Supplementary Information (SI) for Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2025

UPDATED 13 May 2025. The original version of this SI was first published on 04 April 2025. This version of the SI replaces the previous version in which Figure S3 was not shown correctly.

Albumin-Targeted Oxaliplatin(IV) Prodrugs Bearing STING Agonists

Martijn Dijkstra,^{‡a,b} Michael Gutmann,^{‡c} Mathias Gradl,^c Anja Federa,^{a,b} Carola

Jaunecker,^c John Vasco Breitenstein,^c Petra Vician,^c Christine Pirker,^c Daniel Valcanover,^c

Petra Heffeter,^{c,d} Bernhard K. Keppler,^{a,d} Walter Berger,^{c,d*} and Christian R. Kowol^{a,d*}

A) University of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Waehringer Str. 42, 1090 Vienna, Austria. B)
University of Vienna, Vienna Doctoral School in Chemistry (DoSChem), Waehringer Str. 42, 1090 Vienna, Austria. C) Center for
Cancer Research and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Borschkegasse 8a, 1090 Vienna, Austria. D)
Research Cluster "Translational Cancer Therapy Research", 1090 Vienna, Austria.

*Email: christian.kowol@univie.ac.at;

*Email: walter.berger@meduniwien.ac.at

‡These authors contributed equally to this work; *shared last author position.

Table of contents

	Content	Page		
Figure S1	Reduction kinetics of Ox-Bz, Ox-Bz-F ₂ , Ox-Bz-F ₂ -NH ₂ and Ox-Bz-F ₂ -NHAc			
Table S1	Mean IC ₅₀ values (± SD)			
Figure S2	IC ₅₀ ratios between HCT116 and HCT116/OxR			
Figure S3	Cytotoxic activity of SR-717 and MSA-2 alone or in combination with oxaliplatin			
Figure S4	Western blot analysis of STING and downstream components			
Figure S5	IRF and NF-κB pathway induction by oxaliplatin			
Figure S6	Impact of STING inhibition (H-151) on IRF and NF-κB pathway induction			
Figure S7	Western blot analysis of STING and downstream pathway activation in THP1-Dual			
Figure S8	mRNA expression levels	9		
Figure S9	Cytokine and chemokine secretion in vitro			
Figure S10	Sulfur traces of FCS	10		
Figure S11	SEC-ICP-MS results of Ox-MSA-PEG-Ca	10		
Table S2	SEC-ICP-MS operation parameters	11		
Figure S12	Immune cell activation and tumor infiltration	11		
Figure S13	Cytokine and chemokine secretion in vivo	12		
Figure S14	Flow cytometric gating strategy			
Figure S15	Long-term hematological effects			
Figure S16	Body weights of treated animals	14		
Figure S17-	¹ H and DEPT ¹³ C-NMR spectra and UHPLC chromatograms of final platinum(IV)			
S20	complexes			



Figure S1. Reduction kinetics of 1 mM **Ox-Bz**, **Ox-Bz-F**, **Ox-Bz-F**₂-**NH**₂ and **Ox-Bz-F**₂-**NHAc** in 150 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4) at 20 °C with 10 eq. of L-ascorbic acid (AA) over 5 h, measured with UHPLC.

Table S1. Mean IC₅₀ values (\pm SD) of the investigated platinum(IV) prodrugs and oxaliplatin in various cancer cell models and impact AA (50 μ M). IC₅₀ values were derived by interpolation from dose-response curves, modelled by four parameter logistic (4PL) non-linear regression after 72 h drug exposure.

mean IC₅₀ (μM) ± SD			THP1- Dual	HCT116	HCT116/ OxR	CT26
oxaliplatin	- AA	IC 50	1.1	0.8	27.5	1.8
		± SD	0.2	0.1	5.1	0.6
	+ AA	IC 50	0.8	0.8	25.1	2.0
		± SD	0.1	0.1	6.3	0.3
Ox-SR-PEG-Es	- AA	IC 50	2.3	3.2	64.5	19.6
		± SD	0.8	1.1	4.7	3.1
	+ AA	IC 50	0.9	1.57	32.32	5.04
		± SD	0.0	0.3	6.1	0.5
Ox-MSA-PEG-Es	- AA	IC 50	9.4	32.5	> 100	42.4
		± SD	0.8	7.7	-	1.4
	+ AA	IC 50	5.9	17.4	92.5	22.0
		± SD	1.3	1.1	6.5	2.2
Ox-MSA-PEG-Ca	- AA	IC 50	11.9	32.0	85.0	53.8
		± SD	3.6	6.0	13.0	16.1
	+ AA	IC 50	7.6	18.9	80.1	21.5
		± SD	0.6	1.9	12.6	3.1



Figure S2. IC_{50} ratios of the investigated drugs between parental HCT116 and an oxaliplatin-resistant subline HCT116/OxR. IC_{50} values were derived by interpolation from dose-response curves, modelled by 4PL non-linear regression after 72 h drug exposure of HCT116 and HCT116/OxR cells. The IC_{50} ratio was calculated for each compound between the parental and resistant cell model. Data are derived from at least three independent experiments in triplicate.



