## **Supplementary material**

## Ammonium Formate-Engineered MA-free Perovskite Ink for Solar Cells and Optoelectronic Devices

Reza Ghayoor,<sup>a</sup> Fatemeh Ghasemi,<sup>a</sup> Leyla Shooshtari,<sup>a</sup> Fariba Tajabadi,<sup>b</sup>\* Raheleh Mohammadpour,<sup>a</sup> Nima Taghavinia <sup>a,c\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Institute for Convergence Science & Technology, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials, Materials and Energy Research Center, Karaj, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran



Fig. S1. PL spectrum for perovskite films with and without MA in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo at different concentration.



Fig. S2. UV–Vis absorption for perovskite films with and without MA in presence of  $NH_4Fo$  at different concentration.



Fig. S3. Tauc plot for perovskite films with and without MA in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo at different concentration.



Fig. S4. XRD spectra of perovskite layers with and without NH<sub>4</sub>Fo in different concentration at different days, (a) first day, (b) after 7 days and (c) after 14 days.



Fig. S5. <sup>H</sup> NMR spectra of perovskite layers with (a) MA, (b) without MA, and (c) without MA in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo 4% mol.



Fig. S6. <sup>C</sup> NMR spectra of perovskite layers with (a) MA, (b) without MA, and (c) without MA in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo 4% mol.



Fig. S7. J–V curves of the best performing PSCs based on MA and MA-free in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo at different concentration.



Fig. S8. Performance statistics of PSCs based on MA, MA-free and MA-free in presence of  $NH_4Fo$  at different concentration.



Fig. S9. HI index distribution of the PSCs based on MA and MA-free in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo at different concentration.



Fig. S10. EL spectra of the best performing PSCs based on MA and MA-free in presence of  $NH_4Fo$  at different concentration.



Fig. S11. Nyquist plots of the best performing PSCs based on MA and MA-free in presence of NH<sub>4</sub>Fo at different concentration.



Fig. S12. (a) The current and voltage amplitude vs different load resistance and (b) The generated power density vs. load resistance for FTO/Kapton CS-TENG.

Perovskite Type	Precursor	Mass	Molar	Amount (mmol)
		(mg)	Mass	
			(g/mol)	
FA0.80MA0.12C80.08Pb(I0.88Br0.12)3	PbI2	548.6	461.01	1.19
	PbBr <sub>2</sub>	57.06	367.01	0.155
	FAI	178.94	171.91	1.041
	MABr	17.41	111.97	0.155
	CsI	27.02	259.81	0.104
	Solvent			780 μL:220 μL
	(DMF:DMSO)			
FA0.92C80.08Pb(I0.92Br0.08)3	PbI2	548.6	461.01	1.19
	PbBr <sub>2</sub>	57.06	367.01	0.155
	FAI	205.59	171.91	1.196
	CsI	27.02	259.81	0.104
	Solvent			800 μL:200 μL

Table S1. Composition and molar amounts of precursors used for the preparation of perovskite solutions.

Table S2. Detailed composition of ammonium formate (AF) additive in perovskite precursor solutions with different concentrations.

Final Perovskite Composition	AF Amount (%mol)	Molar Mass of AF (g/mol)	Added AF (mg)	Sample Code
FA0.92C\$0.08Pb(I0.92Br0.08)3	0.00	63.06	0.00	AF0
	2.00	63.06	1.69	AF2
	4.00	63.06	3.38	AF4
	6.00	63.06	5.07	AF6