Supplementary Information (SI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025

1	

Novel Fluidized Bed Bioreactor with Density-Graded Carriers for Bioremediation of Nitrate in Uranium Industry Effluent

5 Mariano Venturini ^{a*}, Paula Bucci^b, Raúl Muñoz^{b*}

6 ^a Biomining and Environmental Biotechnology Laboratory - National Commission of Atomic

7 Energy, Av. Ptero González y Aragón Nº15, B1802AYA, Buenos Aires - Argentina.

8 ^b Institute of Sustainable Processes. University of Valladolid, Doctor Mergelina s/n. 47011,

9 Valladolid, Spain

10 *Corresponding author: raul.munoz.torre@uva.es

11

12 ABSTRACT

This study presents an innovative bioreactor system that employs density-graded floating carriers to 13 14 effectively remediate complex uranium-contaminated effluents generated by the nuclear industry. By combining the advantages of fixed and fluidized bed reactors, our system utilizes floating carriers 15 to create a stratified biofilm environment, optimizing biomass retention and mass transfer. 16 Controlled redox potential (ORP) enhances the removal of uranium and associated contaminants, 17 especially in effluents with high nitrate concentrations. The fluidized bed configuration, with a high 18 carrier load, minimizes biofilm-induced clogging, ensuring sustained performance. Carriers were 19 synthetized with acrylate different composition: HEMA50%/0AA -20 polymers in HEMA50%/25%AA w/w and HEMA50%/50%AA) to obtain different hydrodynamics properties. 21 The particle terminal velocities and drag coefficients of carriers were 3.14×10-6 m/s, 5×10-5 m/s, 22 and 2×10-4 m/s and 661976, 20734, and 26221, respectively. The system achieved nitrate and COD 23 removal efficiencies of up to 90% and 84%, respectively, at a hydraulic retention time of 23.9h and 24 with low energy consumption. The system behaved like a fluidized bed with a high carrier load 25 similar to the PBBR, showing piston flux and variable column fluidization based on carrier densities. 26 Frictions and collisions prevented clogging from biofilm formation, ensuring sustained performance. 27 28

29

30 Keywords: Anaerobic bioreactors, nuclear wastewater treatment, radiation polymers carriers

31 1. Introduction

To produce nuclear fuels, uranium ore must be converted into UO₂ ceramic grade, process involves using various substances such as kerosene, methanol, nitric acid, ammonia, and, to a lesser extent, tributyl phosphate (TBP). Effluents generated are characterized uranium typically ranging from 300- 600 mg/L as U-U₃O₈, kerosene and methanol at levels of 900-1600 mg/L as COD, and nitrogen compounds up to 1500 mg/L as N-NO₃⁻. High nitrate concentrations contribute to eutrophication, while uranium poses risks of radioactive contamination. Strict environmental regulations mandate effective treatment to minimize emissions and ensure compliance.

Conventional treatment methods, such as reverse osmosis, evaporation, and electrodialysis, canachieve high 39 nitrate removal efficiencies above 82% at 1,000 mg/L N-NO3-, uraniumcontaminated effluents pose a 40 significant challenge in the nuclear industry. The treatment methods, such as Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) 41 processes, rely on energy-intensive thermal operations like evaporation and crystallization, resulting in high 42 operational costs. In contrast, the system proposed in this study employs a biological approach that avoids 43 thermal operations, suggesting a potential advantage in terms of energy efficiency and operational costs. 44 Added to that, ZLD require the use of corrosion-resistant materials, such as high-grade stainless steels, due 45 to the highly corrosive nature of the effluents. This work focuses on the kinetics of nitrate removal in nuclear 46 effluents, providing a sustainable alternative to conventional methods [1] [2] [3] [4]. 47

48 Others methods often require extensive pretreatment stages (ultrafiltration, microfiltration, sand 49 filtration) and incur high operating costs, limiting their sustainability. (e.g., IONAC SR-7) coupled 50 with reverse osmosis [5] [6]. Additional approaches, such as supercritical water treatment at 374°C, 51 electrochemical methods, and electrodialysis, are effective yet associated with high energy demands 52 and potential NOx emissions [7] [8].

Biological treatment methods provide promising and economically viable alternatives, particularly for high-53 nitrate and COD effluents in the uranium industry. Anaerobic biotechnologies excel at nitrate and COD 54 removal under controlled conditions [9,10]. Biofilm-based reactors provide advantages such as robustness 55 and tolerance to environmental variations. However, conventional biofilm reactors are susceptible to 56 clogging, which limits mass transfer and hydrodynamic efficiency. To address these challenges, this study 57 proposes a hybrid bioreactor system that combines the benefits of packed bed and fluidized bed reactors 58 using density-graded hydrogel carriers [11] [12] [13]. These issues necessitate bioreactor designs that 59 minimize clogging and maximize mass transfer, ensuring effective treatment of uranium-contaminated 60 effluents with high nitrate and COD concentrations 61

Two major types of anaerobic biofilm bioreactors are widely used for industrial wastewater treatment: packed
bed bioreactors (PBBRs) and fluidized bed bioreactors (FBBRs). PBBRs immobilize cells within stationary

packing materials, such as plastic or ceramic rings, facilitating biofilm formation and enhancing mass 64 transfer. PBBRs typically operate under laminar flow conditions, characterized by smooth, orderly fluid 65 motion that maximizes contact between the fluid and the biofilm. However, laminar flow can also lead to 66 clogging and dead zones, reducing treatment efficiency. In contrast, FBBRs suspend biofilm-attached carriers 67 in a fluid, maintaining biofilm suspension through fluid velocity, Turbulence is quantified using the Reynolds 68 69 number, which must exceed a minimum threshold to ensure fluidization of the carriers and the liquid phase. 70 This configuration enables uniform particle mixing and continuous operation, reducing clogging due to 71 biofilm accumulation. FBBRs have been shown to offer higher resistance to system disturbances and greater 72 resilience to load fluctuations, enhancing long-term hydrodynamic stability and biofilm growth even under varying influent conditions [15] [16]. FBBRs generally require larger reactor volumes, higher pumping 73 capacity, and greater energy inputs due to the fluidization requirements. Additionally, particle entrainment 74 can affect their efficiency and scalability. 75

To overcome these limitations, FBBRs can be modified with specific biofilm carriers that optimize treatment efficiency while maintaining fluidization. Numerous commercially available carriers, including polyethylene, polypropylene, and biopolymer-based options, are designed with variations in density, shape, and buoyancy to suit specific applications. Methacrylate hydrogels are particularly promising carriers due to their ability to copolymerize with amides, creating carriers with variable densities and buoyancy characteristics [17] [18] [19] [20]. This flexibility supports hybrid bioreactor configurations that combine the mass transfer benefits of FBBRs with the surface area advantages of PBBRs, addressing limitations related to clogging and hydrodynamics.

We hypothesize that by employing density-graded hydrogel carriers within a fluidized bed reactor, we can create a stratified biofilm environment, aimed at the advantages of a packed bed while mitigating the risk of clogging. This hybrid approach achieves high biomass retention and efficient mass transfer, characteristic of PBBRs, while maintaining the fluidization benefits and minimizing energy consumption associated with FBBR.

