Supporting Information

Barium(II)-based molecular perovskite energetic compounds for next-generation pyrotechnic materials

Chen-Xi Yu, Le Ye, Hao Zhuo, Yun-Fan Yan, Wei-Xiong Zhang*

MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, School of Chemistry, IGCME, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

E-mail address: zhangwx6@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Wei-Xiong Zhang)

^{*} Corresponding author. Wei-Xiong Zhang

E-mail address: zhangwx6@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Wei-Xiong Zhang).

Experimental details:

Caution. Energetic materials are generally more sensitive to mechanical stimulation than other similar materials. Although it was safe in the syntheses in the course of this research, small-scale syntheses are strongly encouraged.

Materials and Methods: All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Decomposition temperatures were measured via DTA with an OZM Research DTA 552-Ex instrument at a rate of 5 and 10 $^{\circ}C \cdot min^{-1}$ and in a

range from RT to 400 °C under air condition. The PXRD patterns (Cu Kα) for identifying the phase purity were collected by Bragg–Brentano geometry on a Bruker Advance D8 diffractometer. Detonation parameters were calculated using DFT calculation and the extended K–J equation. Friction sensitivity was tested on FSKM 10 BAM friction apparatus.

The estimation on detonation performance (S1)

In this study, we attempted to employ the empirical correction formula [S1] proposed by Louisa J. Hope-Weeks in 2011 publication in *JACS* on computational simulations of complex energetic materials to calculate the detonation parameters of the energetic perovskite. To estimate the detonation performances, using density functional theory (DFT) calculation and extended Kamlet-Jacob's (K-J) equation. DFT was employed to calculate the energy of detonation (ΔE_{det}), performing with the code DMol3 under 3D periodic boundary conditions accompanied with the Monkhorst-Pack multiple K-point sampling of the Brillouin zone and the Perdew-Becke-Ezerhoff (PBE) exchange correlation function. The heat of detonation (ΔH_{det}) was estimated from ΔE_{det} by a linear correlation equation.

 $\Delta H_{det} = 1.127 \Delta E_{det} + 0.046, r = 0.968$

Detonation velocity and pressure were estimated by a method using extended Kamlet-Jacob's equation for explosives.

$$D = 1.01 \ \Phi^{1/2} (1+1.30\rho)$$

$P = 1.558 \ \Phi \rho^2$ $\Phi = 31.68 \ N(MQ)^{1/2}$

Here, ρ represents the density of explosive (g·cm⁻³), N and M are the characteristic parameters of the detonation products, N is the moles of detonation gases per gram of explosive and M is the average molecular weight of these gases, Q is the heat of detonation (ΔH_{det} , kcal·g⁻¹), D is the detonation velocity (km·s⁻¹), and P is the detonation pressure (GPa).

QBP	
Atom–Atom	Length [Å]
Ba1–O1	2.906
Ba1–O2	2.982
Ba1–O5	2.859
Ba1–O6	2.876
Av. Ba–O	2.906

The distance of Ba–O bond in QBP, IBP and BaClO₄ (Table S1)







Hygroscopicity measurements (S3)

The hygroscopicity was determined by the weight gain method [S2]. About 2 g of ground test samples were initially dried to a constant weight (with a fluctuation less than 0.2 mg) and then placed in chambers at room temperature and a relative humidity of 86%, and 100%, respectively. The moisture absorption rate was calculated by the following equation.

$$\omega = \frac{m_1}{m_0} \times 100\%$$

where m_0 denotes the initial mass, and m_1 denotes the mass after moisture absorption.

Computational details (S4)

Considering the periodic structures, geometry optimizations were conducted in CASTEP using the Perdew—Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional with Grimme's D3 dispersion correction in the Becke-Johnson formalism and hence noted as DFT-B3(BJ) [S3]. The ultrasoft pseudopotential was used. For all the electron self-consisting calculations, the cutoff and relative cutoff were set as 489.80 eV, respectively. The k-point was set as $1 \times 1 \times 1$. At the beginning, both the cell and the atom positions were optimized, during which the convergence criteria were set as the maximum energy change of 5×10^{-5} eV, maximum geometry changes of $< 5 \times 10^{-3}$ Å, maximum force of < 0.1 eV/ Å, RMS force of $< 3 \times 10^{-4}$ Ha/Bohr. Then we reduce the angle of the unit cell and model it every 1 degree to simulate the situation where the unit cell is subjected to shear force. For all models, we fix the unit cell angle and optimize the atom positions. After the calculation converges, we read out the internal pressure of the unit cell and make a stress-strain curve.

Constant-volume combustion (S5)

A constant-volume combustion cell was used to study the temporal pressures of different energetic materials. In this study, 60 mg of the loose sample was placed inside a 50 mL combustion cell and was ignited with a nichrome coil on top of the loose powder. An attached piezoresistive pressure sensor together with an in-line

charge amplifier and signal conditioner were used to record the pressure history.

