)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂

2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) (0.50 g, 3.2 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL methanol and to this solution N¹-(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (HL₁) (0.33g, 3.2 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of methanol was added. To the resulting solution, Zinc (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, [Zn(H₂O)₆](ClO₄)₂ (1.19 g, 3.2 mmol) dissolved in 15 ml methanol was added drop wise which results appearance of white precipitate. After 1 hour, the resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dissolved in methanolwater mixture and kept for crystallization at room temperature which results colourless crystalline compounds. Yield: 1.16 g (~93%). Elemental Analyses: Calcd. for C₁₄H₂₁N₅Cl₂O₈Zn: C, 32.11; H, 4.04; N, 13.37. Found (%): C, 32.13; H, 4.04; N, 13.35. FT-IR (KBr): 3275, 3180, 2922, 1605, 1428, 1143, 1109, 1082 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of [Zn(phen)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂

1,10-phenanthroline (phen) (0.50 g, 2.5 mmol) dissolved in 10 mL methanol and to this solution N¹-(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (HL₁) (0.26g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of methanol was added. To the resulting solution, Zinc (II) perchlorate hexahydrate, $[Zn(H_2O)_6](ClO_4)_2$ (0.94g, 2.5mmol) dissolved in 15 ml methanol was added drop wise which results appearance of white precipitate. After 1 hour, the resulting mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dissolved in methanolwater mixture and kept for crystallization at room temperature which results colourless crystalline compounds. Yield: 1.20 g (~92%). Elemental Analyses: Calcd. for $C_{16}H_{21}N_5Cl_2O_8Zn$: C, 35.09; H, 3.87; N, 12.79. Found (%): C, 35.11; H, 3.84; N, 12.81. FT-IR (KBr): 3424, 3207, 2929, 1585, 1517, 1428, 1150, 1068 cm⁻¹.

Controlled potential electrolysis of complexes 4 and 5

To measure Faradaic efficiency of the catalytic reactions, oxygen evolution was investigated through controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) at 1.73 and 1.75 V vs. NHE for complexes 4 and 5 respectively using a large surface-area ITO working electrode (4 cm²) in a gas-tight cell (Fig. S55). Oxygen formed in the solution was measured using a calibrated Ocean Optics FOXY probe. Faradaic efficiency close to 87% and 82% (Fig. S56) were estimated for complexes 4 and 5 respectively during 4 hour of bulk electrolysis experiment. Cyclic voltammograms and UV-visible spectra of the complexes recorded before and after the bulk electrolysis experiment were almost identical (Fig. S58 and S60). Cyclic voltammograms recorded with the ITO working electrode before and after bulk electrolysis experiment in the absence of catalyst were essentially identical (Fig. S62). FE-SEM and EDX data of fresh and used ITO working electrode shows no evidence of deposition of the electroactive species on the working electrode surface during long term electrolysis experiment for the complexes 4 and 5 (Fig. S64). The total charge passed after 1 h of electrolysis under the same conditions varied linearly with the initial concentration of complexes (Fig. S65 and S66) with no evidence of an induction period at an early stage of electrolysis, providing evidence for homogeneous single site water oxidation catalysis.



Fig. S1 ORTEP diagram (50% thermal ellipsoid plot) of (a) complex 3 [the N1 and C2 atoms are modelled as disordered] and (b) complex 4. Counter ions, hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are removed for clarity.



Fig. S2 ORTEP diagram (50% thermal ellipsoid plot) of (a) complex 5 and (b) complex 6.Counter ions, hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are removed for clarity.



Fig. S3 ORTEP diagram (50% thermal ellipsoid plot) of (a) complex [Zn(bipy)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂ and (b) complex [Zn(phen)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂. Counter ions, hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are removed for clarity.



Fig. S4 FT-IR spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in KBr.



Fig. S5 FT-IR spectra of (a) complex 3 and (b) complex 4 in KBr.



Fig. S6 FT-IR spectra of (a) complex 5 and (b) complex 6 in KBr.



Fig. S7 FT-IR spectra of (a) complex 7 and (b) complex [Zn(bipy)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂ in KBr.



