# Selective carbon-carbon bond cleavage of 2,2'-dibromotolane via photolysis of its appropriate (disphosphine)Pt<sup>0</sup> complex in solid state

Holm Petzold, Thomas Weisheit, Helmar Görls, Hergen Breitzke, Gerd Buntkowsky, Daniel Escudero, Leticia González and Wolfgang Weigand

1.	Exp	erimental	1 -
	1.1	General methods	1 -
	1.2	Crystal structure determination	2 -
	1.3	Synthesis of $[(dppbe)(\eta^2-(1))Pt^0]$ <b>3</b>	3 -
	1.4	Synthesis of $[(dppbe)Pt(C \equiv C - (o - Br - C_6H_4))(o - Br - C_6H_4)]$ 4	4 -
	1.5	Synthesis of $[(dppbe)Pt((o-C_6H_4)-C \equiv C-(o-Br-C_6H_4))(Br)]$ 6	5 -
	1.6	Thermal induced back reaction of 4 to 3 and thermal stability of 3	6 -
	1.7	Data processing and simulation of <sup>31</sup> P solid state NMR spectra	6 -
2.	Sele	ected solution state NMR spectra	8 -
3.	Soli	d state NMR spectra	11 -
4.	The	oretical studies	13 -
	4.1	Optimized geometries	13 -
	4.2	Vertical transitions	13 -
5.	Refe	erences	15 -

## 1. Experimental

## 1.1 General methods

Melting points were determined with an AXIOLAB microscope with a TMHS 600 heating plate and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>195</sup>Pt NMR spectra were determined with BRUKER DRX 400 or BRUKER DRX 200 spectrometers at 25°C. In the case of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts are referred to the protons and carbon atoms of the solvent, respectively. Due to the complexity of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the

compounds 4 and 6, it was not possible to assign every signal and the appropriate coupling constants exactly. For <sup>31</sup>P NMR a 85 % H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and for <sup>195</sup>Pt NMR a 1.0 M solution of K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O was used as the external reference. <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured proton decoupled. The <sup>195</sup>Pt NMR spectra were measured by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>195</sup>Pt-HMBC experiments. Solid state NMR <sup>31</sup>P spectra were obtained with a BRUKER AVANCE 400 II<sup>+</sup> spectrometer at 9 T and with a BRUKER AMX 400 spectrometer at 7 T employing a BRUKER double resonance 4 mm MAS probe. Spinning speed was set to 10 kHz controlled within a range of  $\pm$  1 Hz. Data were obtained utilizing a 90° single pulse sequence with proton decoupling during data acquisition. For technical reasons, proton decoupling was omitted for measurements at 7 T. IR spectra were taken with a PERKIN ELMER System 2000 FT-IR spectrometer. Mass spectra were taken with a FINNIGAN MAT SSQ 710 mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with a LECO CHNS-932. All reactions were carried out in air unless otherwise stated. Solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Starting materials  $[(dppbe)PtCl_2](2)$ , <sup>1</sup>2,2'-dibromotolane (1)<sup>2</sup> and complex  $[(dppbe)(n^2-2,2)^2$ -dibromotolane)Pt<sup>0</sup>] (5)<sup>3</sup> were prepared according to the literature procedure.

#### 1.2 Crystal structure determination

The intensity data for the compounds were collected on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer, using graphite-monochromated Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption effects<sup>4-6</sup>.

The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS<sup>7</sup>) and refined by full-matrix least squares techniques against Fo<sup>2</sup> (SHELXL-97<sup>8</sup>). All hydrogen atoms of the structures were included at calculated positions with fixed thermal parameters. All non-disordered, non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically<sup>8</sup>. Ortep-3 for Windows<sup>9</sup> was used for structure representations.

*Crystal Data for* **3**<sup>10</sup>: C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt,  $M = 977.55 \text{ g·mol}^{-1}$ , colourless prism, size 0.04 × 0.03 mm<sup>3</sup>, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , a = 11.1456(4), b = 22.1637(7), c = 15.3986(4) Å,  $\beta = 106.710(2)^{\circ}$ , V = 3643.3(2) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $T = -90^{\circ}$ C, Z = 4,  $\rho_{\text{calcd.}} = 1.782 \text{ g·cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu(\text{Mo-K}_{\alpha}) = 61.64 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , multi-scan, trans<sub>min</sub>: 0.2908, trans<sub>max</sub>: 0.6101, F(000) = 1896, 23208 reflections in h(-13/14), k(-28/26), l(-19/18), measured in the range  $2.30^{\circ} \le \Theta \le 27.47^{\circ}$ , completeness  $\Theta_{\text{max}} = 98.3$  %, 8185 independent

