### **ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY**

## **INFORMATION**

# Sustainable oxidation of methane into formic acid using a polarized bioceramic under mild reaction conditions

Marc Arnau,<sup>a,b</sup> Jordi Sans,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Pau Turon<sup>c,\*</sup> and Carlos Alemán<sup>a,b,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departament d'Enginyeria Química, EEBE, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, C/ Eduard Maristany, 10-14, Ed. 12, 08019, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Barcelona Research Center in Multiscale Science and Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, C/ Eduard Maristany, 10-14, 08019, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> B. Braun Surgical, S.A.U. Carretera de Terrassa 121, 08191 Rubí (Barcelona), Spain <sup>d</sup> Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Baldiri Reixac 10-12, 08028 Barcelona Spain

\* Correspondence to: jordi.sans.mila@upc.edu, pau.turon@bbraun.com and carlos.aleman@upc.edu

#### **METHODS**

#### **Ultraporous HAp**

HAp was synthesized as follows. 15 mL of 0.5 M (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> in de-ionized water were added at a rate of 2 mL/min to 25 mL of a 0.5 M of Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution in ethanol with pH previously adjusted to 11 using NH<sub>4</sub>OH solution. The mixture was left aging for 1 h under gentle agitation (150 rpm) at room temperature. Hydrothermal treatment at 150 °C was applied using an autoclave Digestee DAB–2 for 24 h. The autoclave was allowed to cool down before opening. The precipitates were separated by centrifugation and washed with water and a 60/40 v/v mixture of ethanol/water (twice). After lyophilizing it for 3 days, a white powder was obtained. The HAp powder was extensively grinded to reduce aggregates and homogenize the grain size.

Pluronic<sup>®</sup> F-127 hydrogel was prepared by mixing 25 g of distilled water and 25 g of Pluronic<sup>®</sup> F-127 polymer using a FlackTek SpeedMixer at 3500 rpm for 5 minutes. After that, 50 g of Pluronic<sup>®</sup> polymer were added and vigorously stirred using the same conditions. The resultant hydrogel was stored at 4 °C.

Ultraporous HAp was obtained by slowly adding 60% wt. of previously prepared Pluronic® F-127 hydrogel to grinded HAp powder in a cold room at 4 °C. In order to achieve a homogeneous mixture, such addition process was periodically interrupted for stirring at 2500 rpm for a 2 min minutes using a Fisherbrand<sup>TM</sup> Digital Vortex Mixer. The resulting white paste was left aging at 4 °C for 24 h to ensure the homogeneous distribution of the hydrogel. The resulting ink was modeled using a cold spatula (< 4 °C) to obtain 3D ultraporous HAp scaffolds with the desired shape. Finally, the mixture was calcined at 1000 °C using a muffle Carbolite ELF11/6B/301 for 2 h. In this step, the Pluronic® F-127 hydrogel was completely removed from the modeled structure.

#### p-HAp catalyst

Calcined porous structures were catalytically activated by placing the samples between two stainless steel plates (AISI 304), which acted as electrodes. The ultraporous HAp sample was left in contact with negative electrode, while the positive electrode was separated 4 cm from the calcined sample. A constant DC voltage of 500 V was applied for 1 h with a GAMMA power supply, while the temperature was kept at 1000 °C. Samples were allowed to cool down maintaining the applied electric potential for 30 minutes, and finally, all the system was powered off and left to cool down overnight.



Figure S1. SEM micrograph of HAp.



Figure S2. BET isotherm recorded at 300 °C for catalytically active p-HAp.



**Figure S3.** (a) Nyquist plots obtained from EIS measurements on p-HAp and calcined HAp. (b) Electric Equivalent Circuit (EEC) developed for calcined HAp (black box) and p-HAp (red box) to explain the electrical and capacitive properties of catalytically active p-HAp.

Element	р-НАр	Calcined HAp
$R_b (M\Omega/cm^2)$	175.2	1109.1
(error, %)	(2.5)	(2.0)
CPE $Y_b (nS \times s^n/cm^2)$	-	39.3
(error, %)		(3.4)
n <sub>b</sub>	-	0.92
(error, %)		(0.3)
$CPE  Y_{\gamma}  (nS {\times} s^n \!/\! cm^2)$	12.1	-
(error, %)	(8.4)	
$n_{\gamma}$	0.6	-
(error, %)	(1.5)	
C <sub>b</sub> (pF/cm <sup>2</sup> )	19.7	-
(error, %)	(2.1)	

**Table S1.** Data derived from experimental EIS recorded for p-HAp and sintered HAp,considering the EEC models displayed in Figure S2b.



Figure S4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the supernatant for the reactions performed without and with UV irradiation. The reactions catalyzed by p-HAp were conducted for 24 h using  $CH_4$  (4 bar) and  $H_2O$  (20 mL) at 120 °C.