Figure S3. Cytotoxic activity of SR-717 and MSA-2 alone or in combination with oxaliplatin. Impact of 72 h continuous drug exposure on the viability of the indicated cancer cell lines, determined by an MTT-based assay. Dose-response curves, modelled by 4PL non-linear regression of single agent SR-717 (A), MSA-2 (B), and combinations of MSA-2 with oxaliplatin (C). The ZIP synergy scoring model (SynergyFinder) was used to calculate the degree of interaction between oxaliplatin and MSA-2 (D). One representative experiment out of at least three performed is shown. ctrl = control.



Figure S4. Western blot analysis of protein expression levels of STING and downstream components in cancer cell models of human (THP1-Dual, HCT116 and HCT116/OxR cells) and murine (CT-26) origin.



Figure S5. IRF and NF-κB pathway induction by oxaliplatin and impact of reducing conditions in the THP1-Dual reporter cell model. IRF-inducible luciferase (A), as well as NF-κB-inducible SEAP reporter levels (B) were measured in the THP1-Dual cell culture supernatant following 24 h continuous exposure to oxaliplatin. Impact of reducing conditions were tested by co-incubation with AA (50 µM). Impact of oxaliplatin on viability of the reporter cell model was determined by an MTT-based assay in C. One representative experiment out of at least three performed is shown. Data points in A-C are shown as mean \pm SD. IRF = Interferon regulatory factor; NF-κB = Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; MTT = 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; RLU = relative light units; Abs = absorbance; ctrl = control; AA = L-ascorbic acid. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S6. Impact of STING inhibition (H-151) on IRF and NF-κB pathway induction by Pt(IV)-prodrugs as compared to reference compounds in the THP1-Dual reporter cell model, with cell viability used for normalization. The STING inhibitor H-151 (10 μ M) was incubated for 2 h before cells received the indicated drugs or combinations. Oxaliplatin was always applied at 5 μ M. IRF-inducible luciferase (A, B), as well as NF-κB-inducible SEAP reporter levels (C, D) were measured in the THP1-Dual cell culture supernatant following 24 h continuous exposure to the indicated drugs or the combinations. (E-H) MTT-based cell viability curves used for normalization of the data shown in Figure 5. Dose-response curves were modelled by 4PL non-linear regression model. One representative experiment out of at least three performed is shown. Data points are shown as mean ± SD. IRF = Interferon regulatory factor; NF-κB = Nuclear factor

kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; RLU = relative light units; Abs = absorbance; ctrl = control; AA = L-ascorbic acid. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S7. Western blot analysis of STING and downstream pathway activation in THP1-Dual cells. Protein expression levels were analyzed following 24 h continuous drug exposure in the THP1-Dual cell model under \pm reducing conditions (50 μ M AA). ctrl = control; AA = L-ascorbic acid.



Figure S8. mRNA expression levels of targets downstream of STING. mRNA expression levels were determined following 24 h continuous drug exposure with MSA-2-relasing platinum(IV)-prodrugs (100 μ M) or MSA-2 (25 μ M) in the THP1-Dual cell model under ± reducing conditions (50 μ M AA) by quantitative RT-PCR. Data points are shown as mean ± SD of normalized target gene expression (A) or as the ratio of the normalized target gene expression between +AA and -AA. The statistical significance of differences in target gene expression ratios between +AA and -AA (B) was tested by Student's t-test. AA = L-ascorbic acid. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S9. Cytokine and chemokine secretion in the THP1-Dual reporter cell model following exposure to a MSA-2-releasing platinum(IV)-prodrug (100 μ M) as compared to oxaliplatin (5 μ M) and MSA-2 (25 μ M). Cell culture supernatants of THP1-Dual cells, exposed to the indicated drugs for 24 h, were analyzed for secreted cytokines and chemokines by a Luminex detection platform. Data points are shown as mean ±

SD. Data are derived from three independent experiments in triplicate. The statistical significance of differences in cytokine/chemokine levels between the treatment groups was tested using one-way-ANOVA (with the Tukey multiple comparison test). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S10. ⁴⁸SO sulfur traces of FCS (+150 mM PB, pH 7.4) as well as pure albumin in PB (50 mM, pH 7.4), both measured by SEC-ICP-MS. The small peak at ~3.3 min corresponds to the albumin dimer.



Figure S11. ¹⁹⁵Pt-traces of incubation of 50 μ M **Ox-MSA-PEG-Ca** in FCS (containing 150 mM phosphate buffer, pH = 7.4) at 37°C over 24 h, measured with SEC-ICP-MS.