89 This study introduces a novel approach to bioreactor design by utilizing density-graded hydrogel carriers 90 within a fluidized bed configuration. This approach differs from conventional FBBRs by creating a stratified 91 biofilm environment, giving the advantages of packed bed reactors while Environmental Science: Water 92 Research & Technology Page 4 of 31 maintaining the benefits of fluidization. The high nitrate and COD 93 concentrations, combined with the presence of potentially inhibitory compounds, present significant 94 challenges for the effective treatment of uranium-contaminated effluents

Packed bed and fluidized bed reactors are widely used in chemical and biotechnology industries due to their 95 advantages over suspended cell systems, particularly in wastewater treatment, where they demonstrate high 96 resistance to disturbances like inhibitors, pH fluctuations, and biomass loss [21]. While PBBRs typically 97 operate under laminar flow, FBBRs predominantly function under turbulent conditions. This study 98 99 contributes fundamental insights into laminar-regime FBBR hydrodynamics for nuclear wastewater 100 treatment, emphasizing shear forces' role in balancing biofilm growth and detachment to prevent clogging. 101 Strategic carrier selection and placement further enhance the reactor's performance by optimizing biofilm 102 growth and fouling control [22,23].

Successful development of this hybrid bioreactor system has the potential to significantly advance the field of wastewater treatment, providing a more sustainable and cost-effective solution for the remediation of uranium-contaminated effluents and other challenging industrial waste streams. The proposed biological system operates at ambient temperature and pressure, eliminating the need for energy-intensive thermal operations. Additionally, the system can be constructed using durable plastics, which are less expensive and more resistant to corrosion compared to stainless steels. This represents a potential advantage in terms of both energy efficiency and capital costs.

110 Materials and Methods

111 2.1.1 Blended real nuclear wastewater (BRNW)

112 The production of UO₂ ceramic-grade fuel begins with yellow cake, which is dissolved in nitric acid, purified, and precipitated using methanol and kerosene at uranium concentrations of up to 200 113 g/L. The final effluent from this process, known as Blended Real Nuclear Wastewater (BRNW), 114 contains residual uranium (300-600 mg/L as U-U₃O₈), methanol, kerosene, and high nitrate 115 116 concentrations (1000–1300 mg/L as N-NO₃⁻). This effluent was used in our experiments without additional pretreatment, except for pH adjustment and equalization to stabilize COD levels [24] 117 [25]. Real nuclear wastewater samples used in this study were obtained from an Argentinian 118 uranium conversion facility. This Blended Real Nuclear Wastewater (BRNW) was characterized by 119 120 the composition shown in Table 1. Prior to use, the effluent underwent an equalization process to stabilize pH and COD levels, after which the resulting precipitate was discarded. The BRNW also 121 contains methanol and kerosene as major organic contaminants. This industrial effluent, which Page 122 5 of 31 Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology includes contributions from 123 domestic wastewater, is further treated with surfactant compounds to adjust the pH to 8. 124

Parameter	Unit	Concentration	0	
Ammonium	mg/L	$600 - 1400 \pm 32$		
Nitrate	mg/L	$1000 - 1300 \pm 36$		
COD	mg/L	1500 ± 38		
pН		7.0 - 7.8		
Uranium	mg/L	300 - 600 ±24		

Table 1: Chemical composition of wastewater discharged from nuclear manufacturing industry

125

126 2.2 Synthesis of Hydrogel carrier

A range of carrier materials, including biodegradable polymers (BDP), high-density polyethylene
(HDPE), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyurethane sponge (PS), and granular activated carbon (GAC),
are currently employed in various types of bioreactors, such as Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors
(MBBRs) and Fluidized Bed Biofilm Reactors (FBBRs). Commercial polymeric carriers, such as

131 Kaldness5 and Saddle Chips SC, exhibit properties including a density of 0.96 kg/m³, a surface area

132 ranging from 800 to 700 m³/m³, and varying porosity levels (65-87%) [30].

In the present studies acrylic materials (HEMA and AA) were chosen according to properties of 133 134 swelling (hydrogel) induced by gamma radiation synthesis. The concept behind synthesizing hydrogels via gamma polymerization is to achieve carrier polymerization and sterilization 135 simultaneously in a single step, eliminating the need for elevated temperatures and crosslinking 136 agents that may exert a toxic influence on microorganisms. Additionally, the gradual addition of 137 acrylamide imparts primary amino groups to the polymer, enhancing its swelling properties and 138 consequently reducing density through volume expansion HEMA was polymerized by gamma 139 radiation and copolymerized with AA, which were purchased from Sigma (Aldrich, WI, USA). 140 Following polymerization, the sample was homogenized and air was removed by bubbling with 141 nitrogen. Samples were irradiated at 25 kgy (5kgy/h) by 60Co gamma-irradiation source at PISI -142 Centro Atómico Ezeiza (Argentina). The concentrations of HEMA (50%) and AA (25% and 50%) 143 were chosen to optimize hydrogel properties. A 50% HEMA concentration balances mechanical 144 strength and flexibility, while AA concentrations ensure optimal swelling without compromising 145 structural integrity. These choices enable the hydrogels to support biofilm growth and withstand 146 fluidized bed reactor condition. 147

The swelling dynamic and equilibrium were determined by Cuggino methodology [31]. Swelling
rate (qw) was determined gravimetrically at different times using Eq. 1a and during the equilibrium
usign Eq 1b

151
$$qw=mh/ms(1)$$
 Eq. 1a

152
$$qw = me / ms$$
 Eq. 1b.

Equation 1: Hydrogel swelling

153

where mh is the swelled hydrogel (HG) mass at each time, me is the HG mass during the 154 equilibrium, and ms is the dry HG mass. The HG Carriers were hydrated, previously dried at 35°C, 155 and then, they are weighed in determined periods of time. This test finished when the Carrier weight 156 was stable in time (water equilibrium). This essay allowed to determine the maximum weight and 157 volume rise. The carriers were constructed with a cylindrical shape with an external 15mm diameter 158 and 5mm internal diameter with 20mm length. Different concentrations of HEMA were tested 50.0 159 % v/v in water and the addition of AA 0, 25 and 50.0% (%V/V HEMA). Densities were decreased 160 161 according to AA incorporating.

162 2.3 Bioreactors configuration and operational mode

163 This study utilized a comparative approach to evaluate the performance of two bioreactor 164 configurations: a packed bed bioreactor (PBBR) and a fluidized bed bioreactor (FBBR)[26], aiming 165 for high biomass retention while minimizing energy consumption and hydrodynamic properties.

The PBBR was a single-unit, multi-layer fixed bed reactor fabricated using polypropylene sheets. This configuration offers several advantages, high volumetric organic removal rates, and efficient liquid-solid separation. The FBBR was designed to optimize fluidization characteristics and minimize clogging [15, 16]. This study comprehensively reviewed the fundamental aspects of FBBRs, including their applications, configurations, and recent advancements in reactor performance [27] [28] [29].

The FBBR was designed to optimize fluidization characteristics and minimize clogging. To achieve this, the reactor was loaded with hydrogel carriers exhibiting varying densities (50% p/p HEMA/AA, 25% p/p HEMA/AA, and HEMA only). This density gradient facilitated a stratified arrangement of carriers, promoting optimal biofilm growth while preventing clogging. For scaling purposes, the HRT values were converted to dimensionless residence time units (RTU) using the following equation, where de RT was divided by HRT. The reference time unit (t₀) can be chosen based on the characteristic time scale of the system, such as the average particle size or the reactor volume. The output values and plotted obtaining curves that respond to functions of the log type Cs - log Co.e^{-a.tr}, with Cs being the concentration of output nitrates, Co the initial nitrate concentration, tr the residence time and r radial position and a experimental coefficient and (a) was appropriate statistical methods.

