Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

A coumarin-derived multi-faceted optical material with molecular logic gate

for bioimaging

Amit K. Singh^a, Pranjalee Yadav^a, Aayoosh Singh^a, Avanish K. Singh^a, Shashi K. Sharma^b, Vijay

K. Sonkar^b, Vinod P. Singh^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India.

^b Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India

	Experimental	S3-S8
Fig. S1	IR spectrum of HCFH.	S8
Fig. S2	¹ H NMR spectrum of HCFH .	S9
Fig. S3	¹³ C NMR spectrum of HCFH .	S9
Fig. S4	Mass spectrum of HCFH.	S10
Fig. S5	(a) Hirshfeld surface analysis mapped over shape index, de, curvedness	S10
	and fragment patch; (b) 2D fingerprint plot of HCFH displaying	
	percentage of C-H, C-C, N-H, O-H, H-H, C-N O-O, C-O and N-O	
	interactions	
Fig. S6	Absorbance spectra of HCFH (20 μ M) at varying THF-H ₂ O fraction.	S11
Fig. S7	SEM images demonstrating aggregation with increasing water fraction:	S12
	(a) f_w =40% and (b) 80%. (Scale 1 µm).	
Fig. S8	(a) Particle size of HCFH (fw=40%) measured by DLS in THF (b)	S13
	Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for HCFH (fw=40%)	
	in THF (c) Particle size of HCFH (fw=80%) measured by DLS in THF	
	(d) Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for HCFH	
	(fw=80%) in THF.	
Fig. S9	Fluorescence lifetime spectra of HCFH (20 µM) at different water	S14
	fractions (f_w) (a) 40%, (b) 80%, and (c) 99.99% in THF.	
Fig. S10	Absorption spectra of HCFH (20 µM) at varying ethanol-glycerol	S15
	fraction.	
Fig. S11	Absorption spectra of HCFH (20 μ M) in the presence of various metal	S15
	ions (1 equiv.) in H_2O at pH 7.4, HEPES buffer solution.	
Fig. S12	Absorption titration spectra of HCFH (20 μ M) in H ₂ O (pH 7.4) HEPES	S16
	buffer solution (a) in the presence of increasing Zn^{2+} concentration (0-2)	
	equiv.); (b) in the presence of increasing Cu^{2+} concentration (0-2)	
	equiv.).	

Table of Contents

Fig. S13	(a) Fluorescence spectra and (b) Absorption spectra of HCFH after	S17
	addition of Zn^{2+} with different counter anions in H ₂ O (pH 7.4) HEPES	
	buffer solution. (c) Fluorescence spectra and (d) Absorption spectra of	
	HCFH after addition of Cu^{2+} with different counter anions in H ₂ O (pH	
	7.4) HEPES buffer solution.	
Fig. S14	(a) Surface morphology of HCFH, (b) Surface morphology of HCFH	S18
	after the interaction with Zn^{2+} and (c) Surface morphology of HCFH	
	after the interaction with Cu^{2+} (Scale 1µm).	
Fig. S15	(a) Particle size of HCFH (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water (b)	S19
8	Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for HCFH (20 μ M)	
	measured with DLS in water (c) Particle size of HCFH + Zn^{2+} (20 μ M)	
	measured with DLS in water (d) Correlation coefficient vs time profile	
	diagram for HCFH + Zn^{2+} (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water.	
Είσ S16	Limit of detection (LOD = $3\sigma/\text{Slope}$) curve plot the change in	S20
119.010	fluorescence intensity at 496 nm of HCFH (20 uM) as a function of (a)	520
	Zn^{2+} concentration and (b) Cu^{2+} concentration.	
Fig. S17	Benesi-Hildebrand plot of HCFH for determination of binding	S20
	constant with (a) Zn^{2+} and (b) Cu^{2+} . R^2 denotes Goodness of fit. (λ em	
	$= 496 \text{ nm}, \lambda \text{ex} = 400 \text{ nm}).$	
Fig. S18	(a) Job's plot for determination of binding stoichiometry of HCFH-	S21
	Zn^{2+} and (b) binding stoichiometry of HCFH-Cu ²⁺ .	
Fig. S19	¹ H NMR titration of HCFH after addition of Zn ²⁺ (0-1 equiv.) in	S21
	DMSO–d ₆ .	
Fig. S20	IR spectrum of \mathbf{HCFH} - \mathbf{Zn}^{2+} complex.	S22
Fig. S21	IR spectrum of HCFH -Cu ²⁺ complex.	S22
Fig. S22	Mass spectrum of HCFH-Zn ²⁺ complex.	S23
Fig. S23	Mass spectrum of HCFH- Cu ²⁺ complex.	S23
Fig. S24	Crystal packing structure of HCFH-Zn ²⁺ complex and its molecular	S24
	assembly.	
Fig. S25	Crystal packing structure of HCFH-Cu ²⁺ complex and its molecular	S24
	assembly.	
Fig. S26	Effect of pH variation on the fluorescence intensities of HCFH (20	S25
	μ M) in H ₂ O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution, and after addition of Zn ²⁺	
	and Cu^{2+} (20 µM), ($\lambda_{em} = 496$ nm, $\lambda_{ex} = 400$ nm).	
Fig. S27	Fluorescence intensity variation of HCFH (20 μ M) in the presence of	S25
	Zn^{2+} (20 µM) with addition of different metal ions (20 µM) in H ₂ O pH	
E: 030	/.4, HEPES butter solution.	636
F1g. 528	Fluorescence intensity variation of HCFH (20 μ M) in the presence of	826
	Cu^{2+} (20 µM) with addition of different metal ions (20 µM) in H ₂ O, pH	
E :- 620	/.4, HEPES buffer solution. ($\lambda_{em} = 496 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{ex} = 400 \text{ nm}$).	636
Fig. 829	(a) Fluorescence intensity of HCFH (20 μ M) upon subsequent	520
	addition Cu ²⁺ and EDTA, (b) Reversible performance of HCFH up to four evalues (at 406 nm)	
Fig. 520	MTT access all 490 mm).	527
FI2. 530	INITI assay plot showing incament of mela cells with various	341