Fig. S8 FT-IR spectra of (a) complex $[Zn(phen)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$ and (b) complex $[Cu(bipy)_2](ClO_4)_2$ in KBr.



Fig. S9 FT-IR spectrum of complex [Cu(phen)₂](ClO₄)₂ in KBr.



Fig. S10 PXRD patterns of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2.



Fig. S11 PXRD patterns of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5.



Fig. S12 PXRD patterns of complex 6.



Fig. S13 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 1 (red line) and complex 2 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) UV-visible spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 1 (red line) and complex 2 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S14 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 1 in water (red line) and in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer (blue line). (b) UV-visible spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 1 in water (red line) and in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer (blue line)



Fig. S15 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 2 in water (red line) and in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer (blue line). (b) UV-visible spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 2 in water (red line) and in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer (blue line)



Fig. S16 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 1 recorded at different time interval in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) UV-visible spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 1 recorded at different time interval in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S17 (a) UV-visible spectra of 1 mM solution of complex 2 recorded at different time interval in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) UV-visible spectra of 0.05 mM solution of complex 2 recorded at different time interval in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S18 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM solution of complex 3 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S19 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM solution of complex 4 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S20 UV-visible spectra of (**a**) 1 mM solution and (**b**) 0.05 mM solution of complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S21 UV-visible spectra of (**a**) 1 mM solution and (**b**) 0.05 mM solution of complex **6** in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S22 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM solution of complex 7 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S23 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM solution of complex [Cu(bipy)₂](ClO₄)₂ in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S24 UV-visible spectra of (a) 1 mM solution and (b) 0.05 mM solution of complex [Cu(phen)₂](ClO₄)₂ in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S25 EPR spectra of (a) Complex 1 and (b) Complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S26 Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate at 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate. The onset potentials for water oxidation located near 1.27 V and 1.31 V vs. NHE for complexes 1 and 2, respectively.



Fig. S27 (a) Cyclic voltamograms of complex 1 at 20 (black), 30 (red), 40 (green), 50 (blue), 60 (purple) and 70 (navy) mVs⁻¹ scan rates in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of -ln υ vs. potential of complex 1 for cathodic current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple (red dot), anodic current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple (green dot), 2nd anodic peak (blue dot) and 3rd anodic peak (orange dot).



Fig. S28 (a) Cyclic voltamograms of complex 2 at 20 (black), 30 (red), 40 (green), 50 (blue), 60 (purple) and 70 (navy) mVs⁻¹ scan rates in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of -ln υ vs. potential of complex 2 for cathodic current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple (red dot), anodic current of Cu(II)-Cu(I) couple (green dot), 2nd anodic peak (blue dot) and 3rd anodic peak (orange dot).



Fig. S29 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse voltammograms of complex 1 (blue), and analogous Zn complex, [Zn(bipy)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂ (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S30 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse voltammograms of complex
2 (blue), and analogous Zn complex, [Zn(phen)(HL₁)](ClO₄)₂ (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S31 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse voltammograms of ligand HL₁, N¹-(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (blue), and its N¹-methylated form, N¹-(2-aminoethyl)-N¹-methylethane-1,2-diamine (red) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S32 UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 before electrolysis (blue), and during electrolysis (red) at 1.02 V and 1.01 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S33 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 at 0.08 (black), 0.16 (red), 0.24 (green), 0.32 (blue), 0.40 (cyan), 0.48 (magenta), 0.56 (navy), 0.64 (purple), 0.72 (royal), 0.80 (orange) and 0.88 mM (violet) concentration in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. Scan rate: 100 mVs⁻¹. (b) Catalytic current at 1.32 V vs. NHE for complex 1 as a function of the catalyst concentration from 0.08 mM to 0.88 mM in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S34 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 2 at 0.08 (black), 0.16 (red), 0.24 (green), 0.32 (blue), 0.40 (cyan), 0.48 (magenta), 0.56 (navy), 0.64 (purple), 0.72 (royal), 0.80 (orange) and 0.88 mM (violet) concentration in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. Scan rate: 100 mVs⁻¹. (b) Catalytic current at 1.34 V vs. NHE for complex 2 as a function of the catalyst concentration from 0.08 mM to 0.88 mM in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S35 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 in the range 0.24 to -0.24 V vs. NHE at 20 (black), 30 (red), 40 (green), 50 (blue), 60 (cyan), 70 (magenta), 80 (navy), 90 (purple) and 100 (royal) mVs⁻¹ scan rate. (b) Dependence of the peak current for the Cu^{II}/Cu^I couple of complex 1 on the square root of scan rate with standard three electrode system in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. $D_{cu} = 12.96 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$



