Supplementary Information

Sustainable Synthesis of Heteroaryl Ethers from Azine *N*-Oxides via Phosphoramide Catalysis

Danyi Liu, Fenlian Xu, Tong Han, Keyume Ablajan and Dong Wang*

chem_wd@hotmail.com

Table of Contents

1. General Experimental	2
2. Optimization of the Aryloxylation	2
3. General Procedure	6
4. Preparation of Azine <i>N</i> -oxides	7
5. Preparation Procedure	8
6. Green Chemistry Metrics Analysis	
7. References	
8. NMR Spectra	
9. HRMS Spectra	

1. General Experimental

The preparation experiments were performed under air or an argon atmosphere in oven dried glassware. Solvents used as reaction media were distilled immediately before use: THF was distilled from Na/benzophenone ketyl, DCM and DCE were distilled from calcium hydride, DMF was obtained from vacuum distillation. All reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality and used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using ultra violet light (UV) as the visualizing agent. Metallic heating mantle was used in all of the reactions carried out in this work. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded on Bruker Bruker Avance NEO 600 instruments and were calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent as an internal reference (¹H NMR: CHC1₃ 7.26 ppm, ¹³C NMR: CHC1₃ 77.16 ppm). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Ultimate 3000/Q-Exactive mass spectrometer. Melting points were recorded on an automatic melting point meter (Shang Hai Zhuo Guang) GM50. The following abbreviations were used to indicate multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quin = quintet, sex = sextet, sep = septet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, ddd = doublet of doublet of doublets, ddt = doublet of triplets, m = multiplet).

2. Optimization of the Aryloxylation



Table S1 Optimization of the phenoxylation of isoquinoline N-oxide.^a

Entry	Solvent	Base (eq.)	Cat. (eq.)	Phenol/eq.	Y/% (3a)	Y/% (4)	
Catalyst screen							
1	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	61	23	
2	EA	DIEA (2)	2b (0.2)	2	53	16	
3	EA	DIEA (2)	2c (0.2)	2	33	9	
4	EA	DIEA (2)	PyBroP (0.2)	2	20	trace	
5	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0)	2	40	13	
			Solvent scree	n			
6	DCM	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	56	28	
7	CH ₃ CN	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	47	18	
8	THF	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	41	20	
9	toluene	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	44	23	
			Temperature sci	reen			
10 ^b	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	42	33	
11 ^c	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	49	trace	
		(Concentration sc	creen			
12 ^d	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	55	20	
13 ^e	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	33	24	
			Ag Additive sci	reen			
14^{f}	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	39	26	
15 g	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	23	10	
		Equ	ivalent and base	e screen			
16 ^h	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	55	23	
17 i	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	2	trace	trace	
18	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.1)	2	48	26	
19	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	66	22	
20	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.6)	2	54	23	
21	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.8)	2	56	27	
22	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (1.0)	2	56	18	
23	EA	DIEA(1)	HMPA (0.4)	2	41	trace	
24	EA	DIEA (1.5)	HMPA (0.4)	2	60	trace	
25	EA	DIEA (3)	HMPA (0.4)	2	40	trace	
26	EA	$Na_2CO_3(2)$	HMPA (0.4)	2	0	trace	
27	EA	Et ₃ N (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	41	trace	
28	EA	DBU (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	31	trace	
29	EA	DABCO (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	14	trace	
30	EA	TMG (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	20	trace	
31	EA	DMAP (2)	HMPA (0.4)	2	0	trace	
32	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.4)	1.5	44	19	
33	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.4)	3	72	10	
34	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.4)	4	75	14	
35	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.2)	3	36	trace	

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted with isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), phenol, base, catalyst and POBr₃ (2.0 equiv) in solvent (0.5 M) at room temperature and stirred for 5 minutes. ^{*b*} Reacted at 0 °C. ^{*c*} Reacted at 60 °C. ^{*d*} 0.25 M concentration. ^{*e*} 0.75 M concentration. ^{*f*} 1.0 equiv of Ag₂CO₃ was used. ^{*g*} 1.0 equiv of AgBF₄ was used. ^{*h*} 1.2 equiv of POBr₃ was used. ^{*i*} 3.0 equiv of POBr₃ was used.

+ N	+ - H0		IMPA, DIEA POBr ₃ , EA		
5a					6a
Entry	DIEA/eq	HMPA/eq	Phenol/eq	POBr ₃ /eq	Y/% (6a)
1	2	0.4	3	2	74
2	2	0.4	2	2	69
3	2	0.2	2	2	65
4	1	0.2	2	2	27
5	2	0.2	2	1	68
6	2	0.1	2	2	64
7	2	0.1	2	1.5	71
8	2	0.1	2	1	70
9 ^b	2	0.1	2	0.5	52
10	2	0.1	1.5	1	69
11	2	0.1	1	1	48
12 ^c	2	0.1	1.5	1	62
13 ^d	2	0.1	1.5	1	57

Table S2 Optimization of the phenoxylation of quinoline N-oxide.^a

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted with quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), phenol, DIEA, HMPA and POBr₃ in EA (0.5 M) at room temperature and stirred for 5 minutes. ^{*b*} Reacted for 12 h. ^{*c*} 0.75 M concentration.

