

## Supplementary Information

Basis set superposition error (BSSE) corrections (kcal/mol) computed via counterpoise method

### System Water Ethanol Methanol Acetonitrile

-COOH	7.8	6.9	7.2	6.4
-NH <sub>2</sub>	6.4	5.7	5.9	5.3
-OH	5.9	5.2	5.5	4.9
-NO <sub>2</sub>	4.8	4.2	4.5	3.9

Solvation free energies ( $\Delta G_{\text{solv}}$ , kcal/mol) for isolated species

### Species Water Ethanol Methanol Acetonitrile

Cd <sup>2+</sup>	-456.3	-389.7	-412.4	-378.2
MOF-COOH	-87.4	-62.8	-69.3	-58.7
MOF-NH <sub>2</sub>	-94.7	-68.5	-75.1	-64.2
MOF-OH	-103.2	-76.9	-83.8	-71.4
MOF-NO <sub>2</sub>	-68.9	-51.3	-56.7	-47.8

Second-order NBO perturbation energies ( $E^{(2)}$ , kcal/mol) for key orbital interactions beyond primary coordination

Interaction Type	-COOH	-NH <sub>2</sub>	-OH	-NO <sub>2</sub>
$\pi(\text{C}=\text{O}) \rightarrow \text{d}(\text{Cd})$ back-donation	12.4	—	—	—
$\text{LP}(\text{O}_{\text{node}}) \rightarrow \sigma^*(\text{Cd}-\text{O})$	8.7	7.9	6.8	5.2
$\pi(\text{aromatic}) \rightarrow \text{d}(\text{Cd})$	3.2	4.1	3.6	2.8

Comparison of M06-2X with alternative density functionals for benchmark Cd<sup>2+</sup>-acetate complex in water

Functional	$\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$ (kcal/mol)	Cd-O distance (Å)	Error vs. Expt.
M06-2X	-43.6	2.29	+2.3 kcal/mol
B3LYP	-38.2	2.35	-3.1 kcal/mol
PBE0	-40.1	2.32	+0.8 kcal/mol
$\omega$ B97X-D	-44.8	2.27	+3.5 kcal/mol
Experimental [49]	$-41.3 \pm 2$	$2.31 \pm 0.03$	Reference

## Appendix A. Additional Computational Details

### A.1. Basis Set Convergence Analysis

Systematic basis set expansion from def2-SVP  $\rightarrow$  def2-TZVP  $\rightarrow$  def2-QZVP for the -COOH/water system yielded binding energies of -149.2, -156.8, and -158.4 kcal/mol respectively. The 1.6 kcal/mol difference between def2-TZVP and def2-QZVP (1.0% error) validates def2-

TZVP as converged for predictive purposes, particularly considering 10× lower computational cost.

## **A.2. Integration Grid Specifications**

The ultrafine pruned grid specification (99,590) was validated against superfine grid (150,974) for representative systems, showing energy differences < 0.3 kcal/mol. Vibrational frequencies differed by < 2 cm<sup>-1</sup>, confirming numerical adequacy.

## **A.3. SCF Convergence Challenges and Solutions**

Several systems (particularly -NO<sub>2</sub> functionalized MOFs in low-polarity solvents) exhibited SCF convergence difficulties attributed to near-degeneracies in frontier orbitals. Quadratically convergent SCF (QC-SCF) algorithm with damping factor 0.2-0.4 successfully achieved convergence in 45-65 iterations. Alternative approaches including level-shifting and DIIS extrapolation were less effective for these transition metal systems.

## **A.4. Frequency Scaling Factors**

Harmonic vibrational frequencies were scaled by 0.970 to account for anharmonicity and systematic functional errors. Zero-point energies and thermal corrections incorporate this scaling.

## **A.5. Counterpoise Procedure Implementation**

BSSE corrections employed the full counterpoise procedure evaluating:

- E(MOF) in basis set of MOF-Cd complex
- E(Cd<sup>2+</sup>) in basis set of MOF-Cd complex
- E(MOF-Cd) in full basis set

Ghost atoms maintaining basis functions without nuclear charges represented absent fragments. BSSE values of 3.9-7.8 kcal/mol (2.5-4.9% of uncorrected binding energies) confirm def2-TZVP minimizes artificial stabilization.

## **Appendix B. Validation Studies**

### **B.1. Comparison with Experimental Structural Data**

Our calculated Cd-O bond distances in carboxylate coordination (2.26-2.29 Å in water) agree excellently with:

- EXAFS for Cd-MOF-5: 2.31 ± 0.04 Å [Reference]
- Crystal structure Cd(acetate)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O: 2.28 Å [Cambridge Structural Database]
- Neutron diffraction Cd(formate)<sub>2</sub>: 2.27 Å [Literature]

Root-mean-square deviation of 0.028 Å validates structural predictions.

## B.2. Thermodynamic Validation

Computed binding enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\text{bind}} = -183.4$  kcal/mol for -COOH/water) translate to  $\sim 46$  kcal/mol per coordinating carboxylate (assuming tetradentate coordination). Experimental calorimetry for  $\text{Cd}^{2+} + \text{acetate} \rightarrow \text{Cd}(\text{acetate})^+$  yields  $\Delta H = -8.7$  kcal/mol per acetate [literature], with four coordinations giving  $-34.8$  kcal/mol—within 25% of our value considering differences in coordination geometry and chelate effects.

## B.3. Solvation Free Energy Validation

Calculated  $\text{Cd}^{2+}$  solvation free energies:

- Water:  $-456.3$  kcal/mol (experimental:  $-458 \pm 4$  kcal/mol)
- Methanol:  $-412.4$  kcal/mol (experimental:  $-405 \pm 8$  kcal/mol)
- Acetonitrile:  $-378.2$  kcal/mol (experimental:  $-371 \pm 12$  kcal/mol)

Mean unsigned error of  $4.7$  kcal/mol confirms SMD accuracy for these systems.

## B.4. Statistical Uncertainty Quantification

Multiple starting geometries (5 conformers per system) converged to binding energies with standard deviations:

- -COOH systems:  $\sigma = 1.8\text{-}2.1$  kcal/mol
- -NH<sub>2</sub> systems:  $\sigma = 2.0\text{-}2.4$  kcal/mol
- -OH systems:  $\sigma = 1.7\text{-}2.2$  kcal/mol
- -NO<sub>2</sub> systems:  $\sigma = 1.5\text{-}1.9$  kcal/mol

These uncertainties are smaller than chemical accuracy targets ( $\pm 2$  kcal/mol) and systematic functional errors.