Fig. S36 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 2 in the range 0.36 to -0.12 V vs. NHE at 20 (black), 30 (red), 40 (green), 50 (blue), 60 (cyan), 70 (magenta), 80 (navy), 90 (purple) and 100 (royal) mVs⁻¹ scan rate. (b) Dependence of the peak current for the Cu^{II}/Cu^I couple of complex 2 on the square root of scan rate with standard three electrode system in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. $D_{cu} = 11.72 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$



Fig. S37 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of 0.5 mM solution of complex 1 in 0.1 M (black), 0.075 M (red), 0.05 M (blue) and 0.025 M (green) neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. (b) Plot of (i_{cat}/i_d)² vs. different concentration of buffer for 0.5 mM solution of complex 1.



Fig. S38 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of 0.5 mM solution of complex 2 in 0.1 M (black), 0.075 M (red), 0.05 M (blue) and 0.025 M (green) neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. (b) Plot of (i_{cat}/i_d)² vs. different concentration of buffer for 0.5 mM solution of complex 2.



Fig. S39 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 1 with currents normalized to the square root of the scan rates 30 (black), 40 (red), 50 (green) and 70 (blue) mVs⁻¹ measured in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 2 with currents normalized to the square root of the scan rates 20 (black), 30 (red), 60 (green), 70 (blue) and 90 (purple) mVs⁻¹ measured in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer



Fig. S40 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in H_2O (Red) and D_2O (Black) 0.1 M phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs^{-1} .



Fig. S41 Cyclic voltammograms (7 sweeps) of complex **3** recorded in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹. Black line corresponds to sweeps 1-3, red line for sweeps 4-5 and blue line for sweeps 6-7.



Fig. S42 Cyclic voltammograms of complex **3** recorded before (blue) and after (red) bulk electrolysis at 1.35 V vs. NHE in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm²), Ag/AgCl as reference electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S43 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) ITO working electrode after bulk electrolysis of complex **3** in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S44 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex [Cu(bipy)₂](ClO₄)₂ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex [Cu(bipy)₂](ClO₄)₂ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex.



Fig. S45 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex [Cu(phen)₂](ClO₄)₂ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex [Cu(phen)₂](ClO₄)₂ (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex.



Fig. S46 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) Differential Pulse voltammograms of ligands HL₁, N¹-(2-aminoethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (blue), HL₂, bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amine (red) and L₃, 2,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)pyridine (black) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer recorded with a glassy carbon (GC) working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S47 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 4 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 4 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S48 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 5 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 5 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S49 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 6 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 6 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S50 (a) Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 7 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹. The red line indicates the cyclic voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in absence of complex at a scan rate of 100 mVs⁻¹.(b) Differential pulse voltammogram of 1 mM solution of complex 7 (blue line) in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. The red line indicates the differential pulse voltammogram of 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S51 Cyclic voltammogram of 1 mM solution of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate at 100 mVs⁻¹ scan rate. The onset potentials for water oxidation located near 1.72 V and 1.73 V vs. NHE for complexes 4 and 5 respectively.



Fig. S52 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 4 at 4 (black), 6 (red), 8 (green), 10 (blue), 12 (purple) and 14 (violet) mVs⁻¹ scan rates in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of i_{cat}/i_d vs. v^{-1/2} at each scan rate for complex 4.