	(+) + N + O HC		IMPA, DIEA POBr ₃ , EA		
7а					
Entry	DIEA/eq	HMPA/eq	Phenol/eq	POBr ₃ /eq	Y/% (7a)
1	2	0.4	3	2	56
2	2	0.8	3	2	60
3	2	0.2	3	2	59
4	2	0	3	2	50
5	2	0.2	2	2	58
6	2	0.2	1	2	31
7 ^b	2	0.2	2	2	51
8 c	2	0.2	2	2	40
9 d	2	0.2	2	2	50
10 e	2	0.2	2	2	43
11^{f}	2	0.2	2	2	51
12	2	0.2	2	0.5	45
13	2	0.2	2	1	50
14	2	0.2	2	4	46
15	4	0.2	2	2	54
16	1	0.2	2	2	47

Table S3 Optimization of the phenoxylation of pyridine N-oxide.^a

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted with pyridine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.0 mmol), phenol, DIEA, HMPA and POBr₃ in EA (0.5 M) at room temperature and stirred for 5~8 hours. ^{*b*} 0.75 M concentration. ^{*c*} 0.25 M concentration. ^d 0.1 M concentration. ^e Reacted at 60 °C. ^f Reacted at 80 °C.

$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ $						\sim
Entry	Μ	Solvent	Base(eq)	Cat.(eq)	Time	Y/%
1	0.5	EA	DIEA (2)	HMPA (0.4)	0.5 h	trace
2^b	0.25	DCM	$Na_2CO_3(2)$	PyBroP (0.6)	12 h	5
3	0.25	DCM	$Na_2CO_3(2)$	HMPA (0.4)	12 h	N/A
4	0.25	DCM	DIEA(2)	PyBroP (0.6)	0.5 h	12
5	0.5	EA	DIEA (2)	PyBroP (0.4)	0.5 h	14

Table S4 Optimization of the alkoxylation of isoquinoline N-oxide.^a

^{*a*} Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted with isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), *n*-BuOH (3.0 equiv), base and catalyst in solvent at room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes, then POBr₃ (2.0 equiv) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction was then warmed to room temperature. ^{*b*} This condition was referred to *Org. Lett.* 2016, 18, 1362–1365. 4Å molecular sieve was added to the reaction mixture.

3. General Procedure

General Procedure 1: Aryloxylation of Isoquinoline *N*-oxide. To a solution of an isoquinoline *N*-oxide derivative (1.0 mmol) in dry EA (1.0 mL) is added ArOH (3.0 mmol), DIEA (2.0 mmol) and HMPA (0.4 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of POBr₃ (2.0 mmol) in EA (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 minutes (the reaction is complete as indicated by TLC). The reaction was quenched with a saturated potassium carbonate solution and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA. The organic phase was combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 200:1-100:1) furnishes the desired product.

General Procedure 2: Aryloxylation of Quinoline *N*-oxide. To a solution of a quinoline *N*-oxide derivative (1.0 mmol) in dry EA (1.0 mL) is added ArOH (1.5 mmol), DIEA (2.0 mmol) and HMPA (0.1 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of POBr₃ (1.0 mmol) in EA (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 minutes (the reaction is complete as indicated by TLC). The reaction was quenched with

a saturated potassium carbonate solution and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA. The organic phase was combined, dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 200:1-100:1) furnishes the desired product.

General Procedure 3: Aryloxylation of Pyridine *N*-oxide. To a solution of a pyridine *N*-oxide derivative (1.0 mmol) in dry EA (1.0 mL) is added ArOH (2.0 mmol), DIEA (2.0 mmol) and HMPA (0.2 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of POBr₃ (2.0 mmol) in EA (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5-24 hours (the reaction is complete as indicated by TLC). The reaction was quenched with a saturated potassium carbonate solution and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA. The organic phase was combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 200:1-100:1) furnishes the desired product.

General Procedure 4: Alkoxylation of azine *N*-oxide. To a solution of an azine *N*-oxide derivative (1.0 mmol) in dry EA (1.0 mL) is added ROH (3.0 mmol), DIEA (2.0 mmol) and PyBroP (0.4 mmol). The resulting solution is stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. Then the resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of POBr₃ (2.0 mmol) in EA (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes (the reaction is complete as indicated by TLC). The reaction was quenched with a saturated potassium carbonate solution and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA. The organic phase was combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 200:1) furnishes the desired product.

4. Preparation of Azine N-oxides

All *N*-oxides were prepared following the reported procedure.¹



7-methylisoquinoline 2-oxide. In a 2-necked round bottom flask, 7-bromoisoquinoline (1.5 g, 7.2

mmol), methylboronic acid (0.86 g, 14.4 mmol), K₃PO₄ (6.1 g, 28.8 mmol) and S-Phos (1 mol%) were combined and taken up in PhMe (72 mL). Argon was bubbled through for 10 min, then Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.5 mol%) was added and the mixture was heated to 110 °C for 8-18 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and water was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc once. The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 8:1-4:1) afforded the product 7-methylisoquinoline as a white solid (989 mg, 96%).²

7-Methylisoquinoline (500 mg, 3.5 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (11.6 mL) and *m*CPBA (725 mg, 4.2 mmol) was added and stirred at room temperature overnight until the reaction was complete as indicated by TLC. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and chromatographed (DCM: MeOH = 100:1-50:1) to afford the product 7-methylisoquinoline 2-oxide as a white solid (350 mg, 63%).



6-(phenylethynyl)quinoline 1-oxide. 6-Bromoquinoline (4.2 g, 20 mmol), phenylacetylene (2.2 g, 22 mmol), $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (140 mg, 1 mol %), CuI (114 mg, 3 mol %), and dry acetonitrile (30 mL) were added to an oven-dried Schlenk tube charged with a magnetic stirrer bar. Dry triethylamine (3.0 g, 30 mmol) was added, and the reaction was heated at reflux for 16 h. On completion, the reaction mixture was washed with H₂O, extracted with DCM, dried over MgSO₄, and filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 15:1-5:1) afforded the product 6-(phenylethynyl)quinoline as a red solid (3.85 g, 84%).³

6-(Phenylethynyl)quinoline (500 mg, 2.2 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (2.2 mL) and *m*CPBA (530 mg, 2.6 mmol) was added and stirred at room temperature overnight until the reaction was complete as indicated by TLC. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and chromatographed (DCM : MeOH = 40:1-20:1) afforded the product as a red-brown solid (430 mg, 80%).

