## Supporting information for

## Mechanistic Insights into Spontaneous Redispersion of ZnO onto TiO<sub>2</sub> in Water-Containing Environments

Conghui Liu,<sup>ab</sup> Rongtan Li,<sup>b</sup> Xiaohui Feng,<sup>b</sup> Yuting Sun,<sup>b</sup> Yamei Fan,<sup>b</sup> Jiaxin Li,<sup>b</sup> and Qiang Fu\*<sup>b</sup>



**Fig. S1** (a) XRD patterns, (b) Raman spectra and (c) Zn 2p XPS spectra of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> sample before and after H<sub>2</sub>O vapor treatment.



Fig. S2 STEM and EDS mapping images of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O sample.



**Fig. S3** XRD patterns of (a) 5 wt% ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and (b) 5 wt% ZnO-SiO<sub>2</sub> samples before and after liquid water treatment.



**Fig. S4** (a) XRD patterns and (b) Raman spectra of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> sample before and after liquid water treatment.



Fig. S5 In situ <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> with varying water content.



**Fig. S6** In situ <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> after introducing water. (a-b) In situ <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> after introducing 3  $\mu$ L water without direct contact. (c-d) In situ <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> after introducing 0.5  $\mu$ L water without direct contact.



Fig. S7 Effect of temperature on ZnO redispersion. (a) XRD patterns of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> sample after treatment in 3.2% H<sub>2</sub>O/O<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures. (b) Zn2*p*/Ti3*d* XPS peak area ratio of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> sample after treatment in 3.2% H<sub>2</sub>O/O<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures. (c) DRIFTS spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures. (d) XRD patterns of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> sample before and after treatment in liquid water at 90 °C.



**Fig. S8** Effect of temperature and water content on ZnO redispersion. XRD patterns of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> before and after water treatment without contacting at (a) 25 °C, (b) 50 °C, (c) 70 °C, and (d) 90 °C.



Fig. S9 The water requirement for ZnO redispersion at various temperatures.



Fig. S10 DRIFTS spectra of  $TiO_2$  and hydrophobic  $TiO_2$  before and after treatment in 3.2% H<sub>2</sub>O/O<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S11 C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> selectivity of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub> (a) before and (b) after water treatment in PDH.



Fig. S12 Kinetic fitting plot of the reaction order for  $C_3H_8$  in propane dehydrogenation reaction.



Fig. S13 (a) Stability test of ZnO-TiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O catalysts in the PDH reaction under different  $C_3H_8$  concentrations. (b) Stability test of 5% ZnO/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample prepared by impregnation method in the PDH reaction after pre-oxidation and regeneration (orange dashed line: pre-oxidation process, heating to 550 °C in 40 mL/min O<sub>2</sub>; gray dashed line: regeneration process, treatment in 40 mL/min O<sub>2</sub> at 550 °C for 10 min).

Processing Conditions	Sample Weight (mg)	Peak Area	Hydrogen Content (mol)	H <sub>2</sub> O Coverage (ML)
Dry	88	2.9E+10	4.1E-05	0.2
1.6% H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub>	95	1.8E+11	2.5E-04	1.2
2.3% H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub>	90	2.4E+11	3.3E-04	1.7
3.2% H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub>	97	4.2E+11	5.8E-04	2.8
7.4% H <sub>2</sub> O/O <sub>2</sub>	93	4.6E+11	6.4E-04	3.2

Table S1 Quantitative calculation of H<sub>2</sub>O coverage according to Fig. 2d.

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was added to the test sample as an internal standard due to its defined structure, which facilitates the calculation of hydrogen atom amount based on mass. By comparing the <sup>1</sup>H peak area of PDMS at 0.16 ppm and <sup>1</sup>H peak area of adsorbed water in the range of 5.1 to 5.7 ppm, the hydrogen atom amount in the surface adsorbed water can be determined. Subsequently, the coverage of the surface adsorbed water is calculated using the specific surface area and weight of TiO<sub>2</sub> sample.

**Table S2** Calculation of water consumption by evaporation in 10 mL bottle at different temperatures.

Temperature (°C)	Saturated vapor pressure of water (kPa)	Evaporated water volume (µL)			
25	3.2	0.2			
50	12.3	0.8			
70	31.2	2.0			
90	70.1	4.2			

**Table S3** Comparison of PDH performance of Zn-based catalysts in this work and other works.

Temperature (°C)	Catalyst	Reaction Gas	WHSV (g <sub>C3H8</sub> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ·g <sub>cat</sub> . <sup>-1</sup> )	X(C3H8)	S(C3H6)	STY (g <sub>C3H6</sub> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ·g <sub>cat.</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	Specific Activity (mol <sub>C3H6</sub> ·h <sup>-1</sup> ·mol <sub>Zn</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
525	10Zn0.1Pt/HZ	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> =5/95	0.239	56.2%	79.0%	0.101	1.58	[59]
550	Zn/ZSM-5(20)-IE(16 h)-(0.17)	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /He=5/95	10.8	29.0%	69.0%	2.06	356	[60]
550	Zn/ZSM-5(20)-CVD(DMZ)/RED/OX	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /He=5/95	10.8	42.0%	62.0%	2.68	102	[60]
550	Zn/ZSM-5(20)-IWI-(0.70)	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /He=5/95	10.8	50.0%	42.0%	2.16	96.0	[60]
550	3c-ZnO-ZSM-5	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /Ar=5/95	1.09	54.0%	26.0%	0.146	2.52	[61]
550	2c-ZnO-Y	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /Ar=5/95	0.996	15.0%	83.0%	0.118	0.917	[61]
550	ZnO-S-1_3	$C_3H_8/N_2=40/60$	7.89	31.0%	87.0%	2.03	49.4	[12]
550	ZnO//ZnO-S-1_3	$C_3H_8/N_2=40/60$	1.44	37.0%	94.7%	0.480	1.73	[12]
550	6ZnO/S-1(0.5Mg)	$C_3H_8/N_2=40/60$	2.15	29.0%	90.0%	0.483	18.8	[13]
600	Znβ-10	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> =5/95	0.359	53.3%	92.9%	0.170	2.64	[62]
600	10%Zn/250HZSM-5	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> =5/95	0.538	72.9%	67.0%	0.251	3.91	[63]
600	10%Zn/80HZSM-5	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> =5/95	0.538	92.3%	12.0%	0.057	0.886	[63]
600	15Zn0.1Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$C_3H_8/H_2/N_2=28/28/44$	3.01	35.0%	94.0%	0.772	9.11	[64]
600	5%ZnO/HZSM-5(650)	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> =2.5/5/92.5	0.269	54.3%	54.7%	0.076	2.38	[65]
600	Zn1Co1/NC	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> /He=5/5/90	1.61	20.0%	92.0%	0.284	83.3	[66]
550	ZnO-TiO <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /Ar/N <sub>2</sub> =5/5/90	0.538	48.2%	96.3%	0.211	8.66	This work
550	ZnO-TiO <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> /Ar=40/60	4.30	24.2%	97.1%	0.966	39.6	This work