Supporting Information

Two-step electrochemically driven synthesis of sunitinib

Robby Gus Mahardika^{a,b}, Ade Danova^a, Elvira Hermawati^a, Anita Alni^{*a}

^aOrganic Chemistry Division Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jl. Ganesa No. 10, Bandung, West Java 40132, Indonesia.

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Universitas Bangka Belitung. Jl. Kampus Peradaban, Bangka 33172, Bangka Belitung, Indonesia.

*Email: alni@itb.ac.id

Table of Contents

1.	General information	2
2.	Electrochemical setup	2
3.	General procedure for the amidation	2
	3.1. Optimization of electrochemical amidation of compound 3	3
	3.2. General procedure for scale-up of electrochemical amidation	5
	3.3. Control experiments for the amidation	7
4.	General procedure for condensation	7
	4.1. Optimization of electrochemical condensation	8
	4.2. Control experiments for the condensation	9
	4.3. General procedure for scale-up of electrochemical condensation	10
5.	Cyclic voltammetry experiments	12
6.	References	13
7.	Attachments: NMR & HRMS spectra	14

1. General information

Electrochemical synthesis was performed in undivided cells exposed to air using Electrasyn® reactor. The progress of the reactions was monitored using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on 0.25 mm silica gel plates (60F-254), analyzed by observing fluorescence under UV lamp at 254 nm. All chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without additional purification. The synthesis products were characterized using an NMR spectrometer (DD2, 500 MHz, Agilent, Varian), an HPLC system (Shimadzu LC20AD), and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) using an ESI-TOF mass spectrometer (LCT Premier XE, Waters).

2. Electrochemical setup

For screening reactions, reaction was performed in undivided cells using IKA ElectraSyn 2.0 with graphite electrode. For scale-up, reaction was conducted using a BIO RAD (Power Pac 1000) potentiostat. The electrodes used measure 24 x 100 x 2 mm.



a.



b.

Figure 1. a. Electrochemical setup; b. Electrochemical scale-up experiment.

3. General procedure for the amidation

Compound 1 (0.3 mmol, 50.1 mg, 1 eq), PPh₃ (0.45 mmol, 118.0 mg, 1.5 eq), iodide (0.22 mmol, 1.1 eq), CH₃CN 6 mL, and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (2) (0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq) were added into a vial. Electrodes C(+)/C(-) were placed in the vial and charged with 2.2 F/mol of PPh₃ at a constant current of 70 mA (j= 15.90 mA/cm²). Reaction conditions were optimized

using various variables. Afterward, the solution was added to 10 mL of distilled water, adjusted to pH 3 with 5% HCl, and then extracted with DCM (3 x 10 mL). The aqueous phase was adjusted to pH 9-10 with saturated sodium bicarbonate, and then extracted with DCM (3 x 10 mL). The organic phase was evaporated and weighed. The obtained mass was then dissolved in acetonitrile at a concentration of 250 mg/L for HPLC analysis. Compound **3** as a result of purification was used as a standard (10 mg/L - 320 mg/L) to create a calibration curve. With the calibration curve of compound **3**, the percent yield (% HPLC yield) of compound **3** in the crude was calculated.



Figure 2. Calibration curve of compound 3.

3.1. Optimization of electrochemical amidation of compound 3

No.	Solvent	Electrolyte	Current (mA)	F/mol	Electrode (W/C)	Yield (%) ^a
1	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	C/C	25
2	DCE	KI	70	2.2	C/C	4
3	EtOH	KI	70	2.2	C/C	trace
4	DMF/CH ₃ CN (1:1)	KI	70	2.2	C/C	trace
5	Acetone	KI	70	2.2	C/C	trace
6	THF	KI	70	2.2	C/C	nd
7	CH ₃ CN	NaI	70	2.2	C/C	23
8	CH ₃ CN	NaBr	70	2.2	C/C	2
9	CH ₃ CN	NaCl	70	2.2	C/C	trace
10	CH ₃ CN	LiClO ₄	10	2.2	C/C	trace

Table 1. The effect of solvents and electrolytes on the amidation reaction of compound 3.

^aHPLC Yield; nd: Product not detected

The reaction was performed under the following conditions: compound **1** (0.3 mmol, 50.1 mg, 1 equiv), PPh₃ (0.45 mmol, 118.0 mg, 1.5 equiv), iodide (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (**2**) (0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Carbon electrodes (C(+)/C(-)) were placed in a vial, and a charge of 2.2 F/mol relative to PPh₃ was applied at a current of 70 mA ($j = 15.90 \text{ mA/cm}^2$) at room temperature.