5. Preparation Procedure



1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3a). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (110 mg, 72% yield) as a white solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (14 mg, 10% yield) as a yellow solid. The spectroscopic data of the major product are consistent with previously reported.⁴ TLC: $R_f = 0.75$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{110}{153} \times 1 = \ 72\%$$

Gram scale synthesis:

In a 2-necked 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added isoquinoline *N*-oxide (1.0 g, 6.9 mmol) and dry EA (7 mL), followed by PhOH (1.95 g, 20.7 mmol), DIEA (1.78 g, 13.8 mmol) and HMPA (0.50 g, 2.8 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of POBr₃ (3.96 g, 13.8 mmol) in dry EA (7 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with a saturated potassium carbonate solution (5 mL) and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA (15 mL). The organic phase was combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography (PE : EA = 150:1-100:1) furnishes the desired pure product (967 mg, 63%). Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (187 mg, 13% yield) as a yellow solid.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{0.97}{1.53} \times 1 = 63\%$$



4-bromoisoquinoline (**4**). The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁵ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.17 (s, 1H), 8.72 (s, 1H), 8.17-8.15 (m, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.70-7.67(m, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{14}{144} \times 1 = 10\%$$



1-(p-tolyloxy)isoquinoline (3b). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (105 mg, 65% yield) as a white solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (36 mg, 25% yield). **3b**: TLC: $R_f = 0.74$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 77.0-77.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.62-7.59 (m, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.24 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.13 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.1, 151.7, 140.1, 138.6, 134.7, 130.9, 130.3, 127.2, 126.4, 124.4, 121.8, 120.0, 116.2, 21.1. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 236.1066.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{105}{162} \times 1 = 65\%$$



1-(4-(tert-butyl)phenoxy)isoquinoline (3c). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (82 mg, 43% yield) as a yellow solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁴ TLC: $R_f = 0.74$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.46 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.19 (m, 2H), 1.36 (s, 9H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{82}{191} \times 1 = 43\%$$



1-(4-methoxyphenoxy)isoquinoline (3d). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (90 mg, 52% yield) as a white solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (29 mg, 20% yield). The spectroscopic data of major product are consistent with previously reported.⁶ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.45 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80-7.79 (m, 1H), 7.74-7.71 (m, 1H), 7.64-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.00-6.98 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{90}{173} \times 1 = 52\%$$



1-(4-fluorophenoxy)isoquinoline (3e). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (111 mg, 67% yield) as a colorless solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (36 mg, 25% yield). The spectroscopic data of major product are consistent with previously reported.⁷ TLC: $R_f = 0.64$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82-7.81 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.66-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.13 (m, 2H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{111}{165} \times 1 = 67\%$$



1-(4-chlorophenoxy)isoquinoline (3f). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (123 mg, 70% yield) as a white solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (35 mg, 24% yield). The spectroscopic data of major product are consistent with previously reported.⁶ TLC: $R_f = 0.64$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.42 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.42-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.21 (m, 2H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual yield}{Theoretical yield} \times 100\% = \frac{123}{176} \times 1 = 70\%$$

1-(4-bromophenoxy)isoquinoline (3g). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (93 mg, 45% yield) as a colorless oil. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (29 mg, 20% yield). **3g**: TLC: $R_f = 0.64$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 119.4-119.7 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.42 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.76-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.57-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 6.6Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.16 (m, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.4, 153.1, 139.8, 138.7, 132.8, 131.1, 127.4, 126.5, 124.2, 123.9, 119.8, 118.1, 116.9. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0017.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{93}{207} \times 1 = 45\%$$



4-(isoquinolin-1-yloxy)benzonitrile (3h). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (77 mg, 45% yield) as a yellow solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (27 mg, 19% yield). The spectroscopic data of major product are consistent with previously reported.⁶ TLC: $R_f = 0.68$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.38 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.85-7.84 (m, 1H), 7.78-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.74-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.67-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.38 (m, 2H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{77}{170} \times 1 = 45\%$$



methyl 4-(isoquinolin-1-yloxy)benzoate (3i). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (77 mg, 40% yield) as a yellow solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.45$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 89.8-90.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.41 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.14 (m, 2H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84-7.82 (m, 1H), 7.76-7.74 (m,1H), 7.66-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.32 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7, 160.1, 158.1, 139.8, 138.8, 131.6, 131.2, 127.5, 126.7, 126.5, 124.2, 121.6, 120.0, 117.2, 52.2. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄NO₃ 280.0968; found 280.0965.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{77}{193} \times 1 = 40\%$$



1-(2-bromophenoxy)isoquinoline (3j). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (95 mg, 46% yield) as a yellow oil. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (36 mg, 25% yield). **3j**: TLC: $R_f = 0.60$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.51 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.83-7.82 (m, 1H), 7.77-7.74 (m, 1H), 7.70-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 1H), 7.36-7.34 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.9, 151.2, 139.8, 138.7, 133.8, 131.1, 128.7, 127.4, 126.8, 126.4, 124.6, 124.5, 119.6, 116.9 116.8. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0016.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{95}{207} \times 1 = 46\%$$