	concentration of HCFH (10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 µM) for 12 h.	
Table S1	Crystallographic data for HCFH, HCFH-Zn ²⁺ and HCFH-Cu ²⁺ .	S28
Table S2	Bond Lengths for HCFH .	S29
Table S3	Bond Angles for HCFH.	S29
Table S4	Fluorescence decay parameters and quantum yields of HCFH in THF- H_2O mixtures at different fraction of H_2O .	S30
Table S5	Fluorescence decay parameters and quantum yields of HCFH before and after treatment with Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} in H ₂ O (pH 7.4), HEPES buffer solution.	S30
Table S6	Major Bond Lengths and Bond Angle for HCFH-Zn ²⁺ .	S31
Table S7	Major Bond Lengths and Bond Angle for HCFH- Cu ²⁺ .	S31
Table S8	Comparison of HCFH with other previously reported sensors.	S32
	References	S32-33

Experimental

Reagents

All the chemicals and reagents were purchased by commercial sources and utilized without further purification. The solvents and metal salts were purchased from Merck Chemicals, India. Furan-2-carbohydrazide, ethyl 3-oxobutanoate, piperidine and 2, 4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals, USA. All the investigations were carried out using Millipore water. One of the reactants 3-acetyl-7-hydroxy-2H-chromen-2-one was synthesized by a reported procedure.¹

Physico-chemical measurements

KBr pellets were used to record FT-IR spectra in 4000-400 cm⁻¹ region on a FT-IR 4700 JASCO spectrophotometer. The JEOL Resonance Inc. multinuclear FT NMR spectrometer (Model-ECZ 500R) was used to obtain ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra in DMSO-d₆. The chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) with respect to an internal standard of tetramethylsilane (TMS). ESI-mass spectra were recorded on an HRMS SCIEX X-500R QTOF spectrometer. The Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer was used to record all the UV-Visible spectra.

Fluorescence spectra were obtained using a HORIBA FL3C-21_1959C-2118-FL fluorescence spectrophotometer. The LMPH-10 pH meter was used to monitor and adjust the pH of various solutions. The EVO-(Scanning Electron Microscope) MA15 / 18 was used to capture the SEM images. DLS measurements were conducted on a Zetasizer Ultra (ZSU5700) Malvern Panalytical (UK) Particle Size Analyzer using 633 nm laser. A Bruker D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer equipped with Cu Kα radiation with a Lyne Eye detector was used for the powder X-ray diffraction experiments. XtaLAB S5 Synergy-I was used to acquire single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. Solid state fluorescence was recorded on Fluorolog FL-3C-21 UV-Vis-NIR-Spectrofluorometer with an integrated sphere (Steady-state). Thermal property was analyzed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) on a Mettler Toledo Model-822e instrument in a nitrogen environment at the heating rate of 10 °C/min.

