Supporting Information

Investigating the Fluorescence in C-Dots immobilized on Alginate Hydrogels-

A study on Diffusion Kinetics and Adsorption Mechanisms

Jingyi Wang,^{a,b} Luz Carime Gil Herrera,^{a,b} Ozge Akbulut,^c and Ahu Gümrah Dumanli *a,b

a Department of Materials, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K. b Henry Royce Institute, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K. c Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Istanbul 34956, Turkiye.

* Corresponding author: <u>ahugumrah.parry@manchester.ac.uk</u>

Supporting Figures



Figure S1. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of synthesized C-dots with a 100 nm scale bar, where the size and size distribution of the synthesized C-dots were illustrated and analyzed.



Figure S2. (a) XPS survey spectra, (b) C 1s spectra, (c) O 1s spectra, and (d) N 1s spectra of synthesized N-doped C-dots.



Figure S3. (a) Size distribution analysis of ALG-as-made hydrogel beads based on their optical microscopy images, and (b) SEM image of the surface of a freeze-dried ALG-as-made bead, scale bar = $100 \mu m$.



Figure S4. Concentration calibration plot from UV-Vis absorption of C-dots solution with concentrations of 100, 80, 50, 20, and 10 mg/L, respectively. All samples were measured at neutral pH.



Figure S5. Normalised PL intensity of C-dots in the solution outside the beads after immersing ALG-C-dots-Ads and ALG-C-dots-Hyb for the same duration, with identical C-dot content in both systems.



Figure S6. (a) TGA and **(b)** DSC analysis curves of ALG-as-made, ALG-C-dots-Hyb, and ALG-C-dots-Ads beads, respectively.



Figure S7. (a) XPS survey spectra, (b) C 1s spectra, (c) O 1s spectra, and (d) N 1s spectra of freeze dried ALG-as-made, ALG-C-dots-Hyb, and ALG-C-dots-Ads bead samples, respectively.

Supporting Tables

| Table S1. Kinetic param | eters for C-dots adsorption with 4 batches of initial concentrations |
|-------------------------|--|
| on ALG-as-made beads.* | |

| Concentration $q_{e,exp}$ (mg/L) (mg/g) | | Pseudo-first-order model | | | Pseudo-second-order model | | |
|--|--------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | | q _{e,cal} (mg/g) | <i>K</i> ₁ (/min) | R^2 | q _{e,cal} (mg/g) | $\frac{K_2}{(g/\text{mg min})}$ | R^2 |
| 100 | 0.8543 | 0.8778 | 0.0166 | 0.930 | 0.9388 | 0.0230 | 0.859 |
| 80 | 0.6918 | 0.7003 | 0.0159 | 0.900 | 0.7513 | 0.0269 | 0.839 |
| 50 | 0.4236 | 0.4266 | 0.0249 | 0.900 | 0.4598 | 0.0773 | 0.824 |
| 20 | 0.2186 | 0.2178 | 0.0224 | 0.931 | 0.2305 | 0.1292 | 0.873 |

 $\dagger q_{e,exp}$ (mg/g) is the experimental value of adsorption capacity, $q_{e,cal}$ (mg/g) is the theoretical value of adsorption capacity.

Table S2. XPS peak binding energy shifts for ALG-as-made, ALG-C-dots-Hyb, and ALG-C-dots-Ads bead samples, respectively.

| C 1S | C-C (eV) | C-O (eV) | C=O (eV) | 0-C=0 (eV) |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| ALG-as-made (I) | 284.74 | 286.40 | 287.85 | 288.74 |
| ALG-C-dots-Hyb (II) | 284.84 | 286.49 | 287.75 | 288.78 |
| ALG-C-dots-Ads (III) | 284.82 | 286.44 | 287.78 | 288.81 |

| O 1S | C=O (eV) | C-OH (eV) |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| ALG-as-made (I) | 531.28 | 532.87 |
| ALG-C-dots-Hyb (II) | 531.35 | 532.92 |
| ALG-C-dots-Ads (III) | 531.01 | 532.73 |