High-speed camera (S6)

The combustion experiment system consists of an ignition system, a signal acquisition system, and high-speed photography. Following the setup in Figure S1, the experimental platform is constructed by connecting the bridge wire to the electrodes of the power supply and fixing the bridge wire to the experimental table. The experimental image acquisition parameters are set by connecting the high-speed camera to the computer. During the experiment, the pre-weighed substances are stacked in a conical shape on the bridge wire. Upon pressing the synchronization trigger, the experimental images captured by the high-speed photography system are processed using specialized software. The total duration of the recording is 4 seconds. A direct current power supply with a voltage of 100 V is used. The bridge wire is made of iron-chromium alloy with a resistance of 2.7 Ω . The amount of substance used is 60 mg, and the high-speed frame rate is set at 1000 frames per second.



Figure S1. Diagram of the combustion process testing system.

Emission spectrum (S7)

The flame emission spectral analysis of 50 mg compound QBP was performed using a visible spectrometer (HP320, DUOTONE CLOUD).



Figure S2. Emission spectrum of QBP.

Ba	ıCl	Ba	ОН
wavelength / nm	relative intensity	wavelength / nm	relative intensity
507	8	488	72
514	100	502	30
517	21	513	100
521	14	524	86
524	99	745	47
532	34	/	/

Table S2 Normalized line and band intensities for BaCl and BaOH

W. Meyerriecks, K. L. Kosanke, Color values and spectra of the principal emitters in colored flames, *J. Pyrotech.* 2003, **18**, 710-731.

The green light emission observed during the combustion of QBP is likely attributed to the combined contribution of BaCl and BaOH, as both substances exhibit strong emission peaks at 514, 524 nm (for BaCl), and 513, 524 nm (for BaOH), respectively.

Reference

- [S1] Bushuyev, O. S., et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 1422.
- [S2] GRIMME S., et al. J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132.
- [S3] Jun W., et al. Mater. Chem. Front. 2023, 7, 2251.

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) ibp

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: ibp

Bond precision: Ba- O = 0.0030 AWavelength=1.34138 a=14.0014(9) b=14.0014(9) Cell: c=14.0014(9)beta=90 alpha=90 gamma=90 298 K Temperature: Calculated Reported 2744.8(5) Volume 2744.8(5)Space group F m -3 c F m -3 c Hall group -F 4c 2 3 -F 4c 2 3 Ba0.08 Cl0.25 O, 0.003(C72 Ba Cl3 O12, C3 H5 N2 Moiety formula H120 N48) C0.25 H0.42 Ba0.08 C10.25 Sum formula C3 H5 Ba C13 N2 O12 N0.17 O 42.07 504.78 Mr 2.443 2.443 Dx,g cm-3 Ζ 96 8 Mu (mm-1) 19.526 19.216 F000 1920.2 1920.0 F000′ 1931.08 17,17,17 17,17,15 h,k,lmax Nref 148 145 Tmin,Tmax 0.288,0.316 0.482,0.752 Tmin' 0.187

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.482 Tmax=0.752 AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN

Data completeness= 0.980

Theta(max) = 58.969

R(reflections) = 0.0197(126)

wR2(reflections) = 0.0451(145)

S = 1.137

Npar= 32

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format **test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level**. Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Author Response: The high rotational freedom of the imidazolium cation leads to 24-fold disorder, which increases the complexity of the crystal structure. This disorder likely contributes to the poor data-to-parameter ratio.

Alert level C PLAT041_ALERT_1_C Calc. and Reported SumFormula Strings Differ Please Check Calc: C0.25 H0.42 Ba0.08 C10.25 N0.17 O Rep.: C3 H5 Ba C13 N2 O12 PLAT042_ALERT_1_C Calc. and Reported MoietyFormula Strings Differ Please Check Calc: Ba0.08 Cl0.25 O, 0.003(C72 H120 N48) Rep.: Ba Cl3 O12, C3 H5 N2 <code>PLAT051_ALERT_1_C Mu(calc)</code> and <code>Mu(cif)</code> Ratio Differs from 1.0 by . 1.62 % PLAT243_ALERT_4_C High 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of 01 Check PLAT911_ALERT_3_C Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.600 3 Report 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT927_ALERT_1_C Reported and Calculated wR2 Differ by -0.0020 Check