General procedures

The stock solutions of **HCFH** (1×10^{-2} M) and metal salts were prepared in DMSO and Millipore water, respectively. For various sensing experiments, a 20 µM solution of **HCFH** was prepared in H₂O (pH 7.4, HEPES buffer solution) by further diluting the stock solution. Absorption and emission titration experiments were conducted using the **HCFH** solution at a concentration of 1×10^{-2} M with increasing concentration of metal ion solutions (1×10^{-3} M). All the titration studies were carried out at room temperature. Nitrate salts were used for different cations, while acetate and chloride salts of Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ were employed to evaluate the effect of counter anions. For fluorescence studies, an excitation wavelength of 400 nm and a slit width of 2 nm were optimized.

Computational details

Theoretical investigation for HCFH, HCFH-Zn²⁺, and HCFH-Cu²⁺ complexes were carried out using Gaussian 09 software with the 6-311G (d, p) basis set and the RB3LYP method. The minima of the potential energy of the DFT optimized structures were confirmed to validate the results.²

X-ray crystallography

The Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-I diffractometer with CrysAlisPro was used to conduct the single crystal X-ray diffraction studies with a graphite monochromated Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) radiation sources were used to get the single crystal X-ray diffraction data at 293 K and 100 K. The structure was solved using the SHELXL-97 program and was refined by full-matrix least-squares on F² with anisotropic displacement parameters applied to all non-hydrogen atoms.³ Hydrogen atoms were refined with use of a riding model, placing them in geometrically idealized positions. Structural representations were obtained using MERCURY software and the ORTEP-3 tool for Windows.⁴

Cell culture

HeLa, cervical carcinoma cells were cultured in either T-flasks or 6- or 96-well plates, depending on the experimental design. The cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing L-glutamine supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin to ensure optimal growth conditions. Cultures were incubated at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator, providing a controlled environment of 95% humidified air and 5% CO₂.

Cytotoxicity assay

A total of 5,000 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate and incubated in a CO₂ incubator for 24 h to allow cell attachment and growth. To assess the cytotoxicity of the **HCFH** probe, cells were exposed to varying concentrations of **HCFH** (10 to 150 μ M) and incubated for 12 h in CO₂ incubator. Cell viability was evaluated using the MTT assay (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide), as previously described.

Bio-imaging and probe localization study

To assess the fluorescence properties of the **HCFH** probe, 5,000 HeLa cells were seeded onto coverslips placed into a six-well plate and incubated for 24 h. The cells were then treated with the **HCFH** probe (20 μ M) alone, or in combination with Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ for 1 h. After treatment, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min, and counterstained with 10 μ M DAPI for 5 min. The samples were then mounted on slides using the DABCO anti-fading agent. For the localization study of the **HCFH** probe, cells were grown and treated as described above. After 24 h incubation, cells were exposed to the **HCFH** probe (20 μ M) and also incubate with 100 nM MitoTracker CMXRos simultaneously for 1 h at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. After treatment, cells were washed, fixed, permeabilized and counterstained with DAPI. The slides were mounted for visualization using a confocal fluorescence microscope (ZEISS Imager.Z2m).

Fluorescence quantum yield measurements

Quantum yield was calculated by using the following equation:

$$Q = Q_r \left(\frac{I}{I_r}\right) \left(\frac{OD_r}{OD}\right) \left(\frac{n^2}{n_r^2}\right) \qquad \dots (1)$$

Where Q is the fluorescence quantum yield, I is the integrated fluorescence intensity, n is the refractive index of liquid, and OD is the optical density (absorption). The subscript r is used to represent the known quantum yield of reference quinine sulphate, which is 0.54 in 0.1 M H₂SO₄.⁵

Fluorescence decay measurements

Time-resolved fluorescence spectra were recorded to explore AIE and sensing properties at the concentrations of 20 μ M, respectively.

