Supporting Information

Non-mutagenic Ru(II)-phosphine-based Complexes Induce Mitochondria-mediated Apoptosis in Breast Cancer Cells: From 2D to 3D Investigations

Marcos V. Palmeira-Mello,^{*1} Tamara Teixeira,¹ Analu R. Costa,^{1,2} Aline Maria Machado,³ Rone A. De Grandis,⁴ Leticia P. de Oliveira,¹ Carlos A. F. Moraes,¹ João H. de Araujo-Neto,⁵ Victor M. Deflon,⁶ Adriano D. Andricopulo,² Javier Ellena,⁷ Heloisa S. Selistre-de-Araújo,³ Fillipe V. Rocha,¹ and Alzir A. Batista^{*1}

¹ Departament of Chemistry, Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, 13565-905, SP, Brazil

 ² Laboratory of Medicinal and Computational Chemistry (LQMC), Institute of Physics, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Carlos, 13563-120, SP, Brazil.
 ³ Department of Physiological Sciences, Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, 13565-905, SP, Brazil

⁴ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences of São Paulo State University (UNESP), Araraquara, 14800-903, SP, Brazil.

⁵ Department of Fundamental Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo. 05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

⁶ São Carlos Institute of Chemistry, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Carlos, 13566-590, SP, Brazil.

⁷ São Carlos Institute of Physics, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Carlos, 13566-590, SP, Brazil.

*Corresponding authors: Marcos Palmeira-Mello (<u>marcos.palmeira@ufscar.br</u>, ORCID 0000-0003-2550-5315), and Alzir Batista (<u>daab@ufscar.br</u>, ORCID 0000-0002-4671-2754).

1

Table of Content

- Part I IR spectra
- Part II NMR spectra and 2D correlation maps
- Part III UV-Vis spectra
- Part IV Mass Spectrometry
- Part V Electrochemical data
- Part VI X-Ray Diffraction
- Part VII Stability in solution



Fig. S1 IR spectrum of complex $[Ru(mtz)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru1) in KBr.



Fig. S2 IR spectrum of complex [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (**Ru2**) in KBr.



Fig. S3 IR spectrum of complex $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru3**) in KBr.

Part II – NMR spectra and 2D correlation maps



Fig. S4 ${}^{31}P$ { ${}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum of complex [Ru(mtz)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru1) in CH₂Cl₂/D₂O at 298 K.





Fig. S6 ${}^{1}H{}^{-13}C$ HMBC NMR correlation map of [Ru(mtz)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru1) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.





Fig. S7 ${}^{31}P$ { ${}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum of complex [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru2) in CH₂Cl₂/D₂O at 298 K.



Fig. S8 ¹H NMR spectrum of complex [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (**Ru2**) in DMSO-d₆ at 298 K.



Fig. S9 ¹³C {¹H} NMR spectrum of $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru2**) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S10 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR correlation map of [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru2) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S11 1 H- 13 C HMBC NMR correlation map of [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru2) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S12 ^{31}P {¹H} NMR spectrum of complex [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru3) in CH₂Cl₂/D₂O at 298 K.



Fig. S13 ¹H NMR spectrum of complex $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru3) in DMSOd₆ at 298 K.



Fig. S14 ¹³C {¹H} NMR spectrum of $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru3**) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S15 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR correlation map of [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (**Ru3**) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S16 1 H- 13 C HMBC NMR correlation map of [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (**Ru3**) in DMSO-d₆ at 298K.



Fig. S17 UV-Vis spectrum of [Ru(mtz)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru1) in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S18 UV-Vis spectrum of [Ru(mmi)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru2) in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S19 UV-Vis spectrum of [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (**Ru3**) in DMSO-d₆.

Part IV – Mass Spectrometry



Fig. S20 MS spectrum of [Ru(mtz)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru1).



Fig. S21 MS spectrum of $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru2).



Fig. 22 MS spectrum of [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru3).

Part V – Electrochemical data



Fig. S23 Cyclic voltammogram of $[Ru(mtz)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru1**) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 mol L⁻¹ TBAP). Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S24 Cyclic voltammogram of $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru2) in CH_2CI_2 (0.1 mol L⁻¹ TBAP). Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S25 Cyclic voltammogram of $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru3) in CH_2CI_2 (0.1 mol L⁻¹ TBAP). Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹.

Table S1 Electrochemical data of the complexes (**Ru1–Ru3**) in DCM/TBACIO₄ 0.1 M solutions, using a three-electrode setup (working: carbon; ref.: Ag/AgCI; aux.: Pt wire). Scan rate: 50 mV s⁻¹.