183 The Peclet number (Pe) was calculated using the standard equation for tubular systems:

184
$$Pe = (v * L) / Dz$$

185

Equation 2: Pecklet Number

where v is the superficial velocity, L is the reactor length, and Dz is the axial dispersion
coefficient. The dispersion coefficient was estimated from the response curve using the method of
moments. The obtained Pe values indicated a predominantly plug flow regime, with relatively low
axial dispersion. This suggests that the reactor design promotes efficient conversion and minimal

190 backmixing

191 2.3.1 Fluidized bed bioreactor (FBBR)

192 To evaluate the impact of operating conditions on nitrate removal efficiency, experiments were 193 conducted at varying influent nitrate concentrations (1400, 2800, and 4200 mg/L) while maintaining a constant flow rate of 0.0029 L/min. This flow rate was selected to yield a Peclet number of 4, 194 195 which was previously determined to optimize mass transfer within the reactor. To ensure effective denitrification under anaerobic conditions, This was achieved by supplementing the reactor with 196 197 methanol by dosification based in C/N ratio 1.85 (Figure 1 and Table 2), continuous monitoring of nitrate and COD concentrations by ORP ensured optimal denitrification performance. The flow (Q) 198 was 0.0029 l/min Peclet number was TRH² / \sum T(^{1/2}), dispersion module= 1/ Peclet number, Axial 199 dispersion=flux*length/Pecket Number. Nitrate was conducted to characterize the axial dispersion 200 201 within the reactor. A step change in nitrate concentration was introduced, and the effluent was monitored over time. The time of peak concentration was used to determine the mean residence 202 203 time, and the variance of the response curve was used to calculate the axial dispersion coefficient.

204 The Peclet number, a dimensionless parameter that characterizes the relative importance of 205 advection and dispersion, was then calculated using the following equation:

206

Figure 1: Denitrification fluidized bed bioreactor

Three types of hydrogel carriers with varying densities (50% p/p HEMA/AA, 25% p/p HEMA/AA, and HEMA only) were used. The flow rate was carefully regulated using a valve to ensure optimal fluidization, preventing carrier escape from the top of the reactor while allowing for carrier mobilization. The distribution of carriers within the reactor was monitored over time. The average distribution and the minimum and maximum rise of each carrier type were determined.

212 2.3.2 Packed bed bioreactor (PBBR)

The packed bed bioreactor (Fig 2) was constructed using a cylindrical acrylic column with a diameter of 20cm and a height of 110cm. Perforated polycarbonate plates were used to promote biofilm adhesion, with a diameter equivalent to that of the cylinder.

Polycarbonate (PC) was chosen as the packing material based on its rugged surface, which enhances biofilm adhesion and promotes stable microbial colonization, as supported by previous Page 9 of 31 Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology studies . While alternative materials were not tested in this study due to design constraints, the high denitrification efficiency achieved with PC confirms its suitability for PBBR applications

221

Figure 2: Packed bed bioreactor

222 Recirculation was achieved using a peristaltic pump operating at variable speeds to produce downstream recirculation. A dosing pump was used to regulate the feed, while a hydraulic closure 223 was employed to prevent oxygen entering the system from the outside. In the analysis of the results, 224 the values of HRT were converted to residence time unit (RTU), where de RT was divided by time. 225 The output values and plotted obtaining curves that respond to functions of the log type Cs - log 226 Co.e^{-a.tr}, with Cs being the concentration of output nitrates, Co the initial nitrate concentration, tr the 227 residence time and r radial position and a experimental coefficient. The influence of nitrate 228 concentration on the hydraulic retention time for levels of 125, 250, 500, 750, and 1000 mg/l N-229 NO₃⁻ in PBBR. 230

231 2.3.3 Drag Coefficient

- 232 Drag coefficient measures resistance to motion in a fluid, interpretation depends on specific context.
- 233 The equation 3 was

$$C_{D}^{*} = \frac{432}{\phi_{p}} \left(1 + 0.047 \phi_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) + \frac{0.517}{1 + 154 \phi_{1}^{\frac{2}{3}}}$$

234

235 Where

236
$$\emptyset_1^* = d_p^3 = \frac{4(\rho_s - \rho_l)\rho_1 g d_p^3}{3\mu_1^2} \qquad R^* = (\frac{\emptyset_1^*}{C_D^*})^{1/2} \qquad V_T = R^* \frac{\mu_1}{d_p \rho_l}$$

-00

237

Equation 3: Drag coefficient

 $C_{D}^{*} = particle Drag Coefficient, dp=the particle Diameter (m), VT=terminal velocity (m/s), <math>\rho_{l=}$ fluid density (kg/m3), ρ_{p} =particle density (kg/m3), R particle =particle Reynolds Number and μ_{1} =Fluid viscosity.

241 2.4 Control of environmental conditions to monitor ORP

242 Nitrate concentrations were determined according to standardized methods (refer to the test243 analysis section for details).

The oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) in the system was modeled using the Nernst equation, as proposed by Chang and Venturini [32, 33]. This model, based on the Nernst equation, describes the relationship between ORP and the concentrations of redox couples in the system, assuming a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio for each chemical reaction

248
$$NO_{3}^{-} + \frac{5}{6}CH_{3}OH \gg \frac{1}{2}N_{2} + \frac{5}{6}CO_{2} + \frac{7}{6}H_{2}O + OH^{-1}$$

In applying the Nernst equation, it was assumed that the substrate concentration remained constant and in excess. Thus, the modified Nernst equation used in this work is expressed as follows: The standard potential (E°) used in this work were ammonium oxidation to nitrite (NO₂⁻)=154mV and nitrate E⁰ (NO₃⁻) =-340mV. The original equation was modified by replacing $\ln(1/[H^+])$ for 2.3026 x 4 pH according to the author :

254
$$E = E^{\circ} + \frac{RT}{NF} x Ln \left[\frac{\left(NH_{4}^{+} \right) x (DO) x (H_{2}O)}{\left(NO_{x}^{-} \right) x (H)^{(8 \text{ or } 6) +}} \right] \Box a_{\text{ox}} / a_{\text{red}}$$

255 Where E is the potential activity of the reduced and oxidized species are a_{Ox} and a_{Red} . E₀ are the 256 standard potential: E₀ (NO₂⁻)=154mV or E₀(NO₃⁻)=-340mV.