Dynamic parameters are determined from the following equation:

$$y = A_1 * \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2 * \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\tau_2}\right) + y_0$$

Weighted mean lifetime $\langle \tau \rangle$ was calculated by using the following equation: $\langle \tau \rangle = (A_1\tau_1 + A_2\tau_2)/(A_1 + A_2)$... (3)

Where, A_1/A_2 and τ_1/τ_2 are the fractions or amplitudes (A) and lifetimes (τ), respectively. The radiative rate constant (K_r) and non-radiative rate constant (K_{nr}) are calculated from the

following equations:6

$$<\tau^{-1}> = (K_r + K_{nr})$$
 ... (4)

$$K_r = \frac{\Phi}{\langle \tau \rangle} \tag{5}$$

Method of calculation for detection limit (LOD)

Using fluorescence titration data, the limit of detection for HCFH was calculated by the IUPAC definition, which was based on a plot of emission intensity vs increasing Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} concentration. To calculate the S/N ratio, we repeated our observations eight times, each time measuring the emission intensity of HCFH without Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} and calculating the standard deviation of blank data. The slope was calculated by plotting fluorescence intensity data at 496 nm against Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} concentration. The following equation is used to establish the detection limit:

Limit of Detection (LOD) =
$$\frac{3SD}{Slope(m)}$$
 ... (6)

In this equation, m represents the slope of intensity vs sample concentration, and SD is the standard deviation of blank measurements.⁷

Method of calculation for association constant

The binding ratio of HCFH to metal ions was calculated using Job's plot and the binding constants (K_a) of HCFH for Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} were obtained using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation.⁸

$$\frac{I_0}{I - I_0} = \frac{a}{b - a} \left(\frac{1}{K_a [Metal]} + 1 \right) ...$$
(7)

In this equation, I and I_0 are the intensities of HCFH fluorescence at 496 nm in the presence and absence of Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} , respectively; a and b are constants; and [Metal] is the concentration of Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} .







Fig. S2 ¹H NMR spectrum of HCFH.







Fig. S4 Mass spectrum of HCFH.



Fig. S5 (a) Hirshfeld surface analysis mapped over shape index, de, curvedness and fragment patch; **(b)** 2D fingerprint plot of **HCFH** displaying percentage of C-H, C-C, N-H, O-H, H-H, C-N O-O, C-O and N-O interactions.



Fig. S6 Absorption spectra of HCFH (20 µM) at varying THF-H₂O fractions.



Fig. S7 SEM images demonstrating aggregation with increasing water fraction: (a) f_w =40% and (b) 80%. (Scale 1 µm).



Fig. S8 (a) Particle size of **HCFH** (f_w =40%) measured by DLS in THF (**b**) Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for **HCFH** (f_w =40%) in THF (**c**) Particle size of **HCFH** (f_w =80%) measured by DLS in THF (**d**) Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for **HCFH** (f_w =80%) in THF.



Fig. S9 Fluorescence lifetime spectra of HCFH (20 μ M) at different water fractions (f_w) (a) 40%, (b) 80%, and (c) 99.99% in THF.



Fig. S10 Absorption spectra of HCFH (20 µM) at varying ethanol–glycerol fractions.



Fig. S11 Absorption spectra of HCFH (20 μ M) in the presence of various metal ions (1 equiv.) in H₂O at pH 7.4, HEPES buffer solution.



Fig. S12 Absorption titration spectra of **HCFH** (20 μ M) in H₂O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution (a) in the presence of increasing Zn²⁺ concentration (0-2 equiv.); (b) in the presence of increasing Cu²⁺ concentration (0-2 equiv.).



Fig. S13 (a) Fluorescence spectra and (b) Absorption spectra of HCFH after addition of Zn^{2+} with different counter anions in H₂O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution (c) Fluorescence spectra and (d) Absorption spectra of HCFH after addition of Cu²⁺ with different counter anions in H₂O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution.



Fig. S14 (a) Surface morphology of HCFH, (b) Surface morphology of HCFH after the interaction with Zn^{2+} and (c) Surface morphology of HCFH after the interaction with Cu^{2+} (Scale $1\mu m$).



Fig. S15 (a) Particle size of HCFH (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water (b) Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for HCFH (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water (c) Particle size of HCFH + Zn²⁺ (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water (d) Correlation coefficient vs time profile diagram for HCFH + Zn²⁺ (20 μ M) measured with DLS in water.



Fig. S16 Limit of detection (LOD = 3σ /Slope) curve plot, the change in fluorescence intensity at 496 nm of **HCFH** (20 μ M) as a function of (a) Zn²⁺ concentration and (b) Cu²⁺ concentration.



Fig. S17 Benesi-Hildebrand plot of **HCFH** for determination of binding constant with (a) Zn^{2+} and (b) Cu^{2+} . (R² denotes Goodness of fit). ($\lambda em = 496 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda ex = 400 \text{ nm}$).



