

## Retina Microrheology via Oscillatory Atomic Force Microscopy

Connor D Amelung,<sup>a</sup> Colter E Oroke,<sup>a</sup> Lucas Ramirez,<sup>a</sup> Sharon Gerecht<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA

\*Corresponding author, sharon.gerecht@duke.edu

**Supplemental Table 1.** E' changing as a function of smoothing function window size and radius. No change above 5% was demonstrated, when compared to no filter, indicating the smoothing function did not significantly alter phase. A width of 3 and radius of 150 was used for all data in the main manuscript.

Frequency	1	2.2	4.5	10	22	45	100
No Smoothing	1005.248	1013.655	1071.358	1286.363	1213.967	1297.301	1178.507
Width3_Radius150	1005.364	970.0152	1071.33	1286.373	1213.992	1297.151	1178.358
Width5_Radius150	1005.503	970.1636	1071.218	1286.434	1213.975	1297.118	1178.396
Width3_Radius50	1005.364	970.0152	1071.33	1286.373	1213.992	1297.151	1178.358
Width3_Radius100	1005.364	970.0152	1071.33	1286.373	1213.992	1297.151	1178.358
Width3_Radius200	1005.364	970.0152	1071.33	1286.373	1213.992	1297.151	1178.358

**Supplemental Table 2.** E'' changing as a function of smoothing function window size and radius. No change above 7% was demonstrated, when compared to no filter, indicating the smoothing function did not significantly alter phase. A width of 3 and radius of 150 was used for all data in the main manuscript.

Frequency	1	2.2	4.5	10	22	45	100
No Smoothing	455.0994	336.8234	186.9805	277.6677	405.0565	743.8524	1248.449
Width3_Radius150	455.4686	312.7866	186.984	277.6758	405.1203	743.0369	1248.349
Width5_Radius150	456.3176	312.7816	186.9805	277.7536	405.1299	742.9732	1248.402
Width3_Radius50	455.4686	312.7866	186.984	277.6758	405.1203	743.0369	1248.349
Width3_Radius100	455.4686	312.7866	186.984	277.6758	405.1203	743.0369	1248.349
Width3_Radius200	455.4686	312.7866	186.984	277.6758	405.1203	743.0369	1248.349