No.	Solvent	Electrolyte	Current (mA)	F/mol	Electrode (W/C)	Addive	Yield (%) ^a
1	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	C/C	-	25
2	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	Pt/C	-	11
3	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	C/Pt		28
4	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	C/C	TEA	19
5	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	Pt/C	TEA	11
6	CH ₃ CN	KI	70	2.2	C/Pt	TEA	19

 Table 2. The effect of electrodes on the amidation reaction of compound 3.

^a HPLC yields

The reaction was performed under the following conditions: compound **1** (0.3 mmol, 50.1 mg, 1 equiv), PPh₃ (0.45 mmol, 118.0 mg, 1.5 equiv), iodide (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (**2**) (0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Carbon electrodes were placed in a vial, and a charge of 2.2 F/mol relative to PPh₃ was applied at a current of 70 mA (j = 15.90 mA/cm²) at room temperature.

No	Solvent	Equiv.		Current	E/mol	Electrode	Viald $(%)^{a}$	
110.	Solvent	KI	PPh ₃	(mA)	17/1101	(W/C)	11010 (70)	
1	CH ₃ CN	1.5	1.1	50	2.2	C/C	trace	
2	CH ₃ CN	2	2.0	50	2.2	C/C	5	
3	CH ₃ CN	1.5	1.1	70	2.2	C/C	25	
4	CH ₃ CN	1.5	1.1	80	2.2	C/C	28	
5	CH ₃ CN	1.5	1.1	70	4.4	C/C	8	
6	CH ₃ CN	2	2	70	1.1	C/C	4	
7	CH ₃ CN	1.5	1.1	-	-	C/C	nd	

Table 3. The effect of charge on the amidation reaction of compound **3**.

^a HPLC yields

The reaction was performed under the following conditions: compound **1** (0.3 mmol, 50.1 mg, 1 equiv), PPh₃ (0.45 mmol, 118.0 mg, 1.5 equiv), iodide (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (**2**) (0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Carbon electrodes (C(+)/C(-)) were placed in a vial, and a charge of 2.2 F/mol relative to PPh₃ was applied at a current of 70 mA ($j = 15.90 \text{ mA/cm}^2$) at room temperature.

Ne	Solvent		Equivalent			E/mal	$\mathbf{V}_{1} = 1 1 (0/0)$
INO.		KI	PPh ₃	2	(mA)	F/IIIOI	1 Icia (70)
1	CH ₃ CN	1.1	0	1.2	70	2.2	nd
2	CH ₃ CN	2	2	1.2	70	2.2	26
3	CH ₃ CN	2	2	1.2	70	2.2	33°
4	CH ₃ CN	3	2	1.2	70	2.2	25
5	CH ₃ CN	4.5	3.0	2.0	70	2.2	67
6	CH ₃ CN	4.5	3.0	3.0	70	2.2	45
7	CH ₃ CN	4.5	4.5	2.0	70	2.2	72 ^b

Table 4. The effect of reagent equivalents on the amidation reaction of compound 3.

^a HPLC yields; ^bisolated yield; ^caddition TEA (1 equiv)

The reaction was performed under the following conditions: compound **1** (0.3 mmol, 50.1 mg, 1 equiv), PPh₃ (0.45 mmol, 118.0 mg, 1.5 equiv), iodide (0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (**2**) (0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Carbon electrodes (C(+)/C(-)) were placed in a vial, and a charge of 2.2 F/mol relative to PPh₃ was applied at a current of 70 mA ($j = 15.90 \text{ mA/cm}^2$) at room temperature.

3.2. General procedure for scale-up of electrochemical amidation

Compound 1 (3 mmol, 0.501 g, 1 equiv.), PPh₃ (13.5 mmol, 3.541 g, 4.5 equiv.), KI (13.4 mmol, 2.241 g, 4.5 equiv.), CH₃CN 60 mL, and *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine (2) (6 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) were added into a glass. The reaction was carried out at room temperature in an undivided cell equipped with graphite plate electrodes (anode/cathode), and 2.2 F/mol of charge was applied in the presence of PPh₃ at a constant current of 70 mA (j = 2.5 mA/cm²). Upon completion, the solution was acidified to pH 3 using 5% HCl and extracted with DCM. The aqueous layer was then basified to pH 9–10 with saturated sodium bicarbonate and re-extracted with DCM. The combined organic layers were evaporated, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography using DCM:MeOH (9:1) as eluent, affording a light brown solid 0.2805 g (35%).