257
$$E = -340mV + \left(\frac{0.059}{6}x \log DO\right) + \frac{61xpH - 59.88 x \log \left[\frac{[NH_{4}^{+}]}{[NO_{x}]}\right]_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Equation 4: Nernst modification to ORP model

259 2.5 Test analysis

258

The system was monitored using chemical and physical analyses of influent and effluent samples 260 according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. The parameters 261 analyzed included: pH and ORP Measurements, Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Nitrate (N-262 NO₃⁻) and Ammonium (NH₄⁺) Analyses. pH and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were 263 monitored using a Mettler Toledo pH2100 sensor. The pH measurement sensitivity is ±0.01 units, 264 265 and the ORP detection range is ±1200 mV, enabling accurate environmental monitoring during anaerobic processes. COD was analyzed using Method 5220 D, with detection ranges adaptable for 266 267 high (0-1500 mg/L) and low (0-150 mg/L) concentrations. This dual range allowed precise monitoring of organic load variations in the reactor. Nitrate concentrations were measured using the 268 4500 A Standard Method with UV absorption at 220/270 nm, providing a detection limit of 269 approximately 0.1 mg/L. Ammonium levels were determined via the phenate method at 640 nm 270 271 with a sensitivity threshold of 0.02 mg/L, which ensured accurate detection under fluctuating influent characteristics. These specific detection limits and equipment sensitivities ensure a high 272 273 level of accuracy in measuring environmental conditions and pollutant levels throughout the experimental setup, supporting reliable monitoring and reproducibility 274

275 **3 Results and discussion**

276 3.1 Synthesis of hydrogel Carrier

The HG carrier was obtained by the radio-induced polymerization of hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) and copolymerized with Acrylamide (AA). The use of different ratios of HEMA and AA resulted in carriers with varying densities and swelling grades, depending on the percentage Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology Page 12 of 31 weight/weight of AA present in the dry HEMA. The results of the swelling of different compositions of HEMA/AA at 25 kGy are shown in table 2.

2	Q	2
7	0	3

Table 2: swelling of hydrogel and effects of AA into HEMA

weigth (g) (/%Hema /% AA)										
н	50 /0	SD +/-	50 /5	SD +/-	50 /10	SD +/-	50 /25	SD +/-	50 /50	SD +/-
0.00	4.97	0.40	3.34	0.55	3.01	0.33	4.06	0.24	6.18	0.17
24.00	6.34	0.36	5.16	0.44	5.26	0.33	8.90	0.26	15.00	0.20
48.00	6.79	0.36	6.00	0.41	5.88	0.34	10.89	0.27	17.50	0.24
96.00	7.50	0.37	6.50	0.39	7.30	0.37	12.57	0.28	21.11	0.22
120.00	7.67	0.38	7.00	0.39	8.50	0.41	14.00	0.34	23.00	0.24
144.00	9.89	0.40	7.70	0.41	9.23	0.44	15.36	0.34	26.00	0.26
720.00	9.90	0.45	8.40	0.44	9.35	0.58	17.32	0.50	33.09	0.40
Qw	1.99		2.50		3.10		4.20		5.30	

The samples swelled in proportion to the increased AA content. The HEMA sample swelled up to double its weight, while the incorporation of 50% w/w of AA increased the weight by several times. This was due to the high formation and superficial charge of ammonium incorporated, which promoted water interaction. Swelling kinetics and diffusion mechanisms indicated that the water penetration followed a first-order kinetic for the initial periods. These results are similar to those obtained by Cuggino and Zhang [34] [19].

The HG 50/50AA materials showed that they could be operated upper zone due to their low density. On the other hand, carriers obtained with high densities showed that this composition could be used in a bioreactor with a high fluidized regime, such as a Fluidized bed. This is because this material should stay in the lower zone to avoid recirculation by tubes and pumps, and media with low density 294 could be stratified by the same liquid, establishing three definite zones and behaving like a packed295 bed.

296 Microphotographs reveal that the dense materials have an elastomeric consistency when swelled in 297 water, as shown in the additional figure. In this figure it is possible to see the biofilm in contact with 298 the hydrogel, which could be due to a biocompatibility process.

The microorganisms were mainly Pseudomonas $(1-2 \ \mu m)$, which adhered to the carrier surface. Culture samples were cultivated in nutritive agar and EMB (Levine). The colonies were stained by Gram Tinction and then, were analyzed using API strips. The API-20E multitest system identified Pseudomonas aeruginosa (CODE: 1-353-575) with a high identification scope and (CODE:1-000-477) compatible with Xylosoxydans denitrificans. Additional tests, such as the acetamide reaction, growth at 42 °C, and the oxidative (OF) glucose test and the production of pyocyanin pigment support the speciation of P. aeruginosa.

306 Microbial processes driving COD and nitrate removal involve aerobic and anaerobic degradation 307 for COD, with aerobic heterotrophs oxidizing organic compounds in aerobic conditions, and 308 anaerobic bacteria utilizing alternative electron acceptors in anaerobic environments. For nitrate 309 removal, denitrifying bacteria sequentially reduce nitrate to nitrogen gas under anaerobic conditions 310

311 3.2 Bioreactor configuration and Operation modes

312 3.2.3 Packed Bed Bioreactor (PBBR) vs. Fluidized Bed Bioreactor (FBBR) - Peclet Number 313 and HRT

Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between hydraulic retention time (HRT) and nitrate removal efficiency in the packed bed bioreactor (PBBR) for different initial nitrate concentrations (125, 250, 500, and 1000 mg/L N-NO₃⁻). To achieve 90% nitrate removal, HRTs of approximately 10 hours were required for 1000 mg/L N-NO₃⁻, while significantly longer HRTs (up to 5 days) were necessary for lower initial nitrate concentrations (500 and 250 mg/L N-NO₃⁻). This trend suggests that treatment efficiency decreases at lower contaminant concentrations due to reduced substrate availability for microbial activity.

It was observed that biofilm formation on the polycarbonate packing material was initially slow. 321 However, once established, the biofilm exhibited excellent denitrification performance. The large 322 surface area provided by the polycarbonate plates likely facilitated the development of a robust and 323 active biofilm. For the fluidized bed bioreactor (FBBR), a constant flow rate of 0.0029 m³/h was 324 325 maintained to ensure adequate fluidization of the carriers. Experiments were conducted with increasing nitrate concentrations (1400 to 4200 mg/L). Influent nitrate concentrations of 1400, 2800, 326 and 4200 mg/L N-NO3⁻ were chosen to reflect realistic conditions in nuclear wastewater treatment 327 and to evaluate the system's capacity to reduce hydraulic retention time (HRT). Higher treatment 328 rates in a mature biofilm allow for smaller reactor sizes or shorter treatment times, optimizing the 329 330 system's efficiency and scalability.

In Figure 3 shows the influence of nitrate concentration on the hydraulic retention time for levels of 331 125, 250, 500, and 1000 mg/l N-NO3⁻ in PBBR and 1400, 2800 and 4200 for PBBR. To obtain 332 efficiencies at PBBR 90% concentration of 1000 mg/l N-NO3⁻, roughly 10 hours are requisite, while 333 for 750 mg/l N-NO₃⁻, it extends to nearly 5 days, akin to the period for 500 mg/l N-NO₃⁻. At lower 334 concentrations, treatment processes are less efficient due to reduced contaminant levels available 335 for reaction with treatment agents. This necessitates a longer time for adequate chemical reactions 336 to occur, achieving desired contaminant removal. For initial concentrations of 250 and 125mg/l N-337 NO₃⁻, there is an approximate reduction of 3 days in residence time. This signifies that at higher 338 concentrations, particularly at 1000 mg/l N-NO₃⁻, the retention periods escalate twofold to achieve 339 340 commensurate efficacy in the treatment process. Thus, in order to sustain a conversion efficiency of 90%, it is advisable for the system to manage concentrations no exceeding 1000 ppm. 341

342

Fig 3: Denitrification Performance in function of HRT at PBBR

For FFBR, the flux was 0.0029 m3 /H to matain the fluidification, however the nitrate concetracion was increased from 1400 to 4200 mg/l. The FBBR demonstrated high nitrate removal efficiencies across the tested concentration range. The high Peclet number observed in the FBBR (range: 4.62 -5.1) indicates that the reactor exhibited minimal axial dispersion, promoting efficient flow through the bed and minimizing backmixing. This characteristic is beneficial for maximizing the residence time of the substrate within the reactor and enhancing the overall treatment efficiency