1-(3-bromophenoxy)isoquinoline (3k). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (89 mg, 43% yield) as a yellow oil. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (35 mg, 24% yield). **3k**: TLC: $R_f = 0.66$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.83-7.82 (m, 1H), 7.76-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.45 (m, 1H), 7.40-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.21 (m, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.2, 154.7, 139.8, 138.7, 131.2, 130.8, 128.3, 127.5, 126.5, 125.5, 124.2, 122.8, 120.8, 119.9, 117.0. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0016.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{89}{207} \times 1 = 43\%$$



1-(2,6-dimethylphenoxy)isoquinoline (3l). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (98 mg, 57% yield) as a yellow solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (30 mg, 21% yield). **3l**: TLC: $R_f = 0.66$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 46.1-46.3 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.54 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.77-7.74 (m, 1H), 7.67-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.12 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 6H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.6, 150.7, 140.3, 138.6, 131.2, 130.9, 128.8, 127.2, 126.5, 125.6, 124.4, 119.3, 115.9, 16.7. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₆NO 250.1226; found 250.1223.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{98}{172} \times 1 = 57\%$$



1-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)isoquinoline (3m). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (85 mg, 45% yield) as a yellow solid. Additionally, 4-bromoisoquinoline was isolated (26 mg, 18% yield). The spectroscopic data of major product are consistent with previously reported.⁴ TLC: $R_f = 0.63$ (PE: EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz,CDCl₃) δ 8.64 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.93-7.91 (m, 2H), 7.87-7.85 (m, 1H), 7.80-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.72-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.49 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{85}{187} \times 1 = 45\%$$



3-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3n). Following **GP 1**, using 3-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (157 mg, 76% yield) as a yellow solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.69$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 66.3-67.1 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.10 (m, 2H), 7.85-7.82 (m, 1H), 7.69-7.67 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.23 (m, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.3, 153.7, 141.2, 136.9, 132.2, 129.8, 128.2, 126.1, 125.4, 124.8, 121.9, 121.1, 113.1. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0015.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{157}{207} \times 1 = 76\%$$



3-methyl-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (30). Following **GP 1**, using 3-methylisoquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (70 mg, 43% yield) as a colorless oil. TLC: $R_f = 0.65$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.52 (m, 1H), 7.45-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.21 (m, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.5, 154.6, 149.3, 139.5, 130.8, 129.4, 126.2, 125.8, 124.4, 124.3, 121.4, 118.3, 114.5, 24.1. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 236.1066.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{70}{162} \times 1 = 43\%$$



4-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (**3p**). Following **GP I**, using 4-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (110 mg, 53% yield) as a yellow solid. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : DCM = 5:1-1:1) furnishes the desired pure product. Additionally, 1,4-dibromoisoquinoline (**4-bis**) was isolated (42 mg, 21% yield) as a yellow solid. **3p**: TLC: R_f = 0.78 (PE : DCM = 1:1). Melting point: 66.7-67.3 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.12 (m, 2H), 7.86-7.84 (m, 1H), 7.71-7.68 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.26 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.24 (m, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.3, 153.7, 141.2, 136.9, 132.2, 129.8, 128.2, 126.1, 125.5, 124.8, 122.0, 121.1, 113.1. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0015.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{110}{207} \times 1 = 53\%$$



1,4-dibromoisoquinoline (**4-bis**). The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁸ TLC: $R_f = 0.71$ (PE : DCM = 1:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \, yield}{Theoretical \, yield} \times 100\% = \frac{42}{198} \times 1 = 21\%$$



5-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3q). Following **GP 1**, using 5-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (128 mg, 62% yield) as a yellow solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.66$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 94.8-95.4 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.43 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.98 (m, 1H), 7.64-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.27-7.23 (m, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.9, 153.8, 141.4, 137.8, 134.8, 129.8, 127.6, 125.4, 124.1, 122.0, 121.4, 121.2, 115.4. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0017.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{128}{207} \times 1 = 62\%$$



6-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3r). Following **GP 1**, using 6-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (68 mg, 33% yield) as a yellow solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁹ TLC: $R_f = 0.71$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.98 (m, 2H), 7.72-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.46 (t, *J*

= 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{68}{207} \times 1 = 33\%$$



6-methyl-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3s). Following GP 1, using 6-methylisoquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (105 mg, 65% yield) as a yellow solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.51$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 87.9-88.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.45-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 4H), 2.55 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.7, 154.1, 141.4, 140.1, 139.0, 129.7, 129.4, 125.5, 125.0, 124.2, 122.0, 118.2, 116.1, 22.0. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 236.1065.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{105}{162} \times 1 = \ 65\%$$



6-chloro-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3t). Following **GP 1**, using 6-chloroisoquinoline *N*-oxide (123 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (88 mg, 50% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.72$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 85.7-86.3 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.21 (m, 4H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.8, 153.7, 141.4, 139.5, 137.4, 129.8, 128.2, 126.3, 125.4, 125.3, 122.0, 118.2, 115.6. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁ClNO 256.0524; found 256.0522.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{88}{176} \times 1 = 50\%$$



7-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3u). Following **GP 1**, using 7-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (104 mg, 50% yield) as a yellow solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁹ TLC: $R_f = 0.55$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80-7.79 (m, 1H), 7.68-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.27 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.24 (m, 2H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{104}{207} \times 1 = 50\%$$



7-methyl-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3v). Following **GP 1**, using 7-methylisoquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (62 mg, 38% yield) as a yellow solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁰ TLC: $R_f = 0.63$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.57-7.55 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.24 (m, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{62}{162} \times 1 = 38\%$$



8-bromo-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3w). Following **GP 1**, using 8-bromoisoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (112 mg, 54% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.58$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 78.5-78.8 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93-7.92 (m, 1H), 7.74-7.72 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.23 (m, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 153.6, 141.4, 140.4, 134.4, 130.9, 129.8, 126.6, 125.0, 121.9, 119.1, 118.7, 117.2. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrNO 300.0019; found 300.0017.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{112}{207} \times 1 = 54\%$$