reflections,  $R_{int} = 0.0899$ , 6160 reflections with  $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$ , 442 parameters, 0 restraints,  $R1_{obs} = 0.0544$ ,  $wR^2_{obs} = 0.1328$ ,  $R1_{all} = 0.0800$ ,  $wR^2_{all} = 0.1463$ , GOOF = 1.024, largest difference peak and hole: 3.193 / -2.345 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

Crystal Data for  $4^{10}$ : C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt, M = 977.55 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, colourless prism, size 0.05 ×  $0.05 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}^3$ , triclinic, space group  $P_{\bar{1}}$ , a = 8.8929(3), b = 10.7362(4), c = 21.0258(7) Å,  $\alpha = 80.862(2)^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 88.179(2)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 68.483(2)^{\circ}$ , V = 1843.09(11) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $T = -90^{\circ}$ C, Z = 2,  $\rho_{calcd} = 1.761 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu$  (Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 60.93 cm<sup>-1</sup>, multi-scan, trans<sub>min</sub>: 0.3949, trans<sub>max</sub>: 0.4449, F(000) = 948, 13314 reflections in h(-11/11), k(-13/13), l(-26/27), measured in the range  $2.57^{\circ} \le \Theta \le 27.49^{\circ}$ , completeness  $\Theta_{\text{max}} = 98.9$  %, 8374 independent reflections,  $R_{int} = 0.0376$ , 6723 reflections with  $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$ , 442  $R1_{\rm obs} = 0.0560$ ,  $wR^2_{\rm obs} = 0.1408$ ,  $R1_{\rm all} = 0.0762$ , parameters, 0 restraints,  $wR_{all}^2 = 0.1501$ , GOOF = 1.188, largest difference peak and hole: 5.363 / -2.102 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. Crystal Data for  $6^{10}$ : C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt, · 1.5 (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O), M = 1085.70 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>, colourless prism, size  $0.04 \times 0.04 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}^3$ , monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ , a = 21.3703(6), b = 13.6258(3), c = 15.6040(3) Å,  $\beta = 93.290(1)^{\circ}, V = 4536.20(18)$  Å<sup>3</sup>, T = -90°C, Z=4,  $\rho_{calcd} = 1.590 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu$  (Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) = 49.62 cm<sup>-1</sup>, multi-scan, trans<sub>min</sub>: 0.810, trans<sub>max</sub>: 0.932, F(000) = 2136, 31809 reflections in h(-23/27), k(-17/17), l(-20/20), measured in the range  $1.77^{\circ} \leq \Theta \leq 27.48^{\circ}$ , completeness  $\Theta_{\text{max}} = 99.9$  %, 10383 independent reflections,  $R_{\rm int} = 0.0757$ , 7479 reflections with  $F_{\rm o} > 4\sigma(F_{\rm o})$ , 506 0 restraints,  $R1_{obs} = 0.0488$ ,  $wR^2_{obs} = 0.1059$ ,  $R1_{all} = 0.0819$ , parameters,  $wR_{all}^2 = 0.1194$ , GOOF = 1.015, largest difference peak and hole: 1.561 / -1.758 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

### 1.3 Synthesis of $[(dppbe)(\eta^2-(1))Pt^{\theta}]$ 3

Under an atmosphere of argon, to a suspension of  $[(dppbe)PtCl_2]$  (2) (70 mg, 0.1 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and ethanol (2 mL) was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (5 mg, 0.13 mmol). After 10 min the suspension became yellow and the  $[(dppbe)PtCl_2]$  (2) dissolved. The mixture was stirred at RT for additional 2 h, and water (2 mL) was added. After the vigorous evaluation of hydrogen was almost completed the organic layer was separated using a pipette and to this phase 2,2'-dibromotolane (1) (30 mg, 0.09 mmol) was added (For any reason this sequence is crucial). After stirring this solution over night the solvent was reduced to dryness using a rotary evaporator

without exclusion of air. The crude product was washed with diethyl ether, dissolved in THF (10 mL) and filtrated over silica gel. The solvent was evaporated again and the crude product was crystallized from toluene. Yellow crystals; yield: 56 mg (0.056 mmol) (56 %) m. p. 239-241°C dec.