**Figure S5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the solutions obtained by dissolving the catalysts after the reaction (a) without and with UV irradiation. Complete spectra are shown in the inset at the top. The reactions were conducted for 24 h using  $CH_4$  (4 bar) and  $H_2O$  (20 mL) at 120 °C using p-HAp as catalyst.



**Figure S6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the water after blank reactions with non-polarized HAp as catalyst: (a) without and (b) with UV irradiation. Complete spectra are shown in the inset at the top. The reactions were conducted for 24 h using  $CH_4$  (4 bar) and  $H_2O$  (20 mL) at 120 °C (without catalyst in both cases).



**Figure S7.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the water after blank reactions without catalyst: (a) without and (b) with UV irradiation. Complete spectra are shown in the inset at the top. The reactions were conducted for 24 h using  $CH_4$  (4 bar) and  $H_2O$  (20 mL) at 120 °C (without catalyst in both cases).

**Table S2.** Yields (in  $\mu$ mol/g<sub>c</sub>) of formic acid and methanol in the supernatant and the catalyst for the oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> catalysed by p-HAp without and with UV irradiation The reaction was performed at 120 °C for 24 h starting from 4 bar of CH<sub>4</sub> and 20 mL of de-ionized water in contact with the surface of the catalyst. The yields in the supernatant were corrected by subtracting the area of the formic acid and methanol peaks obtained in the corresponding blank reaction (Figure S4).

Reaction	Phase	Formic acid	Methanol
Without UV	Supernatant	$131.3 \pm 7.1$	-
	Catalyst	5.6 ± 1.5	-
With UV	Supernatant	$47.8\pm7.9$	$27.9\pm3.2$
	Catalyst	$4.1\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.1$



**Figure S8.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the supernatant after the reaction with UV irradiation. Complete spectrum is shown in the inset at the top. The reaction was conducted for 48 h using  $CH_4$  (4 bar) and  $H_2O$  (20 mL) at 120 °C using p-HAp as catalyst.



**Figure S9.** Scheme displaying the reaction pathways calculated considering the (a) (101) and (b) (121) crystallographic planes of p-HAp (see Figure 5b-c).



**Figure S10.** C 1s high resolution XPS spectra for the p-HAp catalyst before and after batch reaction at 120 °C for 24 h with 4 bar of  $CH_4$ . The carbon-containing species detected after the reaction were attributed to adsorbed carboxylic groups from formic/acetic acid: C–H: 284.6 Ev and O–C=O: 288.6 Ev.



**Figure S11.** Set up used for the continuous-flow process. Photograph of the reactor, the connections to the inlet and outlet valves, the bubbler, and the cold trap.

Catalyst	Reaction conditions	Yield	Ref.		
Batch reactions					
p-HAp	4 bar $CH_4$ flow + 20 mL H <sub>2</sub> O, 120	136 $\mu$ mol/g <sub>c</sub> ·	This work		
	°C without UV illumination				
p-HAp	4 bar $CH_4$ flow + 20 mL H <sub>2</sub> O, 120	55.7 $\mu$ mol/g <sub>c</sub> ·	This work		
	°C with UV illumination				
GaN	0.3 bar $CH_4 + 0.7$ bar $O_2 + 1$ mL	20.01 $\mu$ mol/g <sub>c</sub> ·	11		
	H <sub>2</sub> O; 25 °C with UV illumination				
ZIF-8	30 bar CH4 + 0.5 mol/L $H_2O_2$	110 μmol/g <sub>c</sub>	16		
	(oxidant); 50 °C, 30 min				
Fe-ZIF-8-	30 bar CH4 + 0.5 mol/L $H_2O_2$	1086 µmol/g <sub>c</sub>	16		
0.28	(oxidant); 50 °C, 30 min				
Continuous reactions					
p-HAp	Constant CH <sub>4</sub> flow of 1.84 mmol/s	70 µmol/g <sub>c</sub> ∙min	This work		
	(gas bubbled in liquid water), 120				
	°C without UV illumination,				
CsPW-TiO <sub>2</sub>	6 bar CH4 + 1 MPa air + 100 mL	18 µmol/g <sub>c</sub> ∙min	9		
	H <sub>2</sub> O; 20° C with UV illumination				
CsPW-TiO <sup>2</sup>	6 bar $CH_4 + 1$ bar air $+ 100 \text{ mL}$	$42\mu mol/g_c \cdot min$	9		
$+ Ru/Al_2O_3$	H <sub>2</sub> O; 20° C with UV illumination				

**Table S3.** Comparison of batch and continuous methane oxidation reactions to produce formic acid. The catalyst, reaction conditions and yield of formic acid are displayed for each case.