The proposed system combines the advantages of fixed-bed biofilm reactors (PBBR), which provide a high surface area for biofilm formation even under low Reynolds or laminar flow conditions, with those of fluidized-bed reactors (FBBR), which offer excellent mixing and mass transfer due to their dynamic fluid motion. However, conventional PBBR systems are prone to clogging, while FBBR systems are limited by carrier loading capacity and often struggle to support robust biofilm formation. To address these limitations, this study utilizes variable-density carriers, which increase loading capacity and prevent excessive biofilm accumulation through carrier collisions. This hybrid approach enhances both efficiency and practicality, enabling efficient fluidization at low flow rates while mitigating clogging issues commonly observed in conventional systems

358 3.2.4 Fluidized bed bioreactor (FBBR) denitrification of nuclear effluents

It appears that the fluidized bed reactor has advantages over other types of bioreactors, particularly 359 in avoiding issues related to clogging and channeling that may be encountered in other systems. The 360 acclimatization of the column started with a synthetic medium containing methanol (200ml/451 361 equivalent to 0.1M), potassium nitrate (N-NO₃⁻ 1650mg/l), and phosphoric acid 85% (35ml/l), 362 filling the column with carrier to 90% capacity (30x30x30). Nitrite, a key intermediate in 363 denitrification, was evaluated in laboratory tests. At pH < 7, low nitrite concentrations were 364 detected, while at pH > 7.5, nitrite was absent, indicating rapid conversion to N₂. Given these results, 365 nitrite monitoring was deemed unnecessary in full-scale experiments. 366

The reactor operated in a closed-loop batch system with recirculation to acclimate the biofilm. After 14 days, the concentration of N-NO₃⁻ dropped to zero. The system can operate more efficiently and effectively, reducing the need for frequent maintenance and allowing for longer operating times between cleanings or replacements of the packing material. Ultimately, this can lead to cost savings and better system performance as shown in fig 4. The process continues with a 24hs HRT. Fig. 6 shows the denitrification process and different nutrient (PO₄-³) fluxes within the fluidized bed reactor

Fig 4: Kinetic behavior of culture at three different periods to check the development of the biofilms a semi-continuous experiment to establish the capacities of maximum denitrification at different PO_4^{-3} concentrations.

377 In the first period the initial charge of $KH_2PO_4^{-3}$ was 0.02M with an initial charge of 1400 mg/l N-378 NO_3^{-} , the maximum rate was 600 mg/l N-NO₃⁻ in 60 days. 379 Shear forces play a critical role in maintaining biofilm stability and reactor performance. In fluidized 380 bed reactors (FBBRs), shear stress generated by particle collisions and fluid turbulence prevents 381 excessive biofilm accumulation, ensuring a balance between biofilm growth and detachment. This 382 dynamic enhances mass transfer efficiency and minimizes clogging. In contrast, fixed biofilm 383 reactors (PBBRs) experience lower shear stress, leading to thicker biofilms, clogging, and reduced 384 hydrodynamic efficiency.

385 Studies have identified critical shear thresholds (e.g., 0.1–0.5 Pa) necessary for effective biofilm 386 detachment while maintaining active biomass [34]. These findings underscore the importance of 387 shear forces in optimizing reactor design and performance, particularly for treating high-nitrate and 388 uranium-contaminated effluents.

In the second instance, the concentration of the $KH_2PO_4^{-3}$ in the media was increased to 0.01M. Establishing that there was a limitation in phosphates amounts of suspended biomass retained by HG may have played an important role in the observed differences in process performance regarding the biomass composition ($CH_{1.7}O_{0.5}N_{0.2}P_{0.01}$). Initial concentration of N-NO₃ ⁻ was 2800 mg/l,day the maximum velocity achieved by the removal of the biofilm was approximately 1200 N-NO₃ ⁻ mg/l.day.

Enhanced denitrification may result from an increased capacity for active biofilm formation that enhances performance, avoiding clogging and phosphorus limitation. It seems that it requires the shortest times to achieve almost complete nitrate removal observed at an efficiency, up to 96.6%. Fluidization facilitates solid-liquid mass transfer a pesar de tener un Pe>1,5 (5), which promotes good contact. Additionally, fluidization eliminates preferential flow paths and prevents bed clogging, reduces the need for frequent cleaning or replacement of the packing material, which is another common problem encountered in packed-bed reactors..

402 The HRT and properties of denitrification reaction were obtained by empirical data through first 403 derivate of an adimensional number as was shown in materials and methods section. The values 404 were calculated by first derivate of the denitrification rate as shown in table 3:

405

Table 3: Hydrodynamic parameters in FBBR

and PBBR

Flux(1/min)	0.0029
Large (m)	1.155
Nº Peclet	4

406 Nitrate removal efficiency reached high values of around 95% in a short time. The application of 407 slow agitation in the biological packet-bed ensured high nitrate conversion at the same time, which 408 shows that the slow agitation of biological Slow Agitation Media has a positive effect on nitrate 409 removal. Peclet number of 4 suggests that diffusion is higher compared to the rate of convective 410 transport system of PBBR, however the flug was piston.

The slow agitation in the FBBR was effective in ensuring high nitrate conversion while avoiding
clogging formation. This was achieved through a combination of low Reynolds number and high
residence times (HRT).

In the upflow reactors, the hydrogels had a differential distribution. The carriers with a HEMA composition were scattered in the lower zones of the column, while the hydrogels with HEMA 50% AA were promoted and "washed from the column," eventually settling in the upper zones. When all three types of hydrogels were incorporated into the column, they were distributed in two zones: the low zone consisted of HEMA, while the middle zone contained HEMA + 25% AA and HEMA + 50% AA in equal proportions fig 4.

These findings suggest that the distribution of hydrogels in the column can have a significant impact on the performance of the system, and careful consideration of their placement may be necessary to optimize the process for specific applications [35].

The hydrodynamic carriers' properties obtained in FBBR are shown in table 4 and the results weregraph in fig 5:

425 Table 4: Hydrodynamic characteristics of HG carriers

HG HEMA only

Drag Coefficient at Terminal Settling Velocity (C _D *)	-	0.04
Particle Reynolds Number at Terminal Settling Velocity (R* _{particle})	-	0.000
Particle Terminal Settling Velocity (v _T)	m/s	6.12e ²

HG HEMA 50/AA25

Particle Drag Coefficient at Terminal Settling Velocity (CD*)	-	0.25
Particle Reynolds Number at Terminal Settling Velocity (R*particle)	-	0.001
Particle Terminal Settling Velocity (vT)		6.1
HG HEMA 50/AA25		
Particle Drag Coefficient at Terminal Settling Velocity (C _D *)		0.18
Particle Reynolds Number at Terminal Settling Velocity (R* _{particle})	-	0.008
		1.47e1
Particle Terminal Settling Velocity (v _T)	m/s	

426

Table 5: Maximun and minimun high (cm)determination of HG in column

HG type	Minimum	Medium	Maximum
Min HG	1	5	18
Max HG	37	39	40
Min HG50	75	90	95
MaxHG50	110	110	110
MinHG25	56	58	58
MinHg25	20	78.5	81

427

428 The head loss in FBBR are shown in fig. 5. The inflexion point was at 2, 2.5 and 3 m/s respectively.

429 which is low values to operate a FBBR, to achieve a great quantity of HG, and therefore, for a

430 superficial area to biomass adhesion. Reynolds number (R_2) was 117893 (turbulent), velocity (V) 431 0.79 m/s and Darcy friction factor (f^D) 0.017.