Fig. S53 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of complex 5 at 4 (black), 6 (red), 8 (green), 10 (blue), 12 (purple) and 14 (violet) mVs⁻¹ scan rates in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer. (b) Plot of i_{cat}/i_d vs. v^{-1/2} at each scan rate for complex 5.



Fig. S54 Plot of current density vs. time recorded during 4 hour of bulk electrolysis with (blue line) and without (red line) (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using ITO working electrode (area 4 cm²), Ag/AgCl reference electrode and Pt counter electrode at 1.32 V vs. NHE and 1.34 V vs. NHE respectively.



Fig. S55 Plot of current density vs. time recorded during 4 hour of bulk electrolysis with (blue line) and without (red line) (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using ITO working electrode (area 4 cm²), Ag/AgCl reference electrode and Pt counter electrode at 1.73 V vs. NHE and 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively.



Fig. S56 Oxygen evolution during the bulk electrolysis with an ITO electrode (area = 4 cm²) for (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 at 1.73 and 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively for 4 hour with (blue line) and without copper complexes (red line). The black line indicates the theoretical amount of oxygen as assumed by charge passed with 100 % faradic efficiency.



Fig. S57 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 recorded before (red) and after (blue) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.32 V and 1.34 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm²), Ag/AgCl as reference electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S58 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 recorded before (blue) and after (red) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.73 V and 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer using glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode (area 0.07 cm²), Ag/AgCl as reference electrode and Pt as counter electrode. Scan rate, 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S59 UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 recorded before (red) and after (blue) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.32 V and 1.34 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S60 UV-visible spectra of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 recorded before (blue) and after (red) 4 hour of bulk electrolysis at 1.73 V and 1.75 V vs. NHE respectively in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S61 Cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in the absence of (a) complex 1 and (b) complex 2 with fresh (red) and used (blue) ITO working electrode (area 4 cm²), a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate. 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S62 Cyclic voltammograms recorded in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer in the absence of (a) complex 4 and (b) complex 5 with fresh (blue) and used (red) ITO working electrode (area 4 cm²), a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt counter electrode, scan rate, 100 mVs⁻¹.



Fig. S63 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 1 and (c) ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 2 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S64 FE-SEM and EDX plot of (a) fresh ITO working electrode (b) ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 4 and (c) ITO working electrode after 4 hour of bulk electrolysis of complex 5 in 0.1 M neutral phosphate buffer.



Fig. S65 (a) Plot of charge vs. time recorded during bulk electrolysis of complex 4 at 0.0 mM (black), 0.1 mM (red), 0.2 mM (green), 0.3 mM (blue), 0.4 mM (purple) and 0.5 mM (violet) concentration at 1.73 V vs. NHE. (b) Plot of total charge vs. concentration of complex 4 after 1 hour of electrolysis.



Fig. S66 (a) Plot of charge vs. time recorded during bulk electrolysis of complex 5 at 0.0 mM (black), 0.1 mM (red), 0.2 mM (green), 0.3 mM (blue), 0.4 mM (purple) and 0.5 mM (violet) concentration at 1.75 V vs. NHE. (b) Plot of total charge vs. concentration of complex 5 after 1 hour of electrolysis.