8-chloro-1-phenoxyisoquinoline (3x). Following **GP 1**, using 8-chloroisoquinoline *N*-oxide (123 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (128 mg, 73% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.52$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point:105.7-105.8 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69-7.66 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.24 (m, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.8, 153.8,141.4, 140.5, 131.5, 130.5, 130.3, 129.7, 125.8, 125.0, 121.9, 117.9, 117.0. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₁ClNO 256.0524; found 256.0521.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{128}{176} \times 1 = 73\%$$



1-(4-allyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)isoquinoline (3y). Following **GP 1**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (94 mg, 47% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.49$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 88.7-88.8 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80-7.78 (m, 1H), 7.73-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.63-7.60 (m, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.86 (m, 2H), 6.06-6.00 (m, 1H), 5.17-5.11 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.44 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.7, 151.7, 141.0, 140.1, 138.5, 138.3, 137.4, 130.8, 127.0, 126.3, 124.7, 123.3, 121.2, 119.7, 116.2, 116.1, 113.5, 56.1, 40.3. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₈NO₂ 292.1332; found 292.1328.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{94}{201} \times 1 = 47\%$$



1-(4-isopropyl-2-methylphenoxy)isoquinoline (3z). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (124 mg, 65% yield) as a yellow oil. TLC: R_f = 0.63 (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.49 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.75-7.72 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.62 (m, 1H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.07 (m, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 2.96-2.90 (m, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.27 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.5, 152.2, 148.3, 140.2, 138.6, 131.2, 130.9, 128.1, 127.2, 126.4, 124.5, 123.8, 120.5, 119.7, 116.0, 33.8, 24.1, 16.2. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₀NO 278.1539; found 278.1538.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{124}{191} \times 1 = 65\%$$



(E)-1-(4-(3,5-dimethoxystyryl)phenoxy)isoquinoline (3aa). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline 2-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (116 mg, 44% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.66$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point:142.8-143.3 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.76-7.73 (m, 1H), 7.66-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.13 (m, 1H), 7.05-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 6.43 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 6H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.1, 160.7, 153.6, 139.9, 139.5, 138.6, 134.2, 131.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.3, 126.4, 124.3, 122.2, 119.9, 116.6, 104.6, 100.1, 55.4. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₂₂NO₃ 384.1594; found 384.1594.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{116}{265} \times 1 = 44\%$$



1-(2-methoxyphenoxy)isoquinoline (3ab). Following GP 1, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (69 mg, 40% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.37$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 105.9-106.1°C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.50 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79-7.78 (m, 1H), 7.72-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.63-7.60 (m, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.06-7.03 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.6, 152.0, 144.8, 140.1, 138.5, 130.8, 127.0, 126.3, 124.6, 123.6, 121.2, 119.6, 116.1, 113.1, 56.0. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO₂ 252.1019; found 252.1018.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{69}{173} \times 1 = 40\%$$



6a

2-phenoxyquinoline (6a). Following **GP 2**, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (106 mg, 69% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{106}{153} \times 1 = 69\%$$

Gram scale synthesis:

In a 2-necked 50 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added isoquinoline N-oxide (1.0 g, 6.9 mmol) and dry EA (7 mL), followed by PhOH (0.98 g, 10.4 mmol), DIEA (1.78 g, 13.8 mmol) and HMPA (0.12 g, 0.69 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of POBr₃ (1.98 g, 6.9 mmol) in dry EA (7 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with a saturated potassium carbonate solution (5 mL) and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted once with EA (15 mL). The organic phase was combined, dried over Na₂SO₄ and S_{1-22}

concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography (PE : EA = 150:1-100:1) furnishes the desired pure product (950 mg, 62%).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{0.95}{1.53} \times 1 = 62\%$$

6b

2-(p-tolyloxy)quinoline (6b). Following **GP 2**, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (141 mg, 87% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : acetone = 50:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.60 (m, 1H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s,

3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{141}{162} \times 1 = 87\%$$



2-(4-methoxyphenoxy)quinoline (6c). Following **GP 2**, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (137 mg, 79% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97-6.95 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \, yield}{Theoretical \, yield} \times 100\% = \frac{137}{173} \times 1 = 79\%$$



2-(4-chlorophenoxy)quinoline (6d). Following GP 2, using quinoline N-oxide (100 mg, 0.69

mmol), the title compound was obtained (111 mg, 63% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.74$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79-7.76 (m, 2H), 7.64-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{111}{176} \times 1 = 63\%$$



2-(4-bromophenoxy)quinoline (6e). Following GP 2, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (114 mg, 55% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.60$ (PE : acetone = 50:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.64-7.61 (m, 1H), 7.55-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{114}{207} \times 1 = 55\%$$



methyl 4-(quinolin-2-yloxy)benzoate (6f). Following GP 2, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (100 mg, 52% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 63.9-64.1 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.11 (m, 2H), 7.79 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8, 161.0, 158.0, 146.4, 140.2, 131.5, 130.1, 128.1, 127.5, 126.4, 126.1, 125.4, 121.0, 113.1, 52.2. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₄NO₃ 280.0968; found 280.0966.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{100}{193} \times 1 = 52\%$$



(E)-2-(4-(3,5-dimethoxystyryl)phenoxy)quinoline (6g). Following GP 2, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (180 mg, 68% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.45$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.13-7.09 (m, 2H), 7.03-7.00 (m, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.41-6.40 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 6H). *Yield* = $\frac{Actual yield}{Theoretical yield} \times 100\% = \frac{180}{265} \times 1 = 68\%$



6h

3-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline (6h). Following **GP 2**, using 3-methylquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (66 mg, 41% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.45$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.70 (dd, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.52-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.43-7.41(m, 2H), 7.38-7.36 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{66}{162} \times 1 = 41\%$$



4-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline (6i). Following **GP 2**, using 4-methylquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (87 mg, 54% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.80$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.59 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.20 (m, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 2.66 (s, 3H).