 $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 7.81 (2H, m), 7.55 (8H, m), 7.48 (4H, m), 7.36 (4H, m), 7.29 (8H, m), 7.17 (2H, dd,  ${}^{3}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 7.6$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 1.6$  Hz), 7.00 (2H, dt,  ${}^{3}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 7.6$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 1.6$  Hz), 1.6 Hz).

 $\delta_{\rm C}$  (100 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 146.30 (t, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,C) = 44.0 Hz), 139.48 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(P,C) = 9.0 Hz), 135.58 (t, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,C) = 24.0 Hz), 134.84 (s), 133.96 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,C) = 7.4 Hz), 133.24 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J*(P,C) = 6.8 Hz), 132.83 (s), 132.27 (s), 131.12 (s), 130.12 (s), 128.66 (t, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,C) = 5.1 Hz), 127.10 (s), 126.55 (s), 123.59 (s).

 $\delta_{\rm P}$  (81 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 51.1 (s,  ${}^{1}J({\rm P,Pt}) = 3161$  Hz).

 $\delta_{\text{Pt}}$  (85.6 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene) – 4747 (t, <sup>1</sup>*J*(Pt,P) = 3175 Hz).

IR (KBr)  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3053 (m), 1780 (m) (C=C), 1625 (m), 1480 (s), 1434 (vs), 1097 (s), 1025 (s), 755 (vs), 694 (vs), 548 (vs), 527 (vs), 505 (s).

MS (FAB in nba) m/z (%) 977 (7,  $[M]^+$ ), 897 (18,  $[M-Br]^+$ ), 821 (4,  $[M-(o-BrC_6H_4)]^+$ ), 797 (18,  $[M-(C \equiv C-(o-BrC_6H_4))]^+$ ), 721 (40,  $[Pt(dppbe)(Br)]^+$ ), 307 (100).

UV-Vis  $\lambda_{max}$ (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)/nm 263 ( $\varepsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup> 10800), 302 (21000).

Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt (977.56): C 54.06, H 3.30, Br 16.35; found: C 54.09 H 3.55, Br 16.77.

### 1.4 Synthesis of $[(dppbe)Pt(C \equiv C - (o - Br - C_6H_4))(o - Br - C_6H_4)] 4$

Crystals of **3** (15 mg, 0.015 mmol) were placed in a sample vial and irradiated with sunlight for 3 h. From time to time the vial is turned for steady irradiation conditions of the sample. The yellow crystals became colourless and weathered to give white powder of **4**; yield: 14 mg (93 %) m. p. 140-141°C.

 $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) (2H, dd,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 11.5 Hz,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 7.5 Hz), 7.79 (1H, t,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 6.5 Hz), 7.73-7.65 (3H, m), 7.61 (1H, dd,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 11.7 Hz,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 8.0 Hz), 7.50-7.39 (1H, m), 7.56-7.51 (2H, m), 7.50-7.40 (8H, m), 7.39-7.34 (3H, m), 7.25 (2H, dt,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 2.4 Hz,  $J({\rm H},{\rm H})$  = 8.0 Hz), 7.16-6.98 (5H, m), 6.95  $(1H, dt, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.0 Hz, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.5 Hz), 6.86 (1H, dt, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.0 Hz,$  ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz), 6.77 (1H, t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz), 6.69 (1H, t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.5 Hz).$ 

 $\delta_{\rm C}$  (50 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 143.42 (s), 139.63 (d,  ${}^{3}J({\rm P,C}) = 2.9$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J({\rm Pt,C}) = 22.0$  Hz), 134.90 (d,  $J({\rm P,C}) = 12.3$  Hz), 134.43 (s), 134.19 (s), 134.00 (s), 133.55 (d,  $J({\rm P,C}) = 5.0$  Hz), 133.39 (s), 132.69 (s), 132.39 (s), 132.01 (s), 131.18 (d,  $J({\rm P,C}) = 23.4$  Hz), 130.35 (s) 129.06 (s), 128.87 (s), 128.57 (d,  $J({\rm P,C}) = 10.6$  Hz), 127.60 (s), 126.68 (s), 126.34 (s), 125.44 (d,  $J({\rm P,C}) = 9.3$  Hz), 125.72 (s), 124.97 (s,  ${}^{3}J({\rm Pt,C}) = 6.5$  Hz), 124.34 (s), 107.76 (d,  ${}^{2}J({\rm P,C}) = 33.0$  Hz), 92.52 (s).