Fig. S18 (a) Job's plot for determination of binding stoichiometry of HCFH- Zn^{2+} and (b) binding stoichiometry of HCFH- Cu^{2+} .



Fig. S19 ¹H NMR titration of HCFH after addition of Zn^{2+} (0-1 equiv.) in DMSO-d₆.

PerkinElmer Spectrum Version 10.4.3 Thursday, August 29, 2024 11:46 AM



Fig. S20 IR spectrum of HCFH-Zn²⁺ complex.



Fig. S21 IR spectrum of HCFH-Cu²⁺ complex.



Fig. S22 Mass spectrum of HCFH-Zn²⁺ complex.



Fig. S23 Mass spectrum of HCFH-Cu²⁺ complex



Fig. S24 Crystal packing structure of HCFH- Zn^{2+} complex and its molecular assembly.



Fig. S25 Crystal packing structure of HCFH-Cu²⁺ complex and its molecular assembly.



Fig. S26 Effect of pH variation on the fluorescence intensities of **HCFH** (20 μ M) in H₂O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution, and after addition of Zn²⁺ and Cu²⁺ (20 μ M), ($\lambda_{em} = 496$ nm, $\lambda_{ex} = 400$ nm).



Fig. S27 Fluorescence intensity variation of HCFH (20 μ M) in the presence of Zn²⁺(20 μ M) with addition of different metal ions (20 μ M) in H₂O (pH 7.4, HEPES buffer solution), (λ_{em} = 496 nm, λ_{ex} = 400 nm).



Fig. S28 Fluorescence intensity variation of **HCFH** (20 μ M) in the presence of Cu²⁺ (20 μ M) with addition of different metal ions (20 μ M) in H₂O (pH 7.4, HEPES buffer solution). ($\lambda_{em} = 496$ nm, $\lambda_{ex} = 400$ nm).



Fig. S29 (a) Fluorescence intensity of **HCFH** (20 μ M) upon subsequent addition Cu²⁺ and EDTA, **(b)** Reversible performance of **HCFH** up to four cycles (at 496 nm).



Fig. 30 MTT assay plot showing treatment of HeLa cells with various concentration of HCFH (10, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 150 μ M) for 12 h.

Parameters	HCFH	HCFH-Zn ²⁺	HCFH-Cu ²⁺
CCDC	2289442	2343626	2328887
Empirical formula	$C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_5$	C ₁₈ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₆ SZn _{0.5}	C ₁₆ H ₁₅ CuN ₃ O ₁₀
Formula weight	312.28	423.09	493.01
Temperature/K	293	100.15	293
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	P2/c	C2/c
a/Å	8.23546(5)	12.1405(7)	27.1875(9)
b/Å	23.11379(13)	8.8776(5)	6.49900(10)
c/Å	7.69661(5)	16.5239(12)	24.9525(6)
α/°	90	90	90
β/°	101.9965(6)	101.958(7)	112.195(4)
γ/°	90	90	90
Volume/Å ³	1433.074(15)	1742.27(19)	4082.2(2)
Z	4	4	8
$\rho_{calc} g/cm^3$	1.447	1.613	1.604
μ/mm ⁻¹	0.925	2.736	2.056
F(000)	648.0	876.0	2088.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.23 imes 0.13 imes 0.1	$0.13 \times 0.11 \times 0.09$	$0.25 \times 0.2 \times 0.17$
Radiation	Cu Ka (λ = 1.54184)	Cu Ka (λ = 1.54184)	Cu Ka (λ = 1.54184)
20 range for data collection/°	7.65 to 143.948	10.946 to 143.94	7.024 to 144.538
Index ranges	$-10 \le h \le 10, -28 \le k \le 28, -9 \le 1 \le 8$	$-14 \le h \le 14, -10 \le k \le 10, -19 \le 1 \le 19$	$-33 \le h \le 33, -7 \le k$ $\le 5, -30 \le l \le 30$
Reflections collected	47078	15791	15546
Independent reflections	$2820 [R_{int} = 0.0237, R_{sigma} = 0.0084]$	$3302 [R_{int} = 0.1038, R_{sigma} = 0.0935]$	$3921 [R_{int} = 0.0667, R_{sigma} = 0.0498]$
Data/restraints/parameters	2820/0/216	3302/0/215	3921/0/277
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.099	1.060	1.115
Final R indexes [I>=2 σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0349, wR2 = 0.1014	R1 = 0.1151, wR2 = 0.2613	R1 = 0.0801, wR2 = 0.2073
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0365, wR2 = 0.1027	R1 = 0.1595, wR2 = 0.2877	R1 = 0.0876, wR2 = 0.2134
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.17/-0.17	0.73/-0.46	1.27/-0.93