Alert level G

ABSMU01_ALERT_1_G Calculation of _exptl_absorpt_correction_mu not performed for this radiation type. CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected. CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G ALERT: check formula stoichiometry or atom site occupancies. From the CIF: _cell_formula_units_Z 8 From the CIF: _chemical_formula_sum C3 H5 Ba Cl3 N2 O12 TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data Z*formula cif sites diff atom С 24.00 24.02 -0.02 40.00 40.03 -0.03 Н Ba 8.00 8.00 0.00 C1 24.00 24.00 0.00 Ν 16.00 16.01 -0.01 0 96.00 96.00 0.00 PLAT002_ALERT_2_G Number of Distance or Angle Restraints on AtSite 5 Note PLAT003_ALERT_2_G Number of Uiso or U(i,j) Restrained non-H-Atoms 5 Report PLAT004_ALERT_5_G Polymeric Structure Found with Maximum Dimension 3 Info PLAT007_ALERT_5_G Number of Unrefined Donor-H Atoms 2 Report H1 H2

PLAT019_ALERT_1_G _diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full/*_max < 1.0	0.996	Report
PLAT045_ALERT_1_G Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor	12	Check
PLAT068_ALERT_1_G Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)	Please	Check
PLAT083_ALERT_2_G SHELXL Second Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large	9.71	Why ?
PLAT171_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains EADP Records	1	Report
PLAT172_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains DFIX Records	2	Report
PLAT173_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains DANG Records	2	Report
PLAT174_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains FLAT Records	1	Report
PLAT176_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains SADI Records	4	Report
PLAT178_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains SIMU Records	1	Report
PLAT186_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains ISOR Records	1	Report
PLAT187_ALERT_4_G The CIF-Embedded .res File Contains RIGU Records	1	Report
PLAT191_ALERT_3_G A Non-default SADI Restraint Value has been used	0.0400	Report
PLAT191_ALERT_3_G A Non-default SADI Restraint Value has been used	0.0400	Report
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of N1 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of N2 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of C1 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of C2 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of C3 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H1 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H1A Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H2 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H2A Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT300_ALERT_4_G Atom Site Occupancy of H3 Constrained at	0.0417	Check
PLAT301_ALERT_3_G Main Residue Disorder(Resd 2)	100%	Note
PLAT722_ALERT_1_G Angle Calc 126.00, Rep 124.80 Dev	1.20	Degree
C1 -N1 -H1 1_555 1_555 1_555 ;	# 83 Chec	ck
PLAT789_ALERT_4_G Atoms with Negative _atom_site_disorder_group #	10	Check
PLAT811_ALERT_5_G No ADDSYM Analysis: Too Many Excluded Atoms	!	Info
PLAT822_ALERT_4_G CIF-embedded .res Contains Negative PART Numbers	1	Check
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints	104	Note
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary	104 Please	Note Do !
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File	104 Please 3	Note Do ! Note
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10,	104 Please 3	Note Do ! Note
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ.</pre>	104 Please 3	Note Do ! Note Units
PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by .	104 Please 3 2 2	Note Do ! Note Units Units
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 3.283	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight</pre>	104 Please 3 2 2 3.283 3.96	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f'= -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f'= -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f'= 0.3294 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132 0.3281	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f'= 0.3294 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The N-f'= 0.0253 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132 0.3281 0.0241	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check Check Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f'= 0.3294 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The N-f'= 0.0253 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The 0-f'= 0.0412 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132 0.3281 0.0241 0.0389	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check Check Check Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f'= -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f'= 0.3294 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The N-f'= 0.0253 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The O-f'= 0.0412 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT985_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f"= 7.2115 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132 0.3281 0.0241 0.0389 6.7527	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check Check Check Check Check
<pre>PLAT860_ALERT_3_G Number of Least-Squares Restraints PLAT883_ALERT_1_G Absent Datum for _atom_sites_solution_primary PLAT933_ALERT_2_G Number of HKL-OMIT Records in Embedded .res File 0 2 2, 2 2 2, 8 8 10, PLAT952_ALERT_5_G Calculated (ThMax) and CIF-Reported Lmax Differ. PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by . PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 1.37 or SHELX Weight PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f'= 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f'= -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f'= 0.3294 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The N-f'= 0.0253 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The O-f'= 0.0412 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT985_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f"= 7.2115 Deviates from the B&C-Value PLAT985_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f"= 0.5404 Deviates from the B&C-Value</pre>	104 Please 3 2 3.283 3.96 0.0137 -0.3132 0.3281 0.0241 0.0389 6.7527 0.5435	Note Do ! Note Units Units Note Check Check Check Check Check Check Check

1 ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain 0 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully 6 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight 49 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected 20 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data 4 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient 6 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low 21 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion 5 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica, Journal of Applied Crystallography, Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 19/12/2024; check.def file version of 19/12/2024

