HYALURONIC ACID-COATED CAPECITABINE NANOSTRUCTURES FOR CD44 RECEPTOR-MEDIATED TARGETING IN BREAST CANCER THERAPY

Sruthi Laakshmi Mugundhan^a, Mothilal Mohan^{a,*}

^a Department of Pharmaceutics, SRM College of Pharmacy, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur-603203, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

*Correspondence to:

Mothilal Mohan, Department of Pharmaceutics, SRM College of Pharmacy, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur-603203, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India; E-mail: <u>mothilam@srmist.edu.in</u>; <u>mothipharma78@gmail.com</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

1. Methods

1.1. Experimental Design

Table S1 represents the levels of independent variables selected for the design.

	Levels		
Independent variables	-1	0	+1
A : Saponin (mMol)	1	2.5	4
B : Glycerol (mMol)	1	2.5	4
C : Sonication Time (Mins)	15	30	45

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Drug - Excipient Compatibility studies

Figure S1 represents the FTIR Spectrum of pure drug Capecitabine.



Capecitabine

Table S2 represents the results and interpretation of FTIR spectrum of Capecitabine.



1919.17	Aromatic combination bands	Combination of vibrations from the aromatic rings in Capecitabine's structure	
1689.64	Quinone or conjugated Ketone; Open- chain imino (-C=N-)	Corresponds to the -C=N group in the pyrimidine ring	
1641.42	Open-chain imino (-C=N-); Amide	Matches the amide group (-CONH-) and pyrimidine imino group	
1454.33	C=C-C Aromatic ring stretch	Matches aromatic stretches in the pyrimidine and phenyl rings	
1375.25	Phenol or 3° alcohol	Matches the hydroxyl group (-OH) in the sugar moiety	
1330.88	Aromatic 3° amine, CN stretch; Methyne C-H bend (>CH-)	Matches the C-N bonds in Capecitabine's nitrogenous base	
1234.44	Aromatic ethers, Aryl - O - Stretch	Matches vibrations of C-O bonds in the ester and sugar moiety	
1105.21	Cyclic ethers, large rings, C-O stretch; Aromatic C-H in-plane bend	Matches C-O bonds in the sugar moiety and aromatic C-H bonds	
1078.21	Aromatic C-H in-plane bend; Aliphatic Fluoro compounds C-F stretch	Peak corresponds to aromatic C-H bending and C-F stretching	
954.76	Aromatic C-H in-plane bend	Matches aromatic C-H bends in the pyrimidine ring and aromatic substituents	
756.10	CH 1,3 Disubstitution (meta); Aromatic C-H out of plane bend	Matches meta-substituted aromatic rings in Capecitabine	

Figure S2 represents the FTIR Spectrum of physical mixture of Capecitabine and Hyaluronic acid.



Capecitabine + HA

Figure S3 represents the FTIR Spectrum of physical mixture of Capecitabine and Saponin.



Capecitabine + Saponin



Capecitabine + Glycerol

Figure S4 represents the FTIR Spectrum of physical mixture of Capecitabine and Glycerol.



Figure S5 represents the FTIR Spectrum of physical mixture of Capecitabine and Vit-E TPGS.

Capecitabine + Vit-E TPGS

Figure S6 represents the FTIR Spectrum of Capecitabine-loaded micelles (CAP-M).



Figure S7 represents the FTIR Spectrum of Hyaluronic acid-coated Capecitabine-loaded micelles (HA-CAP-M).



2.2. Optimization

Course	f Volue	p-value	
Source	t-value	prob > f	
	Particle	size (nm)	
Model	50.34	0.0002	Significant
A-Saponin	286.60	< 0.0001	
B-Glycerol	14.02	0.0134	
C-Sonication Time	22.00	0.0054	
AB	0.2868	0.6152	
AC	2.10	0.2072	
BC	0.3485	0.5806	
A²	95.33	0.0002	
B²	13.26	0.0149	
C ²	33.90	0.0021	
	EE	(%)	
Model	906.50	< 0.0001	significant
A-Saponin	7272.78	< 0.0001	
B-Glycerol	85.22	0.0003	
C-Sonication Time	0.5872	0.4781	
AB	10.77	0.0219	
AC	0.0004	0.9846	
BC	0.9642	0.3712	
A²	355.09	< 0.0001	
B²	317.28	< 0.0001	
C ²	235.44	< 0.0001	
In vitro drug release after 4 hours (%)			
Model	6.41	0.0273	significant
A-Saponin	30.26	0.0027	
B-Glycerol	2.95	0.1463	

Table S3. Analysis of variance for the quadratic polynomial model for Particle size, EE and *in vitro* drug release after 4 hours

C-Sonication Time	0.0664	0.8070	
AB	0.5399	0.4955	
AC	1.94	0.2224	
BC	0.3749	0.5671	
A ²	3.97	0.1030	
B ²	12.92	0.0156	
C ²	7.70	0.0392	

Table S4. Predicted models of Capecitabine-loaded micelles

Models			
Particle size = +17.48 - 9.84 A - 2.18 B - 2.73 C - 0.4400 A*B + 1.19 A*C + 0.4850 B*C + 8.35 A ² + 3.11 B ² + 4.98 C ²			
Entrapment efficiency = + 76.22 + 29.63 A + 3.21 B + 0.2662 C - 1.61 A*B + 0.0100 A*C + 0.4825 B*C - 9.64 A ² -9.11 B ² - 7.85 C ²			
Drug release after 4 hours = +66.69 + 3.18 A + 0.9925 B + 0.1487 C - 0.6000 A*B + 1.14 A*C + 0.5000 B*C - 1.69 A ² - 3.06 B ² - 2.36 C ²			

Table S5. Results of regression analysis for responses (Particle size, EE and in vitro drug release)

Response	R-squared	Adj R- Squared	Adeq Precision	Lack of fit
Particle size	0.9891	0.9694	20.9185	0.15
EE (%)	0.9994	0.9983	81.8498	0.7873
<i>In vitro</i> drug release after 4 hours (%)	0.9203	0.7768	7.4459	0.1032

Table S6. Optimized values obtained from the RSM method and related experimental data atthe optimum conditions

Parameter	Predicted by RSM	Experimental Data
Particle size (nm)	17.4767	17.8 ± 3.2
Entrapment Efficiency (EE) (%)	76.22	76.92 ± 2.41
In vitro drug release after 4 hours (%)	66.6933	66.69 ± 3.43