Table S1 Crystallographic data for HCFH, HCFH-Zn²⁺ and HCFH-Cu²⁺

^{*a*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |Fc||\Sigma|F_o|$. ^{*b*} $R_2 = [\Sigma w(|F^2_o| - |F^2_c|)^2 / \Sigma w|F^2_o|^2]^{1/2}$

Bonds	Length/Å	Bonds	Length/Å
O4-C15	1.3750(14)	C10-O11	1.4013(17)
O4-C16	1.3739(15)	C8-C9	1.3453(18)
O1-C4	1.3618(16)	C8-C16	1.4564(18)
O1-C1	1.3630(16)	C8-C7	1.4870(16)
O5-C13	1.3531(14)	C14-C13	1.3826(17)
O2-C5	1.2196(16)	C11-C12	1.3692(18)
O3-C16	1.2038(16)	C13-C12	1.3946(18)
N2-N1	1.3799(14)	C5-C4	1.4611(17)
N2-C7	1.2771(16)	C4-C3	1.3386(19)
N1-C5	1.3494(17)	C7-C6	1.4967(19)
C15-C10	1.3943(16)	C3-C2	1.417(2)
C15-C14	1.3796(16)	C2-C1	1.325(2)
C10-C9	1.4281(16)		

 Table S2 Bond lengths for HCFH

Table S3 Bond angles for HCFH

Bonds	Angle/°	Bonds	Angle/°
O1-C1-C2	110.47(13)	O5-C13-C14	121.88(11)
C1-C2-C3	106.82(13)	C12-C11-C10	121.20(11)
C2-C3-C4	106.45(14)	C15-C14-C13	118.29(11)
C6-C7-C8	120.60(12)	C8-C9-C10	121.98(11)
C6-C7-N2	125.04(12)	C16-C8-C7	117.45(11)
C8-C7-N2	114.35(11)	C9-C8-C7	122.74(11)
C11-C12-C13	120.04(12)	C9-C8-C16	119.67(11)
C3-C4-C5	131.00(13)	C11-C10-C9	125.24(11)
C3-C4-O1	110.04(12)	C15-C10-C11	117.00(11)
O1-C4-C5	118.92(11)	C15-C10-C9	117.76(11)
O3-C16-C8	126.44(12)	C14-C15-C10	122.93(11)
O3-C16-O4	116.08(12)	O4-C15-C14	116.69(10)
O4-C16-C8	117.46(10)	O4-C15-C10	120.38(10)
N1-C5-C4	115.15(11)	C5-N1-N2	119.00(10)
O2-C5-C4	120.72(12)	C7-N2-N1	116.33(10)
O2-C5-N1	124.10(12)	C4-O1-C1	106.22(11)
C12-C13-C14	120.50(11)	C16-O4-C15	122.71(9)
C12-C13-O5	117.62(11)		

$f_{ m w}$	А	τ (ns)	< 7> (ns)	φ	K _r (-s)	K _{nr} (-s)
f _w =40%	0.098(A ₁)	$2.411(\tau_1)$	0.627	2.16 x 10 ⁻³	3.44 x 10 ⁶	1.58 x10 ⁸
	0.855(A ₂)	$0.421(\tau_2)$				
f _w =80%	0.249(A ₁)	$2.173(\tau_1)$	1.250	4.18 x 10 ⁻³	3.34 x 10 ⁶	7.99 x 10 ⁸
	0.602(A ₂)	$0.869(\tau_2)$				
f _w =99.99%	0.050(A ₁)	$2.411(\tau_1)$	0.780	2.01 x 10 ⁻³	2.57 x 10 ⁶	1.28 x 10 ⁸
	0.805(A ₂)	$0.421(\tau_2)$				

Table S4 Fluorescence decay parameters and quantum yields of **HCFH** in THF-H₂O (pH 7.4 HEPES buffer solution) mixtures at different fraction of water.

Table S5 Fluorescence decay parameters and quantum yields of **HCFH** before and after treatment with Zn^{2+}/Cu^{2+} in H₂O (pH 7.4) HEPES buffer solution.