Figure 3. Scale-up reaction set up for electrochemical amidation.



N-(2-(diethylamino)ethyl)-5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (3). a brown olid product: 72% yield.

Mealting point: 134 °C

¹**H** NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta_{\rm H}$ 11.81 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.54 – 2.45 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H) ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta_{\rm C}$ 177.74, 164.83, 138.32, 131.05, 128.18, 119.92, 52.11, 46.96 (2C), 37.39, 12.94, 12.35, 10.09 (2C).

HRMS (ESI-TOF): calculated for $C_{14}H_{23}N_3O_2$ [M+H]⁺: 266.1863; Found: 266.1858. The analytical data are consistent with those reported in the literature^{1,2}.

3.3. Control experiments for the amidation



Figure 4. Control experiments for the amidation with 1 (1.0 equiv.), *N*,*N*-diethylethylenediamine 2 (2 equiv.) under following conditions: a. PPh₃ (4.5 equiv), KI (4.5 equiv.) BHT (2.0 equiv.); b. PPh₃ (4.5 equiv), I_2 (2 equiv.); c. PPh₃ (4.5 equiv), KI (4.5 equiv.); d. KI (4.5 equiv.).

4. General procedure for condensation

Compounds **4** (0.248 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and **3** (0.165 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in 4 mL of solvent. The reaction was conducted at room temperature using C(+) and C(-) electrodes under a constant current. The reaction conditions were optimized by varying the parameters. Upon completion of the reaction, 5 mL of distilled water and a few drops of ethanol were added to the reaction mixture. The resulting precipitate was filtered to obtain pure sunitinib.

4.1. Optimization of electrochemical condensation

No	Solvent	Flootrolyto	Current	Time	Temp.	Electrode	Yield
110.	Solvent	Electrolyte	(mA)	(min)	(°C)	(W/C)	(%) ^b
1	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaBr (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	68
2	H ₂ O/EtOH (1:1)	NaBr (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	47
3	H ₂ O	NaBr (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	60
4	H ₂ O	NaBr (0.05 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	65
5	H_2O	NaBr (0.1 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	66
6	H ₂ O	NaBr (0.05 M)	10	20	50	C/C	73
7	H_2O	NaBr (0.05 M)	10	20	65	C/C	74
8	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaBr (0.025 M)	20	20	50	C/C	56
9	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaBr (0,025 M)	-	20	50	C/C	37
10	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	-	10	20	RT	C/C	30
11	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaBr (0.025 M)	10	30	RT	C/C	78
12	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	95
13	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaCl (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	68
14	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	LiClO ₄ (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	34
15	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NBu ₄ PF ₆ (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	56
16	H ₂ O	NaI (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/C	89
17	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	-	20	RT	C/C	36
18	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	40	RT	C/C	73
19	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	30	RT	C/C	81
20	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	10	RT	C/C	74
21	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	Pt/C	90
22	H ₂ O/EtOH (3:1)	NaI (0.025 M)	10	20	RT	C/Pt	87

Table 5. Optimization of electrochemical condensation of sunitinib

^bIsolated yield.

Reaction conditions: 4 (0.248 mmol, 1.5 equiv), 3 (0.165 mmol, 1 equiv), 4 mL of solvent.

4.2. Control experiments for the condensation





Figure 5. Control experiments for the condensation with 4 (1.5 equiv.), 3 (1.0 equiv.) under following conditions: a. NaI (0.025 M), BHT (2.0 equiv.); b. I_2 (1.0 equiv.); c. NaI (0.025 M), no electricity was used; d. no electrolyte was used; e. no electrolyte and electricity.

4.3. General procedure for scale-up of electrochemical condensation

Compounds **3** (1.9 mmol, 0.5 g, 1.0 equiv), **4** (2.83 mmol, 0.43 g, 1.5 equiv), and NaI (1.1 mmol, 0.17 g, 0.6 equiv) were dissolved in 12 mL of ethanol, followed by the addition of 36 mL of water. The reaction was conducted at room temperature using C(+) and C(-) electrodes under a constant current 10 mA ($j = 0.365 \text{ mA/cm}^2$) for 20 min. Upon completion of the reaction, water added to the reaction mixture. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered and recrystallized with ethanol to afford pure sunitinib (0.643 mg, 86%).



