Supplementary Table S1: Pairwise comparisons between the four bread samples for postprandial glucose, insulin, GLP-1, and subjective appetite sensations (hunger, fullness, and desire to eat), based on estimated marginal means. Values are presented as mean differences with 95% confidence intervals.

iAUC ₁₈₀ Glucose	Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower, Upper)	<i>p</i> -value
WB vs WGB	268.77	[-457.40, 994.94]	1.000
WB vs CFM	105.03	[-513.96, 724.01]	1.000
WB vs CLP	669.20	[10.93, 1327.47]	0.045
WGB vs CFM	-163.75	[-880.11, 552.62]	1.000
WGB vs CLP	400.43	[-183.93, 984.78]	0.324
CFM vs CLP	564.17	[134.24, 994.11]	0.007

iAUC ₁₈₀ Insulin	Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower,	<i>p</i> -value
		Upper)	
WB vs WGB	416.43	[-676.64, 1509.50]	1.000
WB vs CFM	-340.62	[-1676.47, 995.23]	1.000
WB vs CLP	-441.01	[-1911.02, 1028.99]	1.000
WGB vs CFM	-757.05	[-2028.27, 514.18]	0.534
WGB vs CLP	-857.44	[-2303.65, 588.77]	0.542
CFM vs CLP	-100.39	[-1162.44, 961.66]	1.000

iAUC ₁₈₀ GLP-1	Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower,	<i>p</i> -value
		Upper)	
WB vs WGB	-759.77	[-1828.16, 308.62]	0.280
WB vs CFM	-436.90	[-1027.56, 153.76]	0.237
WB vs CLP	-755.10	[-1386.65, -123.55]	0.015
WGB vs CFM	322.87	[-858.31, 1504.06]	1.000
WGB vs CLP	4.67	[-1210.85, 1220.19]	1.000
CFM vs CLP	-318.20	[-929.61, 293.21]	0.795

Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower, Upper)	<i>p</i> -value
167.76	[-57.17, 392.68]	0.229
240.52	[50.95, 430.08]	0.010
322.72	[73.18, 572.25]	0.008
72.76	[-114.49, 260.01]	1.000
154.96	[-86.52, 396.45]	0.414
82.20	[-108.92, 273.32]	1.000
	167.76 240.52 322.72 72.76 154.96	167.76 [-57.17, 392.68] 240.52 [50.95, 430.08] 322.72 [73.18, 572.25] 72.76 [-114.49, 260.01] 154.96 [-86.52, 396.45]

iAUC ₁₈₀ Fullness	Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower,	<i>p</i> -value
		Upper)	
WB vs WGB	-148.94	[-423.72, 125.83]	0.711
WB vs CFM	-135.55	[-344.27, 73.17]	0.397
WB vs CLP	-308.43	[-561.83, -55.03]	0.013
WGB vs CFM	13.39	[-234.52, 261.30]	1.000
WGB vs CLP	-159.49	[-340.83, 21.86]	0.104
CFM vs CLP	-172.88	[-427.58, 81.82]	0.336

iAUC ₁₈₀ Desire to eat	Mean Difference	95% CI (Lower,	<i>p</i> -value
		Upper)	
WB vs WGB	103.81	[-190.13, 397.76]	1.000
WB vs CFM	167.12	[-9.77, 344.00]	0.070
WB vs CLP	286.68	[8.89, 564.48]	0.041
WGB vs CFM	63.30	[-219.95, 346.56]	1.000
WGB vs CLP	182.87	[-19.48, 385.22]	0.090
CFM vs CLP	119.57	[-133.16, 372.30]	1.000

Bonferroni-adjusted p-values were used to assess statistical significance. Statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) are indicated in bold