4-bromo-2-phenoxyquinoline (6j). Following **GP 2**, using 4-bromoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (130 mg, 63% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹² TLC: $R_f = 0.80$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.78-7.77 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.51-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.25-7.23 (m, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{130}{207} \times 1 = 63\%$$



5-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline (6k). Following **GP 2**, using 5-methylquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (87 mg, 54% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.80$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 94.8-95.1 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.29 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.5, 154.2, 146.9, 136.6, 134.6, 129.8, 129.7, 126.3, 125.8, 125.1, 124.8, 121.4, 112.1, 18.9. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 236.1067.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{87}{162} \times 1 = 54\%$$



6-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline (6l). Following **GP 2**, using 6-methylquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (92 mg, 57% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.80$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 9.0 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.04 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{92}{162} \times 1 = 57\%$$



6m

6-bromo-2-phenoxyquinoline (6m). Following **GP 2**, using 6-bromoquinoline *N*-oxide (154 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (148 mg, 71% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : acetone = 50:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69-7.65 (m, 2H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{148}{207} \times 1 = \ 71\%$$



6n

6-methoxy-2-phenoxyquinoline (6n). Following **GP 2**, using 6-methoxyquinoline *N*-oxide (121 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (100 mg, 58% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : DCM = 1:3). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz,

1H), 3.91 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{100}{173} \times 1 = 58\%$$
$$MeO_2C$$

methyl 2-phenoxyquinoline-6-carboxylate (60). Following GP 2, using 6-(methoxycarbonyl) quinoline *N*-oxide (140 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (119 mg, 62% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹¹ TLC: $R_f = 0.30$ (PE : DCM = 1:3). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.51 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21-8.19 (m, 2H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.27-7.26 (m, 3H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{119}{193} \times 1 = 62\%$$



2-phenoxy-6-(phenylethynyl)quinoline (6p). Following **GP 2**, using 6-(phenylethynyl)quinoline *N*-oxide (169 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (93 mg, 42% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : DCM = 5:1). Melting point: 164.1-164.3 °C.¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.78-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.59-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.40-7.36 (m, 3H), 7.29-7.27 (m, 3H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) 162.3, 153.8, 146.2, 139.6, 132.8, 131.8, 130.8, 129.7, 128.6, 128.2, 125.6, 125.0, 123.3, 121.7, 119.9, 113.6, 90.1, 89.3. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₁₆NO 322.1226; found 322.1224.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{93}{222} \times 1 = 42\%$$



6q

7-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline. (6q). Following GP 2, using 7-methylquinoline N-oxide (110 mg,

0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (88 mg, 54% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.80$ (PE : EA = 10:1). Melting point: 45.4-45.6 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.4 Hz,1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.22 (m, 4H), 7.01 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.9, 154.2, 146.8, 140.4, 139.6, 129.7, 127.3, 127.1, 124.7, 123.8, 121.5, 111.8, 21.9. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 230.1067.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{88}{162} \times 1 = 54\%$$



8-methyl-2-phenoxyquinoline (6r). Following **GP 2**, using 8-methylquinoline *N*-oxide (110 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (49 mg, 30% yield) as a white solid. Additionally, 2-bromo-8-methylquinoline (**6r-bis**) was isolated (44 mg, 29% yield) as a white solid. **6r**: TLC: R_f = 0.40 (PE : DCM= 5:1). Melting point: 64.0-64.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.23 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H). 2.55 (s, 3H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.9, 154.2, 145.4, 140.2, 136.0, 130.2, 129.5, 125.7, 125.3, 124.6, 121.6, 112.2, 17.6. HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₄NO 236.1070; found 230.1066.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \, yield}{Theoretical \, yield} \times 100\% = \frac{49}{162} \times 1 = 30\%$$



2-bromo-8-methylquinoline (6r-bis). The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.⁸ TLC: $R_f = 0.60$ (PE : DCM = 5:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{44}{153} \times 1 = 29\%$$

2-phenoxypyridine (7a). Following **GP 3**, using pyridine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (104 mg, 58% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹³ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22-8.21 (m, 1H), 7.69-7.67 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.16-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.00-6.98 (m, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{104}{180} \times 1 = 58\%$$

2-(4-methoxyphenoxy)pyridine (7b). Following GP 3, using pyridine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (99 mg, 47% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹³ TLC: $R_f = 0.35$ (PE : EA= 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19-8.18 (m, 1H), 7.67-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.07 (m, 2H), 6.96-6.92 (m, 3H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{99}{211} \times 1 = 47\%$$

2-(p-tolyloxy)pyridine (7c). Following GP 3, using pyridine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (81 mg, 42% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁴ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.91-6.89 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H).



2-(2,6-dimethylphenoxy)pyridine (7d). Following GP 3, using pyridine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (92 mg, 44% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁵ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.67-7.64 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.09 (m, 3H), 6.95-6.93 (m, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (s, 6H)



2-chloro-6-phenoxypyridine (7e). Following **GP 3**, using 2-chloropyridine *N*-oxide (136 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (90 mg, 42% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁶ TLC: $R_f = 0.69$ (PE : EA= 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{90}{216} \times 1 = 42\%$$



2-phenoxy-6-phenylpyridine (7f). Following **GP 3**, using 2-phenylpyridine *N*-oxide (180 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (163 mg, 63% yield) as a colorless oil. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁷ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA= 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79-7.78 (m, 2H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.23 (m, 4H), 7.21-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.09-7.04 (m, 3H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H).