 $\delta_{\rm P}$  (81 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 50.1 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,P) = 5.5 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,Pt) = 2473 Hz), 46.7 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,P) = 5.5 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,Pt) = 1767 Hz).

 $\delta_{\text{Pt}}$  (85.6 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) – 4703 (dd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(Pt,P) = 2454 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(Pt,P) = 1778 Hz).

IR (KBr)  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3053 (s), 2115 (s) (C=C), 1625 (m), 1482 (m), 1461 (s), 1435 (vs), 1101 (s), 1025 (s), 999 (s), 744 (vs), 693 (vs), 550 (vs), 533 (vs), 506 (s).

MS (FAB in nba) m/z (%): 977 (7,  $[M]^+$ ), 897 (20,  $[M-Br]^+$ ), 821 (6,  $[M-(o-BrC_6H_4)]^+$ ), 797 (15,  $[M-(C \equiv C-(o-BrC_6H_4))]^+$ ), 721 (70,  $[Pt(dppbe)(Br)]^+$ ), 563 (40,  $[Pt(dppbe)-Ph]^+$ ), 485 (100,  $[Pt(dppbe)-2Ph]^+$ ).

Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt (977.56): C 54.06, H 3.30, Br 16.35; found: C 54.00 H 3.30, Br 16.12.

#### 1.5 Synthesis of $[(dppbe)Pt((o-C_6H_4)-C \equiv C-(o-Br-C_6H_4))(Br)] 6$

Complex **3** (204 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (100 mL). This solution was irradiated with sunlight for five days. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow residue. The  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectrum of the crude product showed complete conversion of **3** to **4** and **6** as a 1:1 mixture. Column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) of the crude product afforded **6** as a yellow solid, yield: 95 mg (48 %) m. p. 153-155°C.

 $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 7.83 (2H, m), 7.72-7.57 (6H, m), 7.54-7.47 (6H, m), 7.22 (3H, m), 7.12 (2H, m), 7.08 (2H, dt,  ${}^{3}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 7.8$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 2.0$  Hz), 6.98 (1H, dd,  ${}^{3}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 7.3$  Hz,  ${}^{4}J({\rm H},{\rm H}) = 2.0$  Hz), 6.94 (1H, m), 6.78 (2H, m).

 $\delta_{\rm C}$  (50 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 144.39 (t,  $J({\rm P,C})$  = 39.5 Hz), 137.51 (s,  ${}^{1}J({\rm Pt,C})$  = 21.3 Hz), 134.57 (d,  $J({\rm P,C})$  = 11.5 Hz), 134.36 (s), 134.10 (d,  $J({\rm P,C})$  = 11.2 Hz),

133.81 (s), 133.70 (s), 133.38 (s), 132.51 (s, br), 132.39 (s), 131.96 (s), 131.69 (s), 131.59 (s), 131.25 (d, J(P,C) = 6.7 Hz), 130.39 (s), 129.91 (s), 129.58 (s), 129.35 (s), 128.98 (s), 128.86 (s), 128.59 (s), 128.47 (s), 127.38 (d, J(P,C) = 7.1 Hz), 127.24 (s), 127.17 (s), 125.16 (s), 123.06 (s), 100.23 (s), 87.44 (s).

 $\delta_{P}$  (81 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) 48.85 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,P) = 4.7 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,Pt) = 1749 Hz), 42.87 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*(P,P) = 4.7 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(P,Pt) = 4064 Hz).

 $\delta_{Pt}$  (85.6 MHz, [D<sub>2</sub>]dichloromethane) – 4567 (dd, <sup>1</sup>*J*(Pt,P) = 1703 Hz, <sup>1</sup>*J*(Pt,P) = 3961 Hz).

IR (KBr)  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  (s), 2210 (w) (C $\equiv$ C), 1629 (m), 1474 (m), 1435 (s), 1100 (s), 1025 (m), 752 (s), 693 (vs), 561 (vs), 534 (vs), 506 (vs).

MS (FAB in nba) m/z (%): 977 (2, [M]<sup>+</sup>), 897 (25, [M-Br]<sup>+</sup>), 817 (10, [M-2Br]<sup>+</sup>), 721 (100, [(Pt(dppbe)(Br)]<sup>+</sup>), 641 (25, [Pt(dppbe)]<sup>+</sup>), 561 (25, [Pt(dppbe)-Ph]<sup>+</sup>), 485 (70, [Pt(dppbe)-2Ph]<sup>+</sup>).

Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt (977.56): C 54.06, H 3.30, Br 16.35; found: C 54.35 H 3.60, Br 16.82.

#### 1.6 Thermal induced back reaction of 4 to 3 and thermal stability of 3

A sample of **4** (11 mg, 0.011 mmol) was dissolved in  $[D_8]$ toluene. The solution was heated in a sealed ampoule for 40 h at 105°C. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum showed 30 % conversion of **4** to **3**, after 100 h 40 % respectively. Heating for additional 8 d gave a conversion of 60 %, as well as, small amounts of side products. During a further experiment a sample of **3** (8 mg, 0.008 mmol) was heated similarly for 8 d at 105°C, though, no reaction was observed in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum.

## 1.7 Data processing and simulation of <sup>31</sup>P solid state NMR spectra

Data were processed by apodization with line broadening of 10 Hz. Simulation of the <sup>31</sup>P solid state NMR spectra of **3** at 7 T and 9 T was done by using two Gaussian singlet signals according to the parameters determined from solution state NMR i.e. *J*-coupling constant of  ${}^{1}J(P,Pt) = 3184$  Hz and intensity ratio of the satellites of 1:4 with respect to the central main line. The intensities of both signals were of equal height

according to the assumption that both <sup>31</sup>P positions became magnetically nonequivalent in the solid state. Deviations of the simulations and measurements are mainly due to omitting the line broadening of 10 Hz during the simulation procedure.

Simulation of the solid state NMR spectrum of **4** was done using the assumption that the signals **A**, **B**, and **E** (see Fig. S2) are present in the solid state. The presence of **C** and **D** could be excluded since no satellite was found below 20 ppm outside the main spectrum. Furthermore, the possibility of magnetically non-equivalent <sup>31</sup>P positions, as experimentally found in the initial state **3**, was taken into account.

The spectrum could be reasonable simulated by applying 5 Gaussian signals. A pair attributed to **B** at 42 ppm and 40 ppm (red) as well as a pair attributed to **A** at 36 ppm and 34 ppm (blue), respectively. A fifth singlet signal (green) was located at 39 ppm assigned to **E** by virtue of its *J*-coupling. The remaining gap at 45 ppm maybe attributed to the second signal of **E**, however, no clear satellites could be resolved and adding a sixth signal was omitted.

Please note, despite the high number of lines, the degree of freedom of the simulation is strongly reduced by coupling every 3 lines to pseudo triplets of fixed frequency spacing and intensity ratios.





Figure S1:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of 4 (A/B), obtained after irradiation of crystals of 3 with sunlight.



Figure S2:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum after irradiation of **3** (E) in solution with sunlight, yielding **4** (A/B) and **6** (C/D).



**Figure S3**:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum after heating of 4 (A/B) for 40 h at 105°C.



Figure S4:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum after heating of 4 (A/B) for 240 h at 105°C.



Figure S5:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ -NMR spectrum of complex 5 after irradiation with sunlight in the solid state.

## 3. Solid state NMR spectra



Figure S6:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  Solid State NMR spectrum of 3 at 9 T together with simulations as discussed above.



Figure S7:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  Solid State NMR spectrum of 3 at 7 T together with simulations as discussed above.



**Figure S8**:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  Solid State NMR spectrum of **4** at 9 T, yielded by irradiation of crystals of **3** together with simulations as discussed above.

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2008

## 4. Theoretical studies

#### 4.1 Optimized geometries

The geometries of complexes **3** and **5** in the electronic ground state were optimized using Density Functional Theory (DFT) in its Resolution of the Identity (RI) version,<sup>11</sup> i.e. RI-DFT. The Becke exchange<sup>12</sup> and Perdew correlation<sup>13</sup> functional (BP86) has been combined with a polarized valence triple- $\zeta$  basis set (TZVP) for all atoms. Relativistic effects have been considered for Pt atom using the ECP-60-mwb Stuttgart/Dresden pseudopotential<sup>14</sup>. All calculations were performed with the TURBOMOLE<sup>15</sup> program package. The optimized geometries along with selected geometrical parameters are given in Fig. S9.



**Figure S9**: RI-BP86 optimized geometries of complexes **3** and **5**. Distances in Angstroms and angles in degrees. Experimental X-ray parameters in parenthesis.

