### **Supplementary Information**

# Operando quantification of diffusion-induced stresses in O3-type NaNi<sub>1/3</sub>Fe<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sodium-ion battery electrode during electrochemical cycling

Amit Chanda<sup>1</sup>, Daniel P. Abraham<sup>2</sup>, Stephen E. Trask<sup>2</sup>, Siva P.V. Nadimpalli<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Michigan State University, 428 S. Shaw Lane, East Lansing, MI, 48824, USA

<sup>2</sup>Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois, 60439, USA

\*Corresponding author. Email: sivan@msu.edu

#### **S1. Electrode porosity calculation**

The electrode porosity is estimated as follows:

 $Electrode\ Porosity\ (\%) = 1 - \left(\frac{Apparent\ coating\ density}{Theoretical\ compact\ coating\ density}\right)$ 

The *theoretical* compact coating density is calculated based on the rule of mixtures, considering the bulk density ( $\rho$ ) and weight fraction of each composite component, as follows:

Theoretical compact coating density = 
$$\frac{1}{\frac{W_{NFM}}{\rho_{NFM}} + \frac{W_c}{\rho_c} + \frac{W_{binder}}{\rho_{binder}}}$$

where  $W_i$  is the weight fraction of the *i*<sup>th</sup> component; NFM is NaNi<sub>1/3</sub>Fe<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and *c* stands for carbons (Timcal C-45, Tuball SWCNT). The densities of the materials are as reported by the manufacturer and assume no porosity within the particles.

The *apparent* coating density is calculated by the ratio *weight/geometric volume* using several electrode samples from which the average weight and thickness are determined. Naturally, the current collector properties are subtracted in the calculation. The electrode thickness is measured using a digital micrometer.

 Table S1. NFM111 electrode composition and cell chemistry.

## NFM111 electrode (single-sided; calendered)

95 wt% NaNi<sub>1/3</sub>Fe<sub>1/3</sub>Mn<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Gelon) 2.95 wt% Timcal C-45 + 0.05 wt.% Tuball SWCNT 2 wt% Solvay 5130 PVDF Binder

Al Foil Thickness	20 µm
Total Electrode Thickness	156 µm
Coating Thickness	136 µm
Porosity	34.4 %
Total Coating Density	$2.75 \text{ g/cm}^3$
Total Coating Loading	$37.4 \text{ mg/cm}^2$
NFM111 Loading	$35.5 \text{ mg/cm}^2$

Separator: Glass Fiber (Whatman, Grade GF/F)Electrolyte: 1M NaClO<sub>4</sub> in PC + 5 wt% FECNa-metal: Purchased as cubes (Sigma-Aldrich)

### **Figures**



**Fig. S1.** Schematic shows that the typical stress state in a thin film coating on a substrate is inplane equibiaxial stress ( $\sigma$ ), because the expansion/contraction of the film is constrained by the substrate. The out of plane stress, i.e., stress in z direction is zero as the film is free to expand/contract in that direction.<sup>1</sup>



**Figure S2.** Discharge (sodiation) capacity of NFM111 electrode vs. cycle number at the indicated currents. In addition to the NFM111, the coin cell contained a Na-metal counter electrode, glass fiber separator and an electrolyte with 1M NaClO<sub>4</sub> salt in PC+ 5 wt% FEC solvent.



**Figure S3.** Steps of the beaker cell assembly: (a) Na foil with embedded Cu-wire placed in a Teflon beaker, (b) Aluminum current collector of the NFM111 electrode bonded to Si-wafer, (c) NFM111 electrode stacked on top of Na foil with a separator in between to prevent physical contact, (d) covering the beaker with a stainless-steel lid comprising a glass window. (e) shows an assembled beaker cell, placed inside a glovebox, with electrical connections for the electrochemical cycling and optical arrangements for the substrate-curvature measurement.



**Figure S4.** (a) Stress evolution in the NFM111 electrode due to wetting by the electrolyte, prior to any electrochemical cycling, (b) Potential and stress evolution in the NFM111 electrode during 3 galvanostatic cycles conducted in a beaker cell, with a current of 0.2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.



**Figure S5.** Changes to the Na-foil counter electrode after 3 cycles between 2.0 - 4.1 V vs. Na. Note that the high capacity high (~5 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup>) transferred during these cycles aggravates degradation of the Na-metal.



**Figure S6.** Potential and stress evolution in the NFM111 electrode during 4th galvanostatic cycle (after reassembling the cell with fresh Na foil), with a current of 0.2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.



**Figure S7.** (a) Potential and stress of NFM111 electrode as a function of areal capacity showing different stages of stress during the  $2^{nd}$  cycle between 2- 4.1 V. (b) has the corresponding differential capacity (dQ/dV) plot.



**Figure S8.** Comparison of stress data (from this study) with unit cell volume change from the research literature (Jiang et al., *Carbon Neutralization*, 2024<sup>2</sup>) observed during Na<sup>+</sup> extraction from NFM electrode.

#### References

(1) Freund, L. B.; Suresh, S. Thin Film Materials: Stress, Defect Formation and Surface

Evolution. Cambridge Univ. Press 2003.

 Jiang, C.; Wang, Y.; Xin, Y.; Ding, X.; Liu, S.; Pang, Y.; Chen, B.; Wang, Y.; Gao, L. L.; Wu, F.; Gao, H. Toward High Stability of O3-type NaNi1/3Fe1/3Mn1/3O2 Cathode Material with Zirconium Substitution for Advanced Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Carbon Neutralization* 2024, *3*, 233–244. https://doi.org/10.1002/cnl2.115.