6-phenoxynicotinonitrile (7g-1). Following **GP 3**, using 3-cyanopyridine *N*-oxide (126 mg, 1.05 mmol), 6-phenoxynicotinonitrile (**7g-1**) and 2-phenoxynicotinonitrile (**7g-2**) were obtained. The title compound was obtained (81 mg, 39% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁸ TLC: $R_f = 0.50$ (PE : EA= 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{81}{206} \times 1 = 39\%$$



2-phenoxynicotinonitrile (7g-2). The title compound was obtained (54 mg, 26% yield) as a white solid. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.¹⁹ TLC: $R_f = 0.30$ (PE:EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.27 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{54}{206} \times 1 = 26\%$$

4-(tert-butyl)-2-phenoxypyridine (7h). Following GP 3, using 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine *N*-oxide (159 mg, 1.05 mmol), the title compound was obtained (105 mg, 44% yield) as a white solid. TLC: $R_f = 0.60$ (PE : EA= 10:1). Melting point: 37.5-37.6 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.38 (m, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (dd, J = 5.4 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (s, 9H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.3, 164.2, 154.5, 147.4, 129.7, 124.6, 121.1, 116.3, 108.6, 35.0, 30.6.HRMS (+ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₁₅H₁₈NO 228.1383; found 228.1382.

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{105}{239} \times 1 = 44\%$$

2-phenoxypyrimidine (8). Following **GP 1**, using pyrimidine *N*-oxide (100 mg, 1.04 mmol), the title compound was obtained (119 mg, 66% yield) as a white solid. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 10:1-5:1) furnishes the desired pure product. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.²⁰ TLC: $R_f = 0.68$ (PE : EA = 1:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.56 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{119}{179} \times 1 = 66\%$$

2-phenoxy-1,10-phenanthroline (9). To a solution of a 1,10-phenanthroline *N*-oxide (135 mg, 0.69 mmol) in dry EA (0.7 mL) is added PhOH (198 mg, 2.1 mmol), DIEA (181 mg, 1.4 mmol) and HMPA (13 mg, 0.07 mmol). The resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of POBr₃ (198 mg, 0.69 mmol) in EA (0.7 mL). The reaction mixture is then warmed to room temperature and stirred for 20 minutes (the reaction is complete as indicated by TLC). Finally, it is quenched with saturated potassium carbonate solution and extracted with EA one time. The combined organic phases are dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by flash column chromatography (PE : EA = 10:1-5:1) furnishes the desired product (41 mg, 22% yield) as a yellow oil. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.²¹ TLC: R_f = 0.50 (PE : EA = 1:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.12 (dd, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (dd, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76-7.75 (m, 1H), 7.72-7.70 (m,

1H), 7.59 (dd, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H).



1-butoxyisoquinoline (**10a**). Following **GP 4**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (20 mg, 14% yield) as a yellow oil. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.²² TLC: $R_f = 0.71$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.66-7.63 (m, 1H), 7.54-7.51 (m, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.91-1.87 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.03 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).



1-ethoxyisoquinoline (**10b**). Following **GP 4**, using isoquinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (20 mg, 17% yield) as a yellow oil. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.²² TLC: $R_f = 0.71$ (PE : EA = 10:1).¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.45-7.42 (m, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.43 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{20}{120} \times 1 = 17\%$$





2-ethoxyquinoline (10d). Following GP 4, using quinoline *N*-oxide (100 mg, 0.69 mmol), the title compound was obtained (27 mg, 23% yield) as a yellow oil. The spectroscopic data are consistent with previously reported.²³ TLC: $R_f = 0.70$ (PE : EA = 10:1). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.60 (m, 1H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 1H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.46 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H).

$$Yield = \frac{Actual \ yield}{Theoretical \ yield} \times 100\% = \frac{27}{120} \times 1 = 23\%$$

6. Green Chemistry Metrics Analysis

The following formula were used for calculating Atom Economy (AE)

$$AE = \frac{Molecular \ weight \ of \ product}{Total \ molecular \ weight \ of \ reactants} \times 100\%$$



AE (**3a**) = $\frac{221.26}{145.16 + 94.11} X \ 100\% = 92.47$

7. References

- 1. Wang, D.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Z.; Ma, X.; Yu, P., Metal- and base-free regioselective thiolation of the methyl C(sp3)–H bond in 2-picoline N-oxides. *Green Chemistry* **2019**, *21* (1), 157-163.
- 2. Day, A. J.; Jenkins, T. C.; Kischkewitz, M.; Christensen, K. E.; Poole, D. L.; Donohoe, T. J., Metal and Activating Group Free C-4 Alkylation of Isoquinolines via a Temporary Dearomatization Strategy. *Organic*

Letters 2023, 25 (4), 614-618.

