Supplementary Information (SI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2025

## **Supporting Information**

## Heterogeneous S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> nanospheres for oxygen evolution

## reaction with long-term stability

Fangyuan Fan, Chunlin Teng, Rongjin Zhu, Lina Zhu, Lingfei Xu and Yeshuang Du\*

Hubei Key Laboratory of Pollutant Analysis & Reuse Technology, College of Chemistry and

Chemical Engineering, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, China.



Figure S1 XRD pattern of S-NiFe2O4@NiSe2/NF electrocatalyst after OER stability test.



Figure S2 The  $N_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherm (a) and pore-size distribution (b) of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst.



Figure S3 SEM images of S-NiFe2O4@NiSe2 electrocatalyst after OER stability test.



Figure S4 EDS `spectrum of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst.



Figure S5 XPS spectra of Ni 2p (a) and Fe 2p (b) of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> and NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S6 XRD patterns of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and S-NiSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalysts.



Figure S7 Cyclic voltammetry curves of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>, S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, S-NiSe<sub>2</sub>, and NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalysts with the scan rate of 150-190 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in non-Faradic region.

![](_page_2_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure S8 The V-t curve of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> for OER at 50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S9 High resolution XPS spectra of Ni 2p (a), Fe 2p (b), O 1s (c), S 2p (d) and Se 3d in S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> electrocatalyst after OER stability test.

i

To calculate the turnover rate (TOF), we used the formula reported previously.  $\text{TOF} = \overline{4nF}$ , where *i* (A) is the current at a particular overpotential, F is the Faraday constant (96485 C/mol) and n is the number of moles of the active sites. The unit of TOF is s<sup>-1</sup>.

Based on the previous calculations, we used  $2 \times 10^{15}$  /cm<sup>2</sup> for the flat standard electrode. Thus, the number of moles of surface active sites for the electrocatalyst is estimated to be:

$$ECSA = C_{dl}/Cs$$
 (Cs = 40  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup>)

ECSA (S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>) = 3.64 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>/40  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> ×1cm<sup>2</sup> = 91 cm<sup>2</sup>

ECSA (S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) = 
$$3.08 \text{ mF cm}^{-2}/40 \mu \text{F cm}^{-2} \times 1 \text{ cm}^{-2} = 77 \text{ cm}^{-2}$$

ECSA (S-NiSe<sub>2</sub>) =  $3.14 \text{ mF cm}^{-2}/40 \mu \text{F cm}^{-2} \times 1 \text{ cm}^{2} = 78.5 \text{ cm}^{2}$ 

ECSA (NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>) = 1.33 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>/40  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> ×1cm<sup>2</sup>= 33.25 cm<sup>2</sup>

n (S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>) = 91 cm<sup>2</sup> × 2 × 10<sup>15</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> ÷(6.022×10<sup>23</sup>)=  $3.02 \times 10^{-7}$  mol

n (S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) = 77 cm<sup>2</sup> × 2 × 10<sup>15</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> ÷(6.022×10<sup>23</sup>)=  $2.56 \times 10^{-7}$  mol

n (S-NiSe<sub>2</sub>) = 78.5 cm<sup>2</sup> × 2 × 10<sup>15</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> ÷(6.022×10<sup>23</sup>)= 2.61 × 10<sup>-7</sup> mol

n (NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>) = 33.25 cm<sup>2</sup> × 2 × 10<sup>15</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> ÷(6.022×10<sup>23</sup>)=  $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$  mol

Therefore, the TOF per active site is calculated as follows and i is the current (A):

TOF  $(S-NiFe_2O_4@NiSe_2) = i/(4 \times 3.02 \times 10^{-7} \times 96485) = 8.58 i$ 

TOF (S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) =  $i/(4 \times 2.56 \times 10^{-7} \times 96485) = 10.12 i$ 

TOF (S-NiSe<sub>2</sub>) =  $i/(4 \times 2.61 \times 10^{-7} \times 96485)$  =9.93 i

TOF (NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>) =  $i/(4 \times 1.1 \times 10^{-7} \times 96485) = 23.56 i$ 

At the potential of 1.5 V (vs RHE), the TOF values of S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub>, S-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, S-NiSe<sub>2</sub> and NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@NiSe<sub>2</sub> are 0.4314 s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.0062 s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.005 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.401 s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