432

Fig. 5: Head Loss in FBBR

The differences between Fluidized Bed Bioreactors (FBBRs) and Packed Bed Bioreactors (PBBRs)stem from their operational principles and design objectives. PBBRs aim to provide a large surfacearea to support biomass through laminar flux, enhancing media mass transfer. Conversely, FBBRsfeature a smaller superficial area but operate under turbulent flux conditions, which increase masstransfer rates and prevent the formation of biofilms.

In PBBRs, the emphasis is on maximizing the surface area available for biomass attachment,facilitating efficient substrate utilization and biological treatment. This configuration promotes

In PBBRs, the emphasis is on maximizing the surface area available for biomass attachment,
facilitating efficient substrate utilization and biological treatment. This configuration promotes
laminar flow, ensuring thorough contact between the substrate and the biofilm for effective pollutant
removal.

444 On the other hand, FBBRs prioritize turbulent flow to enhance mass transfer rates and prevent 445 biofilm formation. The fluidization of particles in FBBRs promotes agitation and mixing, ensuring 446 uniform distribution of substrates and preventing the accumulation of biomass on the reactor 447 surface.

448 These distinctions in operational principles contribute to the overall performance of each bioreactor 449 type. PBBRs excel in providing extensive surface area for biomass attachment, facilitating efficient 450 substrate utilization and pollutant removal. In contrast, FBBRs leverage turbulent flow to enhance 451 mass transfer rates, preventing biofilm formation and maintaining operational efficiency.

452 Hybrid packed-bed bioreactors (PBBs) with HG biofilm carriers emerge as simple and highly 453 productive options with favorable hydrodynamic characteristics that promote biofilm performance 454 at the bench scale. These systems offer advantages such as low-cost catalyst carriers, high biomass 455 retention and reduced clogging, they can be operated for extended periods, reducing process costs.

456 When comparing fluidized bed bioreactors (FBBRs) with other systems, FBBRs are particularly 457 suitable for environmental bioremediation. Successful scale-up can be achieved by maintaining 458 similar chemical and physical conditions in both scales while using carriers with different densities. 459 However, further research is needed to assess pilot-scale feasibility and improve the anaerobic 460 digestion system for bacterial adhesion in FBBRs.

The disadvantages of FBBRs include reactor size limitations due to the height-to-diameter ratio and high energy requirements resulting from high recycle ratios. Considering the beneficial characteristics of FBBRs, they are expected to become increasingly applied in wastewater treatment, as well as in many physical and chemical process applications, such as incineration, phosphate recovery, and advanced oxidation processes.

FBBRs have been widely used for anaerobic bioreactors. The system consists of coated particles in 466 wastewater, which are sufficiently fluidized to keep [36] The support materials of FBBRs normally 467 have extremely specific surfaces, achieving elimination levels in a shorter time than conventional 468 biological treatment. This is because fluidization maximizes contact surface between pollutants and 469 470 the biofilm on support materials. The fluidized bed bioreactor (FBBR) prevents clogging by maintaining biofilm stability through continuous carrier movement and fluid turbulence, unlike 471 packed bed bioreactors (PBBRs), where biofilm accumulation leads to clogging and dead zones. 472 Additionally, the turbulent flow in FBBRs enhances mass transfer by promoting uniform mixing 473 and efficient nutrient diffusion, resulting in higher denitrification rates. These advantages make 474 FBBRs more effective for treating high-nitrate nuclear effluents. 475

These results demonstrate that the fluidized bioreactor, hybrid behavior, offers stability, avoids clogging formation, increases operation efficiency of the activated sludge process, and provides advantages over stirred tanks. This new innovative type of bioreactor combines the high availability of catalytic converters from the packed bed, avoiding excessive formation of biofilm and plugs, with lower power consumption compared to fluidized bed.

The chosen configuration bears similarities to MBBR, under agitation with paddles and aerobic conditions. Comparing the results to MBBR, we observe similar performances to those obtained in our system. For instance, Dong ed at [37] achieved an HRT of 10-18 with an efficiency of 90% using ceramic carriers with a density of 1, while Hou [22] achieved an HRT of 5 with efficiency close to 61% using MBBR with carriers made of PP, PP and PS. .

486 The effluent was equalized and treated by aerobic treatment to remove ammonia, before being 487 transferred to the FBBR for monitoring of ORP and pH levels. Figure 6 displays the denitrification 488 performance

Fig 6. Denitrification process in FBBR A) denitrification performance and B) Monitored parameters: pH, T°C. and COD.

491 The average denitrification rate was 1631 (+/- 380) mg/l N-NO3.day. Between 200 and 400 hours, 492 it was necessary to incorporate methanol because the stoichiometry ratio of C/N was low. Then, the 493 system was operated with an excess of carbon. Despite the pre-established acclimatization phase, the cultivation exhibited a delay of over 72 hours in initiating the intended process. Furthermore, observations at 250 and 400 hours revealed an elevation in the load to 3000 mg/l, yet the process exhibited inefficiency. This inefficacy could be attributed to the adverse toxic attributes of the effluent and the resulting inhibitory effects. Subsequent to a prolonged duration, the system finally attained a stable operational state. Therefore, a strategic decision was made to cap the maximum load at 2000 mg/l.

In contrast, Rout et at showed the efficacy and efficiency in nitrogen and COD elimination when 500 operating at a C/N ratio of 5 impeding the nitrification process crucial for ammonium nitrogen 501 conversion. At a COD/NO₃⁻ ratio of 2.5, a strategy of partial enhancing nitrate removal efficiency 502 while concurrently diminishing microbial diversity. Likewise, hydraulic retention time of 32 hours 503 504 and an influent nitrate concentration of 50 mg/L emerging as the quintessential recipe for fostering elevated nitrate removal rates, removing 99.4% [37]. Strategic regulation of the carbon to nitrogen 505 506 ratio within freshwater ponds presents a promising avenue for bolstering nitrate removal efficacy while mitigating nitrite accumulation, thereby mitigating environmental adversities. 507

508 PBBRs excel in providing surface area for biomass attachment, while FBBRs enhance mass transfer 509 rates and prevent biofilm formation. Considerations include reactor size, energy requirements, and 510 operational stability. Despite challenges, FBBRs show promise for wastewater treatment and other 511 processes, fostering further research for optimization and broader application. This potential extends 512 to biotechnology processes involving enzyme or eukaryotic cell immobilization, as low-flow 513 conditions minimize the risk of cell damage.

Advancements in wastewater treatment, particularly in the context of uranium industry wastewater 514 treatment, have been really significant. Various technologies and methods have been developed to 515 516 address the challenges associated with treating radioactive wastewater effectively, however very few treatments have demonstrated efficient and robust advances. Some key contributions to 517 518 advancements in wastewater treatment, especially in uranium industry wastewater treatment, included: Chemical Precipitation Method, Ion Exchange, evaporation concentration, adsorption, 519 precipitation, biotechnology, membrane separation, and photocatalysis. However, these 520 technologies have a high energy demand, the use of a low heat rate when processing the residual 521 522 liquid, rapid corrosion of the equipment to be used, strict control of the system temperature and possibility of secondary contamination. Indeed, the advancements showed in this work, contribute 523 524 significantly to the field of wastewater treatment, especially in the uranium industry, by offering 525 more efficient, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly solutions for treating radioactive 526 wastewater

527 The innovative bioreactor system developed in this study demonstrates strong potential for real 528 world applications, particularly in treating complex effluents with high nitrate and uranium 529 concentrations. Its scalability is supported by a modular design, high carrier load capacity, energy 530 efficiency, and adaptability to variable effluent compositions. These features make it a promising 531 solution for large-scale industrial wastewater treatment, contributing to sustainable water 532 management and environmental protection.