Complex	E _{pa} (V)	E_{pc} (V)	∆ E (V)	E _{1/2} (V)
Ru1	1.38	1.25	0.13	1.32
Ru2	1.12	0.98	0.14	1.05
Ru3	1.08	1.00	0.08	1.04

Part VI – X-Ray Diffraction

	Ru1	Ru2*	Ru3		
		Bond Distance (Å)			
Ru-S1	2.485(1)	2.54(2) / 2.58(2)	2.432(1)		
Ru-N1	2.147(2)	2.11(2) / 2.08(2)	2.191(1)		
Ru-P1	2.395(1)	2.310(1)	2.373(1)		
Ru-P2	2.313(1)	2.372(1)	2.305(1)		
Ru-P3	2.319(1)	2.299(1)	2.340(1)		
Ru-P4	2.316(1)	2.341(1)	2.353(1)		
C1-S1	1.712(3)	1.76(2) / 1.80(2)	1.732(2)		
		Bond Angle (°)			
S1-Ru-N1	66.28(7)	66.3(3) / 66.7(4)	66.42(5)		
P1-Ru-P2	71.70(3)	71.33(4)	72.12(2)		
P3-Ru-P4	72.32(3)	71.88(4)	71.19(2)		

Table S2 C The bond distance and angles of Ru1–Ru3

* This complex exhibit disorder in the coordination of the ligand, which was refined in two positions.

	Ru1	Ru2	Ru3
CCDC code	2235452	2235453	2235454
Empirical formula	$C_{53}H_{48}F_6NP_5RuS_2$	$C_{54}H_{49}F_6N_2P_5RuS$	$C_{54}H_{49}F_6N_4P_5RuS$
Formula weight	1132.96	1127.93	1155.95
Temperature/K	296(2)	100(2)	296.15
Crystal system	monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P21/n	C2/c	P-1
a/Å	11.3460(4)	34.440(3)	11.4598(3)
b/Å	21.2268(7)	12.0353(11)	11.8082(4)
c/Å	22.2419(7)	25.210(2)	21.7901(7)
α/°	90	90	103.4800(10)
β/°	94.1000(10)	92.977(9)	93.5460(10)
γ/°	90	90	111.4500(10)
Volume/Å ³	5343.0(3)	10435.4(16)	2633.31(14)
Z	4	8	2
$ ho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.408	1.436	1.458
µ/mm ⁻¹	0.578	0.554	0.551
F(000)	2312	4608	1180
Crystal size/mm ³	0.14 × 0.09 × 0.05	0.40 × 0.25 × 0.10	0.16 × 0.07 × 0.04
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)	Μο Κα (λ = 0.71073)	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)
2O range for data collection/°	2.656 to 52.784	5.108 to 51.362	3.81 to 52.942
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 14, -26 \le k$ $\le 26, -27 \le l \le 27$	-40 ≤ h ≤ 41, -14 ≤ k ≤ 14, -30 ≤ l ≤ 30	-14 ≤ h ≤ 14, -14 ≤ k ≤ 14, -27 ≤ l ≤ 27
Reflections collected	91596	33322	101959
Independent reflections	10952 [$R_{int} = 0.0403$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0239$]	9908 [R _{int} = 0.0766, R _{sigma} = 0.0938]	10841 [R _{int} = 0.0394, R _{sigma} = 0.0224]
Data/restraints/ parameters	10952/0/607	9908/151/714	10841/0/563
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.057	1.024	1.046
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0409, wR2 = 0.0964	$R_1 = 0.0508,$ w $R_2 = 0.0897$	$R_1 = 0.0333,$ w $R_2 = 0.0831$
R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0531, wR2 = 0.1049	$R_1 = 0.1031,$ w $R_2 = 0.1141$	$R_1 = 0.0409,$ $wR_2 = 0.0889$
Largest diff. peak/hole / eÅ ⁻³	0.86/-0.50	0.57/-0.39	0.51/-0.36

 Table S3 Crystallographic data of the complexes Ru1–Ru3





Fig. S26 ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectra of complex $[Ru(mtz)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru1**) in DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S27 ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectra of complex $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru2**) in DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S28 ${}^{31}P$ { ^{1}H } NMR spectra of complex [Ru(dmp)(dppm)₂]PF₆ (Ru3) in DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S29 ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectra of complex $[Ru(mtz)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru1**) in DMSO/DMEM (90:10) at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S30 ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectra of complex $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru2**) in DMSO/DMEM (90:10) at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S31 ³¹P {¹H} NMR spectra of complex $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru3**) in DMSO/DMEM (90:10) at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S32 UV-Vis spectra of $[Ru(mtz)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru1) in DMEM containing 5 % of DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S33 UV-Vis spectra of $[Ru(mmi)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (Ru2) in DMEM containing 5 % of DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.



Fig. S34 UV-Vis spectra of $[Ru(dmp)(dppm)_2]PF_6$ (**Ru3**) in DMEM containing 5 % of DMSO at different times: 0 h, 24 h and 48 h.