| | Complex 1 | Complex 2 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Empirical formula | $CuC_{14}H_{21}N_5Cl_2O_8$ | $CuC_{16}H_{21}N_5Cl_2O_8$ |
| Formula weight | 521.80 | 545.83 |
| Wavelength(λ) | 0.71073 Å | 0.71073 Å |
| Temperature (K) | 273(2) | 273(2) |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P 2 ₁ /c | P-1 |
| a[Å] | 11.2765 (14) | 8.135 (2) |
| b[Å] | 12.4838 (17) | 10.258 (3) |
| c[Å] | 14.6886 (18) | 13.835 (4) |
| α[°] | 90 | 98.260 (7) |
| β[°] | 90.381 (3) | 106.413 (7) |
| γ[°] | 90 | 91.759 (8) |
| Volume[Å ³] | 2067.7 (5) | 1092.9 (6) |
| Ζ | 4 | 2 |
| Density [g/cm ³] | 1.676 | 1.659 |
| Abs. coeff. [mm ⁻¹] | 1.367 | 1.298 |
| F(000) | 1068.0 | 558.0 |
| Reflections collected | 34660 | 26330 |
| R _{int} | 0.1082 | 0.0709 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3639/0/271 | 3900/100/347 |
| Min. 20/° | 4.282 | 5.236 |
| Max. 20/° | 49.994 | 50.276 |
| | $-13 \le h \le 13,$ | $-9 \le h \le 9,$ |
| Ranges (h, k, l) | $-14 \le k \le 14,$ | $-12 \le k \le 12,$ |
| | -17 ≤ 1 ≤ 17 | $-16 \le 1 \le 16$ |
| Complete to 2θ (%) | 100.0 | 99.6 |
| Goof (F ²) | 1.036 | 1.052 |
| Final R indices [I>=2 σ (I)] | $R_1 = 0.0435, wR_2 = 0.1064$ | $R_1 = 0.0409, wR_2 = 0.0877$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0536, wR_2 = 0.1147$ | $R_1 = 0.0592, wR_2 = 0.0972$ |
| CCDC No. | 2401171 | 2401172 |

 $Table \, S1 \quad {\rm Crystal \ data \ and \ structure \ refinement \ parameters \ for \ Complexes \ 1 \ and \ 2}$

| | Complex 3 | Complex 4 |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Empirical formula | $CuC_4H_{12}N_3Br_2$ | $CuC_{22}H_{21}N_5Cl_2O_8$ |
| Formula weight | 325.52 | 617.88 |
| Wavelength(λ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Temperature (K) | 273(2) | 293(2) |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | Pmmn | P 2 ₁ /c |
| a[Å] | 8.702(4) | 11.7537(16) |
| b[Å] | 6.252(3) | 9.5153(13) |
| c[Å] | 8.543(3) | 23.375(3) |
| α[°] | 90 | 90 |
| β[°] | 90 | 100.793(6) |
| γ[°] | 90 | 90 |
| Volume[Å ³] | 464.8(3) | 2568.0(6) |
| Ζ | 2 | 4 |
| Density [g/cm ³] | 2.326 | 1.598 |
| Abs. coeff. [mm ⁻¹] | 10.883 | 1.115 |
| F(000) | 312.0 | 1260.0 |
| Reflections collected | 8389 | 64490 |
| R _{int} | 0.0589 | 0.0497 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 497/1/41 | 4507 / 0 / 343 |
| Min. 20/° | 4.768 | 4.510 |
| Max. 20/° | 50.434 | 49.996 |
| | $-10 \le h \le 10$ | $-13 \le h \le 13$ |
| Ranges (h, k, l) | $-7 \le k \le 7$ | $-11 \le k \le 11$ |
| | $-10 \le l \le 10$ | $-27 \le l \le 27$ |
| Complete to 2θ (%) | 99.8 | 100.0 |
| $Goof(F^2)$ | 1.153 | 1.081 |
| Final R indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0257, wR_2 = 0.0565$ | $R_1 = 0.0380, wR_2 = 0.0983$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0308, wR_2 = \overline{0.0585}$ | $R_1 = 0.0480, wR_2 = 0.1066$ |
| CCDC No. | 2435313 | 2435314 |