533 4 Conclusions

When compared with other systems, HG carriers emerge as highly productive, cost-effective options 534 with favorable hydrodynamic characteristics. Based on the results, we conclude that the Fluidized 535 Bed Bioreactor (FBBR) with HG biofilm carriers demonstrated superior performance compared to 536 537 the Packed Bed Bioreactor (PBBR) in terms of nitrate and COD removal efficiencies. The FBBR's fluidized design provided enhanced mass transfer, minimized clogging through uniform biofilm 538 539 distribution, and showed greater resilience to variable influent conditions. These results highlight the FBBR with HG carriers as a robust option for industrial applications, particularly in treating 540 541 high-contaminant and fluctuating wastewater sources. Comparing fluidized bed bioreactors with other systems, FBBR is suitable for environmental bioremediation. Successful scale-up can be 542 achieved by maintaining similar chemical and physical conditions in both scales while using carriers 543 with different densities. Further research is needed to assess pilot-scale feasibility and improve the 544 anaerobic digestion system a for bacterial adhesion. 545

Future research should focus on several key areas to advance the pilot-scale feasibility of these 546 bioreactor systems. Firstly, there is a need for comprehensive studies to optimize the operating 547 548 parameters and design configurations of PBBs and FBBRs to ensure efficient performance and scalability into the long-term stability and robustness of these systems are essential to assess their 549 550 performance under varying environmental conditions and fluctuating influent characteristics. Understanding the dynamics FBBRs over extended operational periods is crucial for reliable and 551 sustainable operation at pilot-scale. Additionally, further exploration to improve bacterial adhesion 552 and enhance. Strategies such as surface modification of carrier materials. Furthermore, 553

554 interdisciplinary research efforts integrating bioreactor engineering, microbiology, and 555 environmental science will be instrumental in addressing the complex challenges associated with 556 scaling up FBBRs for practical applications.

557 Author Contributions

558 Conceptualization, V.M. and P.B.; methodology, V.M. and P.B; investigation, V.M. and P.B.; 559 writing; original draft preparation, D.S.; writing—review and editing, V.M, P.B and R.M. All 560 authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

561 Conflicts of interest

562 There are no conflicts to declare.

563 Acknowledgements

564 This research received no external funding from the National Commission of Atomic Energy.

565 References

- Jeong, D.; Cho, K.; Lee, C.-H.; Lee, S.; Bae, H. Integration of Forward Osmosis Process and a
 Continuous Airlift Nitrifying Bioreactor Containing PVA/Alginate-Immobilized Cells.
- 568 *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2016**, *306*, 1212–1222, doi:10.1016/j.cej.2016.08.050.
- 569 2. Quan, X.; Huang, K.; Li, M.; Lan, M.; Li, B. Nitrogen Removal Performance of Municipal
- 570 Reverse Osmosis Concentrate with Low C/N Ratio by Membrane-Aerated Biofilm Reactor.
- 571 Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 2018, 12, 5, doi:10.1007/s11783-018-1047-6.
- 572 3. Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) Available online: https://condorchem.com/en/zld/ (accessed on
 6 October 2018).
- Roch Nicholas Analysis of Ammonia Removal From Wastewater Market: Feasibility of
 Saltworks Introducing New Technology. Master Thesis MBA, Simon Fraser University:
 Canada, 2015.
- 577 5. Dhangar, K.; Kumar, M. Tricks and Tracks in Removal of Emerging Contaminants from the
 578 Wastewater through Hybrid Treatment Systems: A Review. *Science of The Total Environment*579 2020, 738, 140320, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140320.
- 580 6. Schoeman J.; Steyn A. Nitrate Removal with Reverse Osmosis in a Rural Area in South Africa.
- 581 *Desalination* **2003**, *155*, *15-26*.

- 582 7. Żołnierczyk, M.; Barbusiński, K. PHYSICOCHEMICAL METHODS OF NITRATES
- 583 REMOVAL FROM WASTEWATER. Architecture, Civil Engineering, Environment 2019,
 584 12, 153–159, doi:10.21307/acee-2019-046.
- *12, 103 109, doi:10.2150// doi:00.2019/010.*
- 585 8. Morris, S.; Garcia-Cabellos, G.; Ryan, D.; Enright, D.; Enright, A.-M. Low-Cost
- 586 Physicochemical Treatment for Removal of Ammonia, Phosphate and Nitrate Contaminants
- 587 from Landfill Leachate. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A* **2019**, *54*, 1233–
- 588 1244, doi:10.1080/10934529.2019.1633855.
- George A Ekama REVIEW Recent Developments in Biological Nutrient Removal. *Published under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence* 2015, *Water SA Vol. 41 No. 4 July 2015*,
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v41i4.11.
- 592 10. Nitrogen Removal Performance and Microbial Distribution in Pilot- and Full-Scale Integrated
 593 Fixed-Biofilm Activated Sludge Reactors Based on Nitritation-Anammox Process.
 594 *Bioresource Technology* 2015, *196*, 448–453, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.090.
- Le, H.T.; Jantarat, N.; Khanitchaidecha, W.; Ratananikom, K.; Nakaruk, A. Performance of
 Nitrogen Removal in Attached Growth Reactors with Different Carriers. *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination* 2018, *8*, 331–339, doi:10.2166/wrd.2017.182.
- 598 12. Biological Denitrification with a Novel Biodegradable Polymer as Carbon Source and Biofilm
 599 Carrier. *Bioresource Technology* 2012, *118*, 136–140, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.066.
- 600 13. Ahmad, M.; Liu, S.; Mahmood, N.; Mahmood, A.; Ali, M.; Zheng, M.; Ni, J. Effects of Porous
- Carrier Size on Biofilm Development, Microbial Distribution and Nitrogen Removal in
 Microaerobic Bioreactors. *Bioresource Technology* 2017, 234, 360–369,
 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.076.
- Lier, J.B. van; Zee, F.P. van der; Frijters, C.T.M.J.; Ersahin, M.E. Celebrating 40 Years
 Anaerobic Sludge Bed Reactors for Industrial Wastewater Treatment. *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol* 2015, *14*, 681–702, doi:10.1007/s11157-015-9375-5.
- 607 15. Howard, J.R. Fluidized Bed Technology: Principles and Applications,; 1 edition.; CRC Press:
- 608 Bristol; New York, 1989; ISBN 978-0-85274-055-2.
- 609 16. Burghate, S.P.; Ingole, D.N.W. Fluidized Bed Biofilm Reactor A Novel Wastewater
- 610 Treatment Reactor Available online: /paper/Fluidized-Bed-Biofilm-Reactor-%E2%80%93-A-
- 611 Novel-Wastewater-Burghate-Ingole/d8b37397868550edac63c50393a4e3b107688f64
- 612 (accessed on 3 December 2018).

