Supplementary Materials

Altering pyridinone *N*-substituents to optimise activity as potential prodrugs for Alzheimer's disease

Lauren E. Scott, Brent D. G. Page, Brian O. Patrick and Chris Orvig

Table of Contents:

Experimental Details	2
Scheme S1: Synthesis of Hnbp.	2
Turbidity Assay	4
Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC) Antioxidant Assay	5
Table S1: TEAC values for Hppp, Hnbp and α-tocopherol at six-minute time point	5
MTT Assay	6
Figure S1: Sample survival plot of HepG2 cells exposed to varying concentrations of Hppp for 72 h, as monitored by