Sample	Α	τ (ns)	<\tau>(ns)	ф	K _r (-s)	K _{nr} (-s)
HCFH	0.047(A ₁)	$4.233(\tau_1)$	0.768	2.21 x 10 ⁻³	2.87 x 10 ⁶	1.29 x 10 ⁸
	0.857(A ₂)	$0.576(\tau_2)$				
HCFH-Zn ²⁺	0.440(A ₁)	1.174(τ ₁)	2.011	6.19 x 10 ⁻³	3.07 x 10 ⁶	0.49 x 10 ⁸
	0.403(A ₂)	2.923(t ₂)				
HCFH-Cu ²⁺	0.841(A ₁)	$0.385(\tau_1)$	0.584	0.46 x 10 ⁻³	0.78 x 10 ⁶	1.71 x 10 ⁸
	0.104(A ₂)	$2.195(\tau_1)$				

Bonds	Length/Å	Bonds	Angle/°
Zn-O2	2.049(5)	O2-Zn- O2 ¹	103.2(3)
Zn-O2 ¹	2.049(5)	O2-Zn-O3	159.0(2)
Zn-O3	2.132(6)	O2- Zn-O3 ¹	89.5(2)
Zn-O3 ¹	2.132(6)	O2 ¹ -Zn-O3	89.5(2)
Zn-N2	2.112(6)	O2 ¹ -Zn-O3 ¹	159.0(2)
Zn-N2 ¹	2.112(6)	O2 ¹ -Zn-N2	98.6(2)
O2-C5	1.255(9)	O2-Zn- N2 ¹	98.6(2)
O3-C16	1.999(9)	O2 ¹ -Zn-N2 ¹	78.1(2)
N2-C7	1.297(10)	O2-Zn-N2	78.1(2)
N1-N2	1.399(8)	O3-Zn-O3 ¹	83.7(3)
N1-C5	1.313(9)	N2 ¹ -Zn-O3 ¹	83.6(2)
C6-C7	1.512(10)	N2-Zn-O3	83.6(2)
C7-C8	1.470(11)	N2 ¹ -Zn-O3	100.3(2)
C8-C16	1.470(11)	N2-Zn-O3 ¹	100.3(2)
O4-C16	1.395(10)	N2-Zn-N2	174.8(3)

 Table S6 Major bond lengths and bond angle for HCFH-Zn²⁺.

 Table S7 Major bond lengths and bond angle for HCFH-Cu²⁺.

Bonds	Length/Å	Bonds	Angle/°
Cu-O2	1.918(3)	O2-Cu-O3	173.35(13)
Cu-O3	1.927(3)	O2-Cu-O6	93.41(13)
Cu-O6	1.948(3)	O2-Cu-N2	83.39(13)
Cu-N2	1.939(3)	O3-Cu-O6	90.22(12)
Cu-O7	2.480(4)	O3-Cu-N2	92.36(13)
O2-C5	1.306(5)	N2-Cu-O6	172.77(14)
O3-C16	1.243(5)	O2-Cu-O7	88.8(1)
N2-C7	1.278(5)	O3-Cu-O7	97(1)
N1-N2	1.405(5)	N2-Cu-O7	100.2(1)
N1-C5	1.306(5)	O6-Cu-O7	86.15(11)

s	Photophysical properties				Sensing		Biological		
N.	AIE	Viscoch romism	Piezoch romism	Sensing media	Analyte	Detection limit (M)	applications	Miscellaneous	Ref.
1	~	~	~	H ₂ O	Zn ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺	Zn ²⁺ : 1.14×10^{-9} Cu ²⁺ : 1.54×10^{-9}	Live cell imaging, Mitotracking	Reversibility, Logic gates, Paper strip,	This work
2	×	×	×	CH ₃ CN:DMS O (9:1, v/v)	Zn ²⁺	$Zn^{2+}: 1.79 \times 10^{-6}$	Live cell imaging	Reversibility, Logic gates	9
3	~	~	~	DMF:H ₂ O (3:7, v/v)	Zn ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺	Zn ²⁺ : 2.97×10^{-9} Cu ²⁺ : 6.75×10^{-9}	Live cell imaging	Reversibility, Logic gates	10
4	~	×	×	DMF:H ₂ O (9:1, v/v)	Zn ²⁺	$Zn^{2+}: 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$	N/A	Paper strip	11
5	~	×	×	THF:H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	Zn ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺	Zn ²⁺ : 1.3×10^{-8} Cu ²⁺ : 1.6×10^{-6}	N/A	Real sample analysis	12
6	×	×	×	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	Zn ²⁺	$ \begin{array}{c} Zn^{2+}: \ 3.8 \times 10^{-8} \\ Cu^{2+}: \ 5.8 \times 10^{-7} \end{array} $	N/A	Logic gates	13
7	~	×	~	MeOH:H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	Zn ²⁺ , Cu ²⁺	Zn ²⁺ : 7.19 ×10 ⁻⁸ Cu ²⁺ : 2.12 ×10 ⁻⁷	N/A	N/A	14

 Table S8 Comparison of HCFH with other previously reported sensors.