Datablock ibp - ellipsoid plot



checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) qbp

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: qbp

C-C = 0.0087 ABond precision: Wavelength=1.34138 a=12.9696(13) Cell: b=10.3066(8) c=10.146(1)beta=106.744(5) alpha=90 gamma=90 298 K Temperature: Calculated Reported Volume 1298.7(2)1298.7(2)Space group P 21/c P 1 21/c 1 Hall group -P 2ybc -P 2ybc Moiety formula Ba Cl4 Ol6, 2(C7 H14 N) Ba Cl4 O16, 2(C7 H14 N) Sum formula C14 H28 Ba C14 N2 O16 C14 H28 Ba C14 N2 O16 Mr 759.51 759.52 1.942 1.942 Dx,g cm-3 Ζ 2 2 Mu (mm-1) 11.176 11.002 F000 756.0 756.0 F000′ 759.88 h,k,lmax 16,13,13 16,13,13 Nref 2879 2852 Tmin,Tmax 0.400,0.719 0.405,0.752 Tmin′ 0.167 Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.405 Tmax=0.752 AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN Data completeness= 0.991 Theta(max) = 59.440wR2(reflections) = R(reflections) = 0.0396(2321)0.1243(2852) S = 1.128Npar= 169

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level C <code>PLAT051_ALERT_1_C Mu(calc)</code> and <code>Mu(cif)</code> Ratio Differs from 1.0 by . 1.58 % PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of 01 Check PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of 02 Check PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of 05 Check PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of 06 Check PLAT242_ALERT_2_C Low 'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Bal Check C1 Check PLAT243_ALERT_4_C High 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of PLAT243_ALERT_4_C High 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of C2 Check PLAT243_ALERT_4_C High 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of C5 Check PLAT243_ALERT_4_C High 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of C7 Check PLAT244_ALERT_4_C Low 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of N1 Check 'Solvent' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of PLAT244_ALERT_4_C Low C3 Check PLAT342_ALERT_3_C Low Bond Precision on C-C Bonds 0.00867 Ang. 3.846 Check PLAT906_ALERT_3_C Large K Value in the Analysis of Variance PLAT911_ALERT_3_C Missing FCF Refl Between Thmin & STh/L= 0.600 9 Report 0 2 0, 3 0 0, 13 1 1, -1 0 2, -1 2 2, 0 2 2, -8 9 3, -4 10 7, 6 3 9, PLAT913_ALERT_3_C Missing # of Very Strong Reflections in FCF 5 Note 0 2 0, 1 0 0, 3 0 0, -1 2 2, 0 2 2, PLAT927_ALERT_1_C Reported and Calculated wR2 Differ by -0.0019 Check

Alert level G

```
ABSMU01_ALERT_1_G Calculation of _exptl_absorpt_correction_mu
               not performed for this radiation type.
PLAT004_ALERT_5_G Polymeric Structure Found with Maximum Dimension
                                                                         2 Info
PLAT007_ALERT_5_G Number of Unrefined Donor-H Atoms .....
                                                                         1 Report
             Н1
PLAT242_ALERT_2_G Low
                        'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of
                                                                       Cll Check
                      'MainMol' Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of
PLAT242_ALERT_2_G Low
                                                                       Cl2 Check
PLAT883_ALERT_1_G No Info/Value for _atom_sites_solution_primary .
                                                                    Please Do !
PLAT910_ALERT_3_G Missing # of FCF Reflection(s) Below Theta(Min).
                                                                         1 Note
              1 0 0,
PLAT912_ALERT_4_G Missing # of FCF Reflections Above STh/L= 0.600
                                                                        17 Note
PLAT941_ALERT_3_G Average HKL Measurement Multiplicity .....
                                                                       4.2 Low
PLAT955_ALERT_1_G Reported (CIF) and Actual (FCF) Lmax Differ by .
                                                                         1 Units
PLAT969_ALERT_5_G The 'Henn et al.' R-Factor-gap value .....
                                                                      2.571 Note
             Predicted wR2: Based on SigI**2 4.84 or SHELX Weight 11.02
PLAT978_ALERT_2_G Number C-C Bonds with Positive Residual Density.
                                                                         0 Info
PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The C-f' = 0.0148 Deviates from the B&C-Value
PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f' = -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value
                                                                    0.0137 Check
PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f'=
                             -0.1863 Deviates from the B&C-Value
                                                                   -0.3132 Check
0.3281 Check
                                                                    0.0241 Check
PLAT984_ALERT_1_G The O-f' = 0.0412 Deviates from the B&C-Value
                                                                    0.0389 Check
PLAT985_ALERT_1_G The Ba-f"= 7.2115 Deviates from the B&C-Value
                                                                   6.7527 Check
PLAT985_ALERT_1_G The Cl-f"= 0.5404 Deviates from the B&C-Value
                                                                    0.5435 Check
```

0 ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain 0 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully

```
17 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
19 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
12 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
8 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
6 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
7 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
3 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check
```

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica, Journal of Applied Crystallography, Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 22/08/2024; check.def file version of 21/08/2024

Datablock qbp - ellipsoid plot