Figure 6. Scale-up reaction set up for electrochemical condensation.



Sunitinib. a yellow solid product: 86% yield from scale-up reaction after recrystallisation. Melting point: 223°C

¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 13.70 (s, 1H, pyrrole-NH), 10.92 (s, 1H, oxindole-NH), 7.77 (dd, J = 9.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (s, 1H, alkene-CH), 7.45 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (td, J = 9.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3,30 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.57 – 2.54 (m, 2H), 2.52 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 2.46 (s, 3H, pyrrole-CH₃), 2.44 (s, 3H, pyrrole-CH₃), 0.99 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H, N(CH₂CH₃)₂).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 170.02 (C=O), 164.96 (C=O), 158.68 (d, J_{CF} = 234.1 Hz, Ar-C), 137.06, 134.95 (Ar-C), 130.66 (Ar-C), 127.61 (d, J_{CF} = 9.6 Hz, Ar-C), 126.24 (Ar-C), 125.28 (Ar-C), 121.19 (Ar-C), 115.02 (d, J_{CF} = 2.7 Hz, Ar-C), 112.84 (d, J_{CF} = 23.9 Hz, Ar-C), 110.48 (d, J_{CF} = 8.5 Hz, Ar-C), 106.41 (d, J_{CF} = 25.4 Hz, Ar-C), 52.08 (NHCH₂CH₂), 46.95 (N(CH₂CH₃)₂), 37.41 (NHCH₂CH₂), 13.81 (pyrrole-CH₃), 12.33 (pyrrole-CH₃), 11.06. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 122.17.

HRMS (ESI-TOF): calculated for $C_{22}H_{27}FN_4O_2$ [M-H]⁻: 397,2045; Found: 397,2046. The analytical data are consistent with those reported in the literature^{2,3}.

5. Cyclic voltammetry experiments

Cyclic voltammograms were recorded using Metrohm - potentiostats & galvanostats in potentiostatic mode and IKA ElectraSyn 2.0, employing a Pt wire working and counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) reference electrode at room temperature °C. The CV curves was initiated at a starting potential of 0 V, with a current range of 1 mA and a scan rate of 0.1 V/s. All measurements were conducted in dry CH₃CN. In some measurements (particularly in Figure 6), we used an additional electrolyte, 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆.



Figure 7. Cyclic voltammetry experiments.

6. References

- 1 K. Lv, L.-L. Wang, M.-L. Liu, X.-B. Zhou, S.-Y. Fan, H.-Y. Liu, Z.-B. Zheng and S. Li, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 2011, **21**, 3062–3065.
- 2 C. J. Matheson, K. A. Casalvieri, D. S. Backos, M. Minhajuddin, C. T. Jordan and P. Reigan, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2020, **197**, 112316.
- 3 G. Meng, C. Liu, S. Qin, M. Dong, X. Wei, M. Zheng, L. Qin, H. Wang, X. He and Z. Zhang, *Res Chem Intermed*, 2015, **41**, 8941–8954.

7. Attachments: NMR & HRMS spectra

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d6*) compound 3



¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6) compound 3



HRMS (ESI-TOF) spectrum of compound 3





HRMS spectrum of intermediate II compound 3

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d6*) compound sunitinib



¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6) compound sunitinib



230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 f1 (ppm)

-10

10 0

¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) compound sunitinib



HRMS (ESI-TOF) spectrum of compound sunitinib



stithin limits (up to 3 best isotopic matches for each mass) F: 1-1 2.61e+003 397.2046 397.3542 393.2666 397.3542 393.2666 397.3542 40.0 405.0 410.036 412.4087 415.4782 421.1792 422.2666 428.6884 m/z 390.0 405.0 410.0 415.0 420.0 425.0 430.0

1D-NOESY NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d6*) to confirm the *Z*-configuration of electrochemically synthesized sunitinib







14.5 14.0 13.5 13.0 12.5 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 f1 (ppm)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) compound Ph₃P=O



¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) compound Ph₃P=O



230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 f1 (ppm)

HRMS (ESI-TOF) spectrum of compound Ph₃PO