| Electrocatalyst   | j (mA<br>cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Overpotential (mV) | Reference  | TOF  |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| S-NiFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -NiSe <sub>2</sub>         | 10                          | 190                | This work  | 0.4314 s <sup>-1</sup> (1.5V)                  |
| (a-CoSe/Ti)   | 10                          | 292                | S1         | -  |
| Co <sub>0.85</sub> Se   | 10                          | 324                | S2         | 0.0012 s <sup>-1</sup><br>(300 mV)             |
| (NiCo <sub>0.85</sub> )Se                                     | 10                          | 255                | S2         | 0.003 s <sup>-1</sup> (300 mV)                 |
| Co <sub>0.13</sub> Ni <sub>0.87</sub> Se <sub>2</sub> /Ti     | 100                         | 320                | <b>S</b> 3 | -  |
| NiSe <sub>2</sub> /Ti   | 100                         | 350                | <b>S</b> 3 | -  |
| NiSe <sub>2</sub>   | 10                          | 250                | S4         | -  |
| Ni <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>2</sub> /Cu foam                      | 50                          | 340                | S5         | -  |
| Fe-doped NiSe   | 100                         | 264                | S6         | -  |
| MnSe@MWCN<br>T  | 10                          | 290                | S7         | -  |
| P-Ni <sub>0.75</sub> Fe <sub>0.25</sub> Se <sub>2</sub>       | 10                          | 185                | S8         | 0.18 s <sup>-1</sup> (500 mV)                  |
| NiSe@NiOOH/<br>NF   | 50                          | 332                | <b>S</b> 9 | -  |
| $Cr_xNi_{1-x}Se_2$  | 10                          | 272                | S10        | 5.03×10 <sup>-4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> (300 mV) |
| NiFeCoSe/SSM  | 20                          | 228                | S11        | -  |
| FeSe/Co <sub>2</sub> P/NF                                     | 10                          | 235                | S12        | 0.024 s <sup>-1</sup> (1.53V)                  |
| NiFeV LDHs  | 10                          | 192                | S13        | 0.04 s <sup>-1</sup> (1.48V)                   |
| Ni <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>0.9</sub> Cr <sub>0.1</sub> /CA<br>CC | 10                          | 239                | S14        | -  |
| NC-<br>NiFeO <sub>x</sub> @NiFe-P                             | 10                          | 285                | S15        | -  |
| Co-Fe Selenide  | 10                          | 270                | S16        | -  |
| CoNi <sub>2</sub> Se <sub>4</sub>                             | 10                          | 160                | S17        | -  |
| NiFe-Se/CFP   | 10                          | 281                | S18        | -  |

Table S1 OER performance comparisonof different non-noble based electrocatalysts.

[S1] T. Liu, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, Y. Luo, and X. Sun, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 16683-16686.

- [S2] C. Xia, Q. Jiang, C. Zhao, M.N. Hedhili, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 77-85.
- [S3] T. Liu, A.M Asiri, and X. Sun, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 3911-3915.

[S4] I. H. Kwak, H. S. Im, D. M. Jang, Y. W. Kim, K. Park, Y. R. Lim, E. H. Cha, and J. Park, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 5327–5334.

[S5] J. Shi, J. Hu, Y. Luo, X. Sun, A.M. Asiri, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 4954-4958.

[S6] Tang C, Asiri A M, Sun X. Chem. Commun., 2016, 52(24): 4529-4532.

[S7] H. Singh, M. Marley-Hines, S. Chakravarty and M. Nath, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 6772-6784

[S8] Y. Huang, L.-W. Jiang, B.-Y. Shi, K. M. Ryan, J.-J. Wang, Adv. Sci., 2021, 8, 2101775.

[S9] X. Li, G. Q. Han, Y. R. Liu, B. Dong, W. H. Hu, X. Shang, Y.M. Chai, C. G. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 31, 20057-20066.

[S10] H. Fan, D. Jiao, J. Fan, D. Wang, B. Zaman, W. Zhang, L. Zhang, W. Zheng, X. Cui, Nano Res., 2024, 17, 1199-1208.

[S11] J. Zhang, S. Zhang, Z. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Zhang, G. Cheng, J. Alloy Compd., 2023, 939, 168753.

[S12] S. Liu, Y. Xing, Z. Zhou, Y. Yang, Y. Li, X. Xiao and C. Wang. J. Mater. Chem. A, 2023,11, 8330-8341

[S13] P. Li, X. Duan, Y. Kuang, Y. Li, G. Zhang, W. Liu, X. Sun, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1703341.

[S14] J. Zheng, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, X. Wang, Z. Cui, H. Song, Z. Liang, L. Du, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 19524-19533.

[S15] Q. Hu, X. F. Liu, C. Y. Tang, L. D. Fan, X. Y. Chai, Q. L. Zhang, J. H. Liu, C. X. He, Sustain. Energ. Fuels, 2018, 2, 1085-1092.

[S16] F. O. Boakye, Y. Li, K. A. Owusu, I. S. Amiinu, Y. Cheng, H. Zhang, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, 275, 125201

[S17] B. G. Amin, A. T. Swesi, J. Masud, M. Nath, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 5412-5415.

[S18] Y. Guo, C. Zhang, J. Zhang, K. Dastafkan, K. Wang, C. Zhao, Z. Shi, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 5, 2047 - 2056.