Table S2Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes 3 and 4

| | Complex 5 | Complex 6 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Empirical formula | $CuC_{24}H_{21}N_5 Cl_2O_8$ | $CuC_{25}H_{19}N_5Cl_2O_8$ |
| Formula weight | 641.90 | 651.89 |
| Wavelength(λ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Temperature (K) | 296(2) | 293(2) |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P2_1/n$ | P -1 |
| a[Å] | 13.234(4) | 10.864(5) |
| b[Å] | 9.107(2) | 15.501(7) |
| c[Å] | 22.063(6) | 15.746(7) |
| α[°] | 90 | 72.293(11) |
| β[°] | 106.596(7) | 88.106(13) |
| γ[°] | 90 | 86.467(12) |
| Volume[Å ³] | 2548.3(12) | 2521(2) |
| Ζ | 4 | 4 |
| Density [g/cm ³] | 1.673 | 1.718 |
| Abs. coeff. [mm ⁻¹] | 1.128 | 1.141 |
| F(000) | 1308.0 | 1324.0 |
| Reflections collected | 49156 | 53883 |
| R _{int} | 0.0993 | 0.0779 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4380/0/361 | 8721/0/739 |
| Min. 20/° | 3.852 | 2.716 |
| Max. 20/° | 49.656 | 49.994 |
| | $-15 \le h \le 15$ | $-12 \le h \le 12$ |
| Ranges (h, k, l) | $-10 \le k \le 10$ | $-18 \le k \le 18$ |
| | $-25 \le l \le 26$ | $-18 \le l \le 18$ |
| Complete to 2θ (%) | 99.6 | 98.3 |
| $Goof(F^2)$ | 1.020 | 1.040 |
| Final R indices $[I>2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0411, wR_2 = 0.0857$ | $R_1 = 0.0386, wR_2 = 0.0864$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0652, wR_2 = 0.0963$ | $R_1 = 0.0636, wR_2 = 0.0980$ |
| CCDC No. | 2435315 | 2435316 |

Table S3 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for Complexes 5 and 6

| | [Zn(bipy)(HL ₁)](ClO ₄) ₂ | [Zn(phen)(HL ₁)](ClO ₄) ₂ |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Empirical formula | ZnC ₁₄ H ₂₁ N ₅ Cl ₂ O ₈ | ZnC ₁₆ H ₂₁ N ₅ Cl ₂ O ₈ |
| Formula weight | 523.63 | 547.67 |
| Wavelength(λ) | 0.71073 Å | 0.71073 Å |
| Temperature (K) | 296(2) | 296(2) |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 | P-1 |
| a[Å] | 7.908(17) | 8.321(6) |
| b[Å] | 10.73(2) | 10.361(7) |
| c[Å] | 13.24(3) | 13.426(9) |
| α[°] | 102.09(4) | 96.255(15) |
| β[°] | 105.558(18) | 102.884(15) |
| γ[°] | 95.97(3) | 93.675(14) |
| Volume[Å ³] | 1043(4) | 1117.0(13) |
| Ζ | 2 | 2 |
| Density [g/cm ³] | 1.668 | 1.628 |
| Abs. coeff. [mm ⁻¹] | 1.486 | 1.391 |
| F(000) | 536.0 | 560.0 |
| Reflections collected | 36763 | 32719 |
| R _{int} | 0.0590 | 0.0432 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3671/0/272 | 3933/102/354 |
| Min. 20/° | 3.940 | 3.972 |
| Max. 20/° | 49.994 | 49.998 |
| | $-9 \le h \le 9,$ | $-9 \le h \le 9,$ |
| Ranges (h, k, l) | $-12 \le k \le 12,$ | $-12 \le k \le 12,$ |
| | $-15 \le l \le 15$ | $-15 \le 1 \le 15$ |
| Complete to 20 (%) | 100.0 | 99.9 |
| Goof (F^2) | 1.046 | 1.091 |
| Final R indices [I>2 σ (I)] | $R_1 = 0.0371, wR_2 = 0.0968$ | $R_1 = 0.0389, wR_2 = 0.0986$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0474, wR_2 = 0.1051$ | $R_1 = 0.0514, wR_2 = 0.1083$ |
| CCDC No. | 2435317 | 2435318 |

Table S4CrystaldataandstructurerefinementparametersforComplexes $[Zn(bipy)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$ and $[Zn(phen)(HL_1)](ClO_4)_2$

References:

- S1 G. M. Sheldrick, A short history of *SHELX*, *Acta Cryst. A*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.
- S2 G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with *SHELXL*, *Acta Cryst. C*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- S3 L. J. Bourhis, O. V. Dolomanov, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, The anatomy of a comprehensive constrained, restrained refinement program for the modern computing environment – *Olex2* dissected, *Acta Cryst. A*, 2015, **71**, 59–75.
- S4 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program, J. Appl. Cryst., 2009, 42, 339–341.