- 3. Moulton, B. E.; Whitwood, A. C.; Duhme-Klair, A. K.; Lynam, J. M.; Fairlamb, I. J. S., Regiochemistry in Cobalt-Mediated Intermolecular Pauson–Khand Reactions of Unsymmetrical Internal Heteroaromatic Alkynes with Norbornene. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2011**, *76* (13), 5320-5334.
- 4. Tian, Y.-M.; Silva, W.; Gschwind, R. M.; König, B., Accelerated photochemical reactions at oil-water interface exploiting melting point depression. *Science* **2024**, *383* (6684), 750-756.
- Mixdorf, J. C.; Hoffman, S. L. V.; Aluicio-Sarduy, E.; Barnhart, T. E.; Engle, J. W.; Ellison, P. A., Copper-Mediated Radiobromination of (Hetero)Aryl Boronic Pinacol Esters. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2023, 88 (4), 2089-2094.
- Kim, E. S.; Yoo, S. E.; Yi, K. Y.; Lee, S.; Noh, J. S.; Jung, Y. S.; Kim, E.; Jeong, N., Design, syntheses and biological evaluations of nonpeptidic caspase 3 inhibitors. *The Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society* 2002, 23 (7), 1003-1010.
- Ahn, S. H.; Hwang, K.-S.; Shin, D.-S.; Kim, S. S.; Yang, J. Y.; Lee, B. H.; Bae, E. J.; Choi, B. W.; Bae, M. A.; Ahn, J. H., Identification of new arylsulfide derivatives as anti-melanogenic agents in a zebrafish model. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 2020, 30 (13), 127201.
- 8. Wang, D.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, J.; Li, L.; Miao, L.; Wang, D.; Sun, H.; Yu, P., A highly practical and convenient halogenation of fused heterocyclic N-oxides. *Tetrahedron* **2016**, *72* (38), 5762-5768.
- Choi-Sledeski, Y. M. Preparation of azaheterocyclic sulfonamides as factor Xa inhibitors. US6281227, 2001-08-28.
- 10. Choi-Sledeski, Y. M. Preparation of sulfonic acid or sulfonylamino N-(heteroaralkyl)-azaheterocyclylamide compounds as inhibitors of factor Xa. US6281227, 1998-06-18.
- 11. Bu, C.; Wang, K.; Gong, C.; Wang, D., Green and fast 2-aryloxylation/amination of quinolines. *Green Chemistry* **2024**, (26), 4659-4664.
- Bi, W. Z.; Qu, C.; Chen, X. L.; Qu, L. B.; Liu, Z. D.; Sun, K.; Li, X.; Zhao, Y. F., A Direct C2-Selective Phenoxylation and Alkoxylation of Quinoline N-Oxides with Various Phenols and Alcohols in the Presence of H-Phosphonate. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2017, 2017 (34), 5125-5130.
- 13. Zhou, Y.; Fan, Y.-j.; Wang, L., β-Cyclodextrin-stabilized Cu nanoparticles catalyzed C–O coupling to access 2-aryloxypyridines. *Mendeleev Communications* **2024**, *34* (2), 270-271.
- Zhao, Y.; Wang, X.; Kaneyama, R.; Kodama, K.; Hirose, T., Efficient Pyrazole Moiety-Containing Ligands for Cu-Catalyzed O-Arylation of Phenols. *ChemistrySelect* 2020, 5 (14), 4152-4159.
- 15. Hribersek, M.; Méndez-Gálvez, C.; Huber, M.; Gates, P. J.; Shakari, P.; Samanta, A.; Pilarski, L. T., Solvent-free and ball mill-free catalytic C-H methylation. *Green Chemistry* **2023**, *25* (22), 9138-9145.
- Mo, D.-L.; Li, X.-H.; Ye, A.-H.; Liang, C., Substituent Effects of 2-Pyridones on Selective O-Arylation with Diaryliodonium Salts: Synthesis of 2-Aryloxypyridines under Transition--Metal-Free Conditions. *Synthesis* 2018, *50* (08), 1699-1710.
- 17. Zhu, D.-L.; Jiang, S.; Wu, Q.; Wang, H.; Li, H.-Y.; Li, H.-X., Nickel-Catalyzed Etherification of Phenols and Aryl Halides through Visible-Light-Induced Energy Transfer. *Organic Letters* **2021**, *23* (21), 8327-8332.
- Gao, C.; Li, H.; Liu, M.; Ding, J.; Huang, X.; Wu, H.; Gao, W.; Wu, G., Regioselective C-H chlorination: towards the sequential difunctionalization of phenol derivatives and late-stage chlorination of bioactive compounds. *RSC Advances* 2017, (7), 46636-46643.
- Platon, M.; Cui, L.; Mom, S.; Richard, P.; Saeys, M.; Hierso, J.-C., Etherification of Functionalized Phenols with Chloroheteroarenes at Low Palladium Loading: Theoretical Assessment of the Role of Triphosphane Ligands in CO Reductive Elimination. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis* 2011, 353 (18), 3403-3414.
- 20. Bhuyan, A. J.; Bharali, S. J.; Sharma, A.; Dutta, D.; Gogoi, P.; Saikia, L., Copper-Catalyzed Direct Syntheses
of Phenoxypyrimidines from Chloropyrimidines and Arylboronic Acids: A Cascade Avenue and Unconventional Substrate Pairs. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2022**, *87* (17), 11846–11851.

- Romanova, J.; Lyapchev, R.; Kolarski, M.; Tsvetkov, M.; Elenkova, D.; Morgenstern, B.; Zaharieva, J., Molecular Design of Luminescent Complexes of Eu(III): What Can We Learn from the Ligands. *Molecules* 2023, 28 (10), 4113.
- 22. Lian, Y.; Coffey, S. B.; Li, Q.; Londregan, A. T., Preparation of Heteroaryl Ethers from Azine N-Oxides and Alcohols. *Organic Letters* **2016**, *18* (6), 1362-1365.
- 23. Ando, S.; Tsuzaki, M.; Ishizuka, T., Aryl Ether Syntheses via Aromatic Substitution Proceeding under Mild Conditions. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **2020**, *85* (17), 11181-11189.

8. NMR Spectra

Spectra data are shown from the next page.





SI--39
























































































SI--79





9. HRMS Spectra

















3P #27 RT: 0.28 AV: 1 NL: 2.57E7 T: FTMS + p ESI Full ms [100.0000-1500.0000]

