References

- 1 S. Lee, K. Sivakumar, W.-S. Shin, F. Xie and Q. Wang, Synthesis and anti-angiogenesis activity of coumarin derivatives, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett*, 2006, **16**, 4596–4599.
- 2 A. D. Becke, Density-functional thermochemistry. I. The effect of the exchange-only gradient correction, *J. Chem. Phys*, 1992, **96**, 2155–2160.
- 3 S. Yoshioka, Y. Inokuma, M. Hoshino, T. Sato and M. Fujita, Absolute structure determination of compounds with axial and planar chirality using the crystalline sponge method, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3765–3768.
- L. J. Farrugia, WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2012, 45, 849–854.
- 5 P. Yadav, A. K. Singh, C. Upadhyay and V. P. Singh, Photoluminescence behaviour of a stimuli responsive Schiff base: Aggregation induced emission and piezochromism, *Dyes and Pigments*, 2019, **160**, 731–739.
- 6 K. R. Barqawi, Z. Murtaza and T. J. Meyer, Calculation of relative nonradiative decay rate constants from emission spectral profiles: polypyridyl complexes of ruthenium (II), *J. Phys. Chem*, 1991, **95**, 47–50.

- 7 G. L. Long and J. D. Winefordner, Limit of detection. A closer look at the IUPAC definition, *Anal. Chem*, 1983, **55**, 712A-724A.
- 8 H. A. Benesi and J. H. Hildebrand, A Spectrophotometric Investigation of the Interaction of Iodine with Aromatic Hydrocarbons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*, 1949, **71**, 2703–2707.
- 9 A. K. R. Ahmed, R. Gajendhiran, S. Mithra, S. A. Majeed, A. S. S. Hameed, R. Paulpandiyan, S. Maniyammai, G. T. Senthil Andavan, M. NizamMohideen and A. K. Rahiman, Salicylidene-based dual-responsive 'turn on' fluorometric chemosensors for the selective detection of Zn²⁺, Al³⁺ and F⁻ ions: theoretical investigation and applications in the live cell imaging of zebrafish larvae and molecular logic gate operation, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2025, **13**, 622–641.
- 10 A. Singh, P. Yadav, S. Singh, P. Kumar, S. Srikrishna and V. P. Singh, A multifunctional coumarin-based probe for distinguishable detection of Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺: its piezochromic, viscochromic and AIE behavior with real sample analysis and bio-imaging applications, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2023, **11**, 13056–13066.
- 11 M. Shyamal, P. Mazumdar, S. Maity, S. Samanta, G. P. Sahoo and A. Misra, Highly Selective Turn-On Fluorogenic Chemosensor for Robust Quantification of Zn (II) Based on Aggregation Induced Emission Enhancement Feature, *ACS Sens*, 2016, 1, 739–747.
- 12 Q. Niu, T. Sun, T. Li, Z. Guo and H. Pang, highly sensitive and selective colorimetric/fluorescent probe with aggregation induced emission characteristics for multiple targets of copper, zinc and cyanide ions sensing and its practical application in water and food samples, *Sens. Actuators B Chem*, 2018, 266, 730–743.
- 13 G. Gangatharan Vinoth Kumar, A. Awasthi and A. Draksharapu, Dual function Schiff-base as a selective fluorescence "Turn-on" sensor for Zn²⁺ and a colorimetric sensor for Cu²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions, *J. Photochem. Photobio. A. Chem*, 2024, **454**, 115739.
- 14 M. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Zhu, H. Wang, J. Huang, L. Cheng, H. Zhou, J. Wu and Y. Tian, Difunctional chemosensor for Cu(ii) and Zn(ii) based on Schiff base modified anthryl derivative with aggregation-induced emission enhancement and piezochromic characteristics, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 1994–2002.