Samples	50 μM in FCS (150 mM PB, pH 7.4)			
Column	Acquity UPLC BEH 200Å 1.7 μm,			
	4.6x150 mm			
Eluent	50 mM CH ₃ COONH ₄ , pH = 6.8			
Flow rate	400 μl/min			
Column temperature	37°C			
Sample temperature	37°C			
Injection volume	0.5 μΙ			

Table S2. Operation parameters used in SEC-ICP-MS measurements



Figure S12. Immune cell activation and tumor infiltration following treatment with MSA-2-releasing platinum(IV) prodrugs as compared to free oxaliplatin. CT-26 colorectal cancer-bearing BALB/c mice (n=4/group) were dosed twice (day 1 and 4) with the indicated drugs in all cases equimolar to 9 mg/kg oxaliplatin. 24 h after the second dose animals were euthanized. Immune cell populations were quantified in tumor (A, C) and spleen tissues (B, D) by flow cytometry. (A, B) Representative gates of the immune cell populations identified in Figure 8 of the main text are depicted. (C, D) Frequency of the indicated immune cell populations (as percentage of CD45+ cells) and their activation state (as percentage of respective immune cell compartment) are depicted as mean \pm SEM. The gating strategy used to identify the indicated immune cell populations is depicted in Figure S14. The statistical significance between the groups was tested by Student's t-test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.



Figure S13. Cytokine and chemokine secretion in the plasma of animals following exposure to MSA-2-releasing platinum(IV) prodrugs or reference compounds. CT-26 colorectal cancer-bearing BALB/c mice (n=4/group) were dosed twice (day 1 and 4) with the indicated drugs in all cases equimolar to 9 mg/kg oxaliplatin. 24 h after the second dose animals were euthanized. Immediately before euthanization blood was drawn from the submandibular vein, plasma was isolated and analyzed for secreted cytokines and chemokines by a Luminex detection platform. Depicted mean cytokine/chemokine levels are normalized to solvent-treated animals (ctrl). ctrl = control.



Figure S14. Gating strategy used for the identification of immune cell populations. Immune cell populations were identified in tumor (A) and spleen tissues (B) by flow cytometry. One representative sample is depicted.



Figure S15. Long-term impact of the investigated drugs on hematological parameters. Peripheral blood was drawn from the submandibular vein of C57BL/6 mice 72 h after the fourth dose. Hematological parameters (WBC, white blood cells; LYM, lymphocytes; MON, monocytes; GRA, granulocytes; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cells; HGB, hemoglobin; HCT, hematocrit; RDW, red cell distribution width; MCV, mean corpuscular volume) were assessed using a Vet ABC hematology analyzer. Data are depicted as violin plots (the median and quartiles are shown by bold and dotted lines, respectively). The statistical significance of differences was tested via one-way ANOVA (with the Tukey multiple comparison test). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.



Figure S16. Body weights of animals treated with platinum(IV) complexes and impact of the application route. CT-26 colorectal cancer-bearing BALB/c mice (n=4/group) were dosed (A) intravenously (i.v.) or (B) peritumorally (p.t.) twice-weekly for two weeks with the indicated drugs in all cases equimolar to 9 mg/kg oxaliplatin. Euthanasia of individual animals is indicated by an X symbol along the x-axis. Data points are depicted as mean \pm SEM. p.t. = peritumoral.



UPDATED 13 May 2025. The original version of this SI was first published on 04 April 2025. This version of the SI replaces the previous version in which Figure S3 was not shown correctly.

Figure S17. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **Ox-SR-PEG-Es**, measured in DMSO-d6, and a UHPLC chromatogram (220 nm), measured with MeCN (+0.1% HCOOH) and H_2O (+0.1% HCOOH) using a 5-95% gradient.



UPDATED 13 May 2025. The original version of this SI was first published on 04 April 2025. This version of the SI replaces the previous version in which Figure S3 was not shown correctly.

Figure S18. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **Ox-MSA-PEG-Es**, measured in DMSO-d6, and a UHPLC chromatogram (220 nm), measured with MeCN (+0.1% HCOOH) and H_2O (+0.1% HCOOH) using a 5-95% gradient.



UPDATED 13 May 2025. The original version of this SI was first published on 04 April 2025. This version of the SI replaces the previous version in which Figure S3 was not shown correctly.

Figure S19. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **Ox-MSA-PEG-Ca**, measured in DMSO-d6, and a UHPLC chromatogram (220 nm), measured with MeCN (+0.1% HCOOH) and H_2O (+0.1% HCOOH) using a 5-95% gradient.



UPDATED 13 May 2025. The original version of this SI was first published on 04 April 2025. This version of the SI replaces the previous version in which Figure S3 was not shown correctly.

Figure S20. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **Ox-MSA-Mal**, measured in DMSO-d6, and a UHPLC chromatogram (220 nm), measured with MeCN (+0.1% HCOOH) and H_2O (+0.1% HCOOH) using a 5-95% gradient.