## **Supporting Information**

## High-Stable Rare Earth YS2 and ScS2 Monolayers for Potassium-Ion

## **Batteries: First-Principles Calculations**

Han-Yu Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jia-Qi Zhang, <sup>a</sup> Bo Zhao, <sup>a</sup> Yan-Lei Guo, <sup>a</sup> Hao-Peng Liang,<sup>b</sup> Zhong-Ling Lang<sup>\*</sup> <sup>a,b</sup>

- a. Centre for Advanced Optoelectronic Functional Materials Research Key Laboratory of UV-Emitting Materials and Technology Ministry of Education Northeast Normal University Changchun 130024, P. R. China
- b. Key Laboratory of Polyoxometalate and Reticular Material Chemistry of Ministry of Education, Faculty of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China, E-mail: <u>langzl554@nenu.edu.cn</u>

## **Table of Contents for Supporting Information**

<b>Table S1.</b> The total energies (eV) for 2H and 1T phased $MS_2$ monolayers, and the relative energy ( $\Delta E$ , eV) between these two phases
<b>Table S2.</b> The formation entropies ( $\Delta H_f$ , eV) and single K adsorption energies ( $E_{ads}$ , eV) on MS <sub>2</sub> .S3
<b>Fig. S1</b> Relationships between K adsorption energies $(E_{ads})$ and electronic characters of (a) <i>p</i> -band center, (b) <i>d</i> band center, (c) the difference between <i>d</i> band center and <i>p</i> -band center ( $\Delta(d-p)$ ), and (d) Bader charges (Q)
<b>Fig. S2</b> The possible adsorption sites for K on the surface of 1T and 2H phased MS <sub>2</sub> monolayers
<b>Fig. S3</b> Snapshots of trajectories for potassificated (a) TiS <sub>2</sub> , (b) VS <sub>2</sub> , (c) ZrS <sub>2</sub> , (d) HfS <sub>2</sub> (e) PtS <sub>2</sub> , and (f) SnS <sub>2</sub> nanosheets following 5 ps AIMD simulation at 300 K.
<b>Fig. S4</b> Snapshots of trajectories for potassificated (a) $ScS_2$ and (b) $YS_2$ nanosheets following 10 ps AIMD simulation at 300 K
Fig. S5 Comparison of theoretical capacities of various monolayer electrode materialsfor potassium-ion batteries.S6
<b>Fig. S6</b> Calculated phonon band structures of 1T phased ScS <sub>2</sub> and YS <sub>2</sub>

Material	Energy (eV)		$\Delta E (eV)$	Stable phase
	2H	1T	$= E_{1\mathrm{T}} - E_{2\mathrm{H}}$	Stable phase
$ScS_2$	-74.88	-75.18	-0.31	1T
$YS_2$	-76.82	-76.74	0.08	2H
$TiS_2$	-80.72	-82.30	-1.58	1T
$ZrS_2$	-86.46	-88.61	-2.15	1T
$\mathrm{HfS}_2$	-92.078	-94.52	-2.44	1T
$VS_2$	-82.32	-82.25	0.07	2H
NbS <sub>2</sub>	-90.08	-89.70	0.38	2H
$TaS_2$	-96.41	-96.10	0.31	2H
$CrS_2$	-82.23	-78.36	3.87	2H
$MoS_2$	-90.92	-87.54	3.38	2H
$WS_2$	-98.52	-94.98	3.54	2H
$MnS_2$	-77.55	-78.15	-0.60	1T
$CoS_2$	-65.46	-66.82	-1.37	1T
NiS <sub>2</sub>	-58.20	-60.28	-2.08	1T
$PdS_2$				
PtS <sub>2</sub>	-57.95	-65.01	-7.06	1T

**Table S1**. The total energies (eV) for 2H and 1T phased MS<sub>2</sub> monolayers, and the relative energy ( $\Delta E$ , eV) between these two phases.

Material	Formation	Adsorption energies ( $E_{ads}$ (eV)				
	energies ∆H <sub>f</sub> (eV)	T site	H site	B site		
ScS <sub>2</sub>	-4.29	-3.28	-3.35			
$YS_{2(2H)}$	-4.62	-2.61	-2.67			
$YS_{2(1T)}$	-4.56	-3.44	-3.51			
$TiS_2$	-2.51	-2.01	-2.05	-2.01		
$ZrS_2$	-4.65	-1.61	-1.68	-0.98		
$HfS_2$	-4.75	-1.43	-1.49	-1.49		
VS <sub>2(2H)</sub>	-2.53	-2.33	-2.33	-1.86		
VS <sub>2(1T)</sub>	-2.52	-1.73	-1.74	-1.73		
NbS <sub>2</sub>	-3.17	-2.19				
$TaS_2$	-3.15	-2.09	-2.10	-2.10		
$CrS_2$	-2.06	-0.97				
$MoS_2$	-2.60	-0.40	-0.36	-0.11		
$WS_2$	-2.45	-0.16	-0.11	0.07		
$MnS_2$	-1.95		-1.76			
$CoS_2$	-0.99		-2.2			
NiS <sub>2</sub>	-1.34		-1.59			
PdS <sub>2</sub>	-0.85	-1.28	-1.28			
$PtS_2$	-1.11	-0.98	-0.98	-0.90		

Table S2. The formation entropies ( $\Delta H_f$ , eV) and single K adsorption energies ( $E_{ads}$ , eV) on MS<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S1** Relationships between K adsorption energies  $(E_{ads})$  and electronic characters of (a) *p*-band center, (b) *d* band center, (c) the difference between *d* band center and *p*-band center  $(\Delta(d-p))$ , and (d) Bader charges (Q).



Fig. S2. The possible adsorption sites for K on the surface of 1T and 2H phased  $MS_2$  monolayers.



Fig. S3 Snapshots of trajectories for potassificated (a) TiS<sub>2</sub>, (b) VS<sub>2</sub>, (c) ZrS<sub>2</sub>, (d) HfS<sub>2</sub> (e) PtS<sub>2</sub>, and (f) SnS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets following 5 ps AIMD simulation at 300 K. It is noteworthy that the experimentally reported SnS<sub>2</sub> material was also selected to demonstrate the universality of using  $E_a$ ds and  $\Delta H_f$  to distinguish between potashization mechanisms (intercalation vs. conversion). According to our definition, SnS<sub>2</sub> falls within the white region above the diagonal in Fig. 3a, suggesting that it undergoes a conversion mechanism. Moreover, our AIMD results confirm that the SnS<sub>2</sub> monolayer is significantly degraded at approximately 2.5 ps. This finding is fully consistent with experimental observations—electrochemical tests and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis have shown that SnS<sub>2</sub> transforms during the charging process, converting from SnS<sub>2</sub> to K<sub>2</sub>S and Sn. During the first discharge, the characteristic diffraction peaks of SnS<sub>2</sub> ultrathin nanosheets as anode materials for potassium ion batteries. Chemical Research in Chinese Universities, 2021, 37, 311-317].



**Fig. S4** Snapshots of trajectories for potassificated (a)  $ScS_2$  and (b)  $YS_2$  nanosheets following 10 ps AIMD simulation at 300 K.



Fig. S5 Comparison of the theoretical capacities of various monolayer electrode materials for potassium-ion batteries.



Fig. S6 Calculated phonon band structures of 1T phased  $ScS_2$  and  $YS_2$ .