#### 4.2 Vertical transitions

The vertical transition energies have been obtained using time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) with 56 roots. The most important transitions with associated oscillator strengths and corresponding excitations for complexes **3** and **5** are collected in Tables S1 and S2, respectively.

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2008

Wavelength (nm)	Oscillator strength	Assignment
402	0.0270	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (89.8 \%)$
392	0.0229	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (65.4 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (16.6 \%)$
390	0.0230	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (64.1 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (19.0 \%)$
383	0.0207	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (87.8 \%)$
376	0.0399	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (49.5 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(38.5 \%)$
363	0.0270	$d_{z2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (40.9 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (12.2 \%)$
		$d_{yz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{dppbe} (11.0 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (10.1 \%)$
357	0.1242	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (35.0 \%)$
		$d_{z2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (26.0 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (11.0 \%)$
355	0.0245	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (33.3 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (30.6 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (10.4 \%)$
330	0.0688	$d_{xz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (68.6 \%)$
320	0.0158	$d_{xz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (51.3 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(28.3 \%)$
318	0.0631	$d_{xz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(33.8 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (16.2 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (13.1 \%)$
		$d_{yz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(10.5 \%)$

Table S1: Vertical transition energies of 3 in nm, with oscillator strengths and corresponding assignments.

Wavelength (nm)	Oscillator strength	Assignment
427	0.0126	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (32.6 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (30.4 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (22.2 \%)$
411	0.0821	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{alkyne}} (33.5 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (18.1 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (17.8 \%)$
395	0.0883	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (45.1 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (20.8 \%)$
390	0.0597	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(95.1 \%)$
388	0.0131	$\pi_{\text{alkyne}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{Ph}} (96.7 \%)$
376	0.0351	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (95.5 \%)$
364	0.0427	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (92.0 \%)$
342	0.0345	$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph}(61.1 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (29.9 \%)$
340	0.0178	$d_{xy} \rightarrow \pi^*_{dppbe} (58.4 \%)$
		$d_{x2-y2} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (20.7 \%)$

Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is (c) The Royal Society of Chemistry 2008

326	0.0233	$d_{xy} \rightarrow \pi^*_{dppbe} (94.5 \%)$
317	0.1155	$\pi_{alkyne} \rightarrow \pi^*_{Ph} (58.2 \%)$
		$\pi_{\text{Ph}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{dppbe}} (12.9 \%)$
		$d_{yz} \rightarrow \pi^*_{alkyne} (12.4 \%)$

Table S2: Vertical transition energies of 5 in nm, with oscillator strengths and corresponding assignments.

## 5. References

1	I. M. Al-Najjar, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1987, 128, 93-104.
2	P. J. van Meurs, R. A. J. Janssen, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 5712-5719.
3	H. Petzold, H. Görls, W. Weigand, J. Organomet. Chem., 2007, 692,
	2736-2742.
4	COLLECT, Data Collection Software; Nonius B.V., Netherlands, 1998.
5	Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, "Processing of X-Ray Diffraction Data
	Collected in Oscillation Mode", in Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276,
	Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C.W. Carter &
	R.M. Sweet, pp. 307-326, Academic Press, San Diego 1997.
6	SORTAV, R. H. Blessing, Acta Crystallogr. 1995, A 51, 33-38.
7	G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 1990, 46, 467-473.
8	G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1993.
9	Ortep-3 for Windows: L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Cryst. 1997, 30, 565.
10	Further details of the crystal structure investigations are available on
	requests from the director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data
	Centre, 12 Union Road, GB-Cambridge CB2 1 EZ, on quoting the
	depository number CCSD-660013 (3), CCSD-660014 (4), and CCSD-
	662326 (6) the names of the authors, and the journal citation.
11	K. Eichkorn, O. Treutler, H. Öhm, M. Häser, R. Ahlrichs, Chem. Phys.
	<i>Lett.</i> , 1995, <b>240</b> , 283.
12	A. D. Becke Phys. Rev. A, 1998, <b>38</b> , 3098.
13	J. P. Perdew, <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> , 1986, <b>33</b> , 8822.
14	D. Andrae, U. Häussermann, M. Dolg, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, Theor.
	Chim. Acta, 1990, 77, 123.
15	R. Ahlrichs, M. Bär, M. Häser, H. Horn, C. Kölmel, Chem. Phys. Lett.,
	1989, <b>162</b> , 165.