Supplementary information

Using a bioderived CO₂-responsive polymer as an easily removed pressure sensitive adhesive

Daniel Barker,^a Shannon Whitty,^a Tobias Robert,^c Parisa Bayat,^d Marc A. Dubé,^d Michael F. Cunningham,^b Guojun Liu,^{*a} and Philip G. Jessop^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada ^bDepartment

of Chemical Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada

[°]Fraunhofer Institute for Wood Research – Wilhelm-Klauditz-Institut WKI, Bienroder Weg 54E, 38108 Braunschweig, Germany.

^dDepartment of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada

*Correspondence: gliu@chem.queensu.ca, jessop@queensu.ca

Table of Contents

Figure S1. ¹ H NMR spectrum of DEAUol (499.86 MHz, CDCl ₃)	4
Figure S2. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of DEAUol (125.81 MHz, CDCl ₃)	4
Figure S3. Mass spectrum of DEAUol. The calculated (M+H)/z for DEAUol was 244.26459 g mol ⁻¹ . The	
observed (M+H)/z for DEAEMS was 244.26408 g mol ⁻¹	5
Figure S4. ATR-FTIR spectrum of DEAUol	7
Figure S5. ¹ H NMR spectrum of DEAUMA (499.86 MHz, CDCl ₃)	8
Figure S6. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of DEAUMA (125.81 MHz, CDCl ₃)	9
Figure S7. Mass spectrum of DEAUMA. The calculated (M+H)/z for DEAUMA was 312.29080 g mol ⁻¹	. 10
The observed (M+H)/z for DEAEMS was 312.29092 g mol ⁻¹	. 10
Figure S8. ATR-FTIR spectrum of DEAUMA	. 10
Figure S9. ¹ H NMR spectrum of PDEAUMA (499.86 MHz, CDCl ₃)	. 11
Figure S10. Thermogram of PDEAUMA obtained by DSC. The Tg of PDEAEMS was determined to be	
-2.2 °C	. 12
Figure S11. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of PDEAUMA	. 13
Figure S12. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of PDEAUMA	. 14
Figure S13. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of Fisherbrand [™] labeling tape	. 15
Figure S14. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of Fisherbrand [™] labeling tape	. 16
Figure S15. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of Scotch® Magic [™] tape	. 17
Figure S16. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of Scotch® Magic [™] tape	. 18





Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of DEAUol (499.86 MHz, CDCl₃).

Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of DEAUol (125.81 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S3. Mass spectrum of DEAUol. The calculated (M+H)/z for DEAUol was 244.26459 g mol⁻¹. The observed (M+H)/z for DEAEMS was 244.26408 g mol⁻¹.



Figure S4. ATR-FTIR spectrum of DEAUol.







75 170 165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 Chemical shift (ppm)



Figure S6. ¹³C NMR spectrum of DEAUMA (125.81 MHz, CDCl₃).

Figure S7. Mass spectrum of DEAUMA. The calculated (M+H)/z for DEAUMA was 312.29080 g mol⁻¹. The observed (M+H)/z for DEAEMS was 312.29092 g mol⁻¹.



Figure S8. ATR-FTIR spectrum of DEAUMA.



Figure S9. ¹H NMR spectrum of PDEAUMA (499.86 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S10. Thermogram of PDEAUMA obtained by DSC. The T_g of PDEAEMS was determined to be 2.2 °C.



Figure S11. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of PDEAUMA.



Figure S12. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of PDEAUMA.



Figure S13. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of Fisherbrand[™] labeling tape.



Figure S14. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of Fisherbrand[™] labeling tape.



Figure S15. Load vs. displacement curves of the 180° peel strength of Scotch® Magic[™] tape.



Figure S16. Load vs. displacement curves of the tack of Scotch[®] Magic[™] tape.