

Supplementary material

Table S1. Instrument and experimental parameters of laser ablation and MC-ICPMS.

Fig. S1. Summary of laser ablation MC-ICPMS *Neptune* measurements of $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio: (a) $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. $^{82}\text{X}/^{86}\text{Sr}$; High $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values result from Ca dimer/argide interferences. Green crosses represent corrected data; (b) Enlargement of the main dataset marked by the black rectangle in (a); (c) Beta fractionation factor vs. $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, elevated values are due to dimer/argide interferences; (d) Natural logarithm of measured $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_m$ vs. natural logarithm of measured $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_m$ (m denotes ratios corrected for amplifier gains, baseline, and interferences), shows a fractionation trend, slightly deviating from the exponential mass bias law.

Fig. S2. Summary of laser ablation MC-ICPMS *Neoma* measurements of Sr isotopic composition of a modern shark enamel with H-type cones, a) $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, b) measured $^{85}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}_m$ vs. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ c) $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. $^{82}\text{X}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ Ca dimer/argide monitor, d) $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. $^{82}\text{X}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, e) $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. Beta fractionation factor, f) natural logs of the measured $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_m$ vs. natural log of the measured $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_m$ comparing the estimated gradient with the gradient predicted by the exponential mass bias law. Gradient uncertainty is 2SD, m denotes ratios corrected for amplifier gains, baseline, and interferences.