

**FREEZING AS A STRUCTURING PROCESS FOR PROTEIN GELS: PROCESS - STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIP, MATERIAL CHARACTERISATION, AND COMPARISON WITH MEATS**

Israel Jovino Caneschi<sup>a</sup> ([israeljcaneschi@gmail.com](mailto:israeljcaneschi@gmail.com)), Daniel Angelo Longhi<sup>b</sup>, Charles Windson Isidoro Haminiuk<sup>c</sup>, Maria Lucia Masson<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Post-graduate Program in Food Engineering, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Polytechnic Center, CEP 81531-980, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

<sup>b</sup>Post-graduate Program in Food Engineering, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Jandaia do Sul Campus, CEP 86900-000, Jandaia do Sul, Paraná, Brazil.

<sup>c</sup>Biotechnology Laboratory, Federal Technological University of Paraná (UTFPR), CEP 81280-340, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil.

**Supplementary material**

Table S1 Colour Analysis Results (L\*, a\*, b\*, C\*, and h°) for Different Samples

Amostra	L*	a*	b*	C*	h°
1	68.43±0.33 <sup>B</sup>	5.42±0.02 <sup>DE</sup>	21.95±0.31 <sup>BC</sup>	22.61±0.31 <sup>BC</sup>	76.13±0.15 <sup>BCDE</sup>
2	62.95±0.44 <sup>DE</sup>	5.74±0.56 <sup>BCD</sup>	21.27±0.25 <sup>BCD</sup>	22.03±0.25 <sup>BCD</sup>	74.88±0.13 <sup>DE</sup>
3	60.52±1.53 <sup>EF</sup>	4.37±0.23 <sup>F</sup>	19.18±0.89 <sup>F</sup>	19.72±0.98 <sup>G</sup>	74.92±0.63 <sup>BC</sup>
4	56.26±1.23 <sup>GH</sup>	5.12±0.15 <sup>CDEF</sup>	19.53±0.17 <sup>EF</sup>	20.18±0.16 <sup>EFG</sup>	75.39±0.46 <sup>CDE</sup>
5	68.83±0.58 <sup>AB</sup>	4.98±0.11 <sup>DEF</sup>	20.34±0.21 <sup>CDEF</sup>	20.95±0.22 <sup>CDE</sup>	76.17±0.16 <sup>BCDE</sup>
6	64.83±0.75 <sup>CD</sup>	5.84±0.16 <sup>BC</sup>	22.30±0.13 <sup>B</sup>	23.06±0.15 <sup>B</sup>	75.24±0.32 <sup>CDE</sup>
7	61.73±0.27 <sup>E</sup>	4.72±0.14 <sup>EF</sup>	19.55±0.44 <sup>EG</sup>	20.12±0.45 <sup>FG</sup>	76.34±0.28 <sup>BCDE</sup>
8	54.03±0.59 <sup>H</sup>	5.21±0.18 <sup>CDE</sup>	19.78±0.55 <sup>DEF</sup>	20.46±0.57 <sup>DEFG</sup>	75.17±0.35 <sup>CDE</sup>
9	58.12±0.70 <sup>FG</sup>	5.69±0.30 <sup>BCD</sup>	20.84±0.90 <sup>BCDE</sup>	21.62±0.95 <sup>BCDEF</sup>	74.60±0.20 <sup>DE</sup>
10	58.12±0.67 <sup>FG</sup>	5.83±0.18 <sup>BC</sup>	21.03±0.53 <sup>BCDE</sup>	21.82±0.54 <sup>BCDE</sup>	74.51±0.37 <sup>E</sup>
Chicken Breast	71.33±0.46 <sup>A</sup>	2.12±0.27 <sup>G</sup>	20.66±0.70 <sup>CDEF</sup>	20.76±0.72 <sup>DEFG</sup>	84.17±0.54 <sup>A</sup>
Fish Fillet	66.69±1.03 <sup>BC</sup>	6.35±0.54 <sup>B</sup>	28.07±0.79 <sup>A</sup>	28.78±0.72 <sup>A</sup>	77.23±1.19 <sup>B</sup>
Sirloin Steak	34.29±2.56 <sup>I</sup>	12.30±0.81 <sup>A</sup>	15.98±1.32 <sup>G</sup>	20.17±1.41 <sup>EFG</sup>	52.39±1.88 <sup>F</sup>

Note: Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of four replicates. Means within the same column for each evaluated parameter followed by different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Table S2 Results of Vertical ( $F_V$ ) and Transverse ( $F_L$ ) Cuts in Relation to Fibre Growth for Samples 6, 8, and 9

Replicate	Cut Direction	S6	S8	S9
1	F <sub>L</sub>	3.18	3.77	5.43
	F <sub>V</sub>	1.33	1.82	4.49
2	F <sub>L</sub>	2.69	2.96	4.41
	F <sub>V</sub>	2.18	1.84	2.86
3	F <sub>L</sub>	2.33	3.39	5.71
	F <sub>V</sub>	1.57	2.02	4.10
4	F <sub>L</sub>	1.61	4.63	5.67
	F <sub>V</sub>	0.94	2.41	4.79
5	F <sub>L</sub>	3.28	4.30	3.77
	F <sub>V</sub>	2.26	2.39	3.00

6	F <sub>L</sub>	2.55	3.08	3.79
	F <sub>V</sub>	1.86	1.65	2.71
7	F <sub>L</sub>	2.69	2.80	2.37
	F <sub>V</sub>	2.08	2.53	1.90
8	F <sub>L</sub>	1.86	5.30	2.12
	F <sub>V</sub>	0.82	4.69	1.12
9	F <sub>L</sub>	2.24	3.45	2.57
	F <sub>V</sub>	1.12	2.00	1.26
10	F <sub>L</sub>	2.02	3.53	2.92
	F <sub>V</sub>	1.51	1.94	2.12

Note: The cutting results are provided in Newtons (N) for the 10 replicates performed.