- 613 17. Dong, Y.; Fan, S.-Q.; Shen, Y.; Yang, J.-X.; Yan, P.; Chen, Y.-P.; Li, J.; Guo, J.-S.; Duan, X.614 M.; Fang, F.; et al. A Novel Bio-Carrier Fabricated Using 3D Printing Technique for
 615 Wastewater Treatment. *Scientific Reports* 2015, *5*, 12400, doi:10.1038/srep12400.
- 616 18. Luo, G.; Liu, Z.; Gao, J.; Hou, Z.; Tan, H. Nitrate Removal Efficiency and Bacterial 617 Community of Polycaprolactone-Packed Bioreactors Treating Water from a Recirculating
- 618 Aquaculture System. *Aquacult Int* **2018**, *26*, 773–784, doi:10.1007/s10499-018-0251-5.
- Karadağ, E.; Üzüm, Ö.B.; Saraydın, D.; Güven, O. Swelling Characterization of GammaRadiation Induced Crosslinked Acrylamide/Maleic Acid Hydrogels in Urea Solutions. *Materials & Design* 2006, *27*, 576–584, doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2004.11.019.
- Kardena, E.; Ridhati, S.L.; Helmy, Q. Molecular Imprinted Hydrogel Polymer (MIHP) as
 Microbial Immobilization Media in Artificial Produced Water Treatment. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 2018, *106*, 012088, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/106/1/012088.
- 625 21. Maaz, M.; Yasin, M.; Aslam, M.; Kumar, G.; Atabani, A.E.; Idrees, M.; Anjum, F.; Jamil, F.;
- 626 Ahmad, R.; Khan, A.L.; et al. Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors for Wastewater Treatment:
- 627 Novel Configurations, Fouling Control and Energy Considerations. *Bioresource Technology*
- 628 **2019**, *283*, 358–372, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.03.061.
- Hou, Y.; Liu, M.; Tan, X.; Hou, S.; Yang, P. Study on COD and Nitrogen Removal Efficiency
 of Domestic Sewage by Hybrid Carrier Biofilm Reactor. *RSC Adv.* 2021, *11*, 27322–27332,
 doi:10.1039/D1RA03286K.
- Aslam, M.; Yang, P.; Lee, P.-H.; Kim, J. Novel Staged Anaerobic Fluidized Bed Ceramic
 Membrane Bioreactor: Energy Reduction, Fouling Control and Microbial Characterization. *Journal of Membrane Science* 2018, 553, 200–208, doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2018.02.038.
- 635 24. Ying, Q.; Xiao-tong, C.; Bing, L.; Gen-na, F.; Yang, W.; You-lin, S.; Zhen-ming, L.; Ya-ping,
- 636 T.; Chun-he, T. The Conceptual Flowsheet of Effluent Treatment during Preparing Spherical
- 637 Fuel Elements of HTR. Nuclear Engineering and Design 2014, 271, 189–192,
- 638 doi:10.1016/j.nucengdes.2013.11.030.
- 639 25. How Uranium Ore Is Made into Nuclear Fuel World Nuclear Association Available online:
- 640 https://www.world-nuclear.org/nuclear-basics/how-is-uranium-ore-made-into-nuclear-
- fuel.aspx (accessed on 27 July 2019).
- 642 26. Shahid, M.K.; Kashif, A.; Rout, P.R.; Aslam, M.; Fuwad, A.; Choi, Y.; Banu J, R.; Park, J.H.;
- 643 Kumar, G. A Brief Review of Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors Emphasizing Recent
- 644 Advancements, Fouling Issues and Future Perspectives. Journal of Environmental
- 645 *Management* **2020**, *270*, 110909, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110909.

- Kwak, W.; Rout, P.R.; Lee, E.; Bae, J. Influence of Hydraulic Retention Time and Temperature
 on the Performance of an Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation Fluidized Bed Membrane
 Bioreactor for Low-Strength Ammonia Wastewater Treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*2020, *386*, 123992, doi:10.1016/j.cej.2019.123992.
- 650 28. Rout, P.R.; Dash, R.R.; Bhunia, P.; Rao, S. Role of Bacillus Cereus GS-5 Strain on
- 651 Simultaneous Nitrogen and Phosphorous Removal from Domestic Wastewater in an Inventive
- 652 Single Unit Multi-Layer Packed Bed Bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology* **2018**, *262*, 251–260,
- 653 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2018.04.087.
- Rout, P.R.; Dash, R.R.; Bhunia, P.; Lee, E.; Bae, J. Comparison between a Single Unit 654 29. Bioreactor and an Integrated Bioreactor for Nutrient Removal from Domestic Wastewater. 655 Sustainable 656 Energy *Technologies* and Assessments 2021. 48. 101620. 657 doi:10.1016/j.seta.2021.101620.
- 658 30. Tadda, M.A.; Altaf, R.; Gouda, M.; Rout, P.R.; Shitu, A.; Ye, Z.; Zhu, S.; Liu, D. Impact of
- 659 Saddle-Chips Biocarrier on Treating Mariculture Wastewater by Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor
- 660 (MBBR): Mechanism and Kinetic Study. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering
- 661 **2021**, *9*, 106710, doi:10.1016/j.jece.2021.106710.
- 662 31. JC Cuggino 1. Síntesis de Para Su Posible Aplicación En La Liberación de Drogas
 663 Controladas, 16 17 Octubre 2008. 2º Encuentro de Jóvenes Investigadores en Ciencia y
 664 Tecnología de Materiales Posadas Misiones 2008.
- 665 32. Chang, C.-N.; Cheng, H.-B.; Chao, A.C. Applying the Nernst Equation To Simulate Redox
 666 Potential Variations for Biological Nitrification and Denitrification Processes. *Environ. Sci.*
- 667 *Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 1807–1812, doi:10.1021/es021088e.
- 33. Venturini, M.; Rossen, A.; Bucci, P.; Silva Paulo, P. Applying the Nernst Equation to Control
 ORP in Denitrification Process for Uranium-Containing Nuclear Effluent with High Loads of
- 670 Nitrogen and COD. *Water* **2022**, *14*, 2227, doi:10.3390/w14142227.
- 671 34. Cuggino J. Síntesis de Para Su Posible Aplicación En La Liberación de Drogas Controladas.
- 672 In Proceedings of the 2° Encuentro de Jóvenes Investigadores en Ciencia y Tecnología de
 673 Materiales Posadas Misiones; 2008.
- 674 35. Venu Vinod, A.; Venkat Reddy, G. Simulation of Biodegradation Process of Phenolic
- 675 Wastewater at Higher Concentrations in a Fluidized-Bed Bioreactor. *Biochemical Engineering*
- 676 *Journal* **2005**, *24*, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.bej.2005.01.005.

- 677 36. Dong, Z.; Lu, M.; Huang, W.; Xu, X. Treatment of Oilfield Wastewater in Moving Bed Biofilm
- 678 Reactors Using a Novel Suspended Ceramic Biocarrier. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2011,
- 679 *196*, 123–130, doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.09.001.
- 680 37. Rout, P.R.; Bhunia, P.; Dash, R.R. Simultaneous Removal of Nitrogen and Phosphorous from
- 681 Domestic Wastewater Using Bacillus Cereus GS-5 Strain Exhibiting Heterotrophic
- 682 Nitrification, Aerobic Denitrification and Denitrifying Phosphorous Removal. *Bioresource*
- 683 *Technology* **2017**, *244*, 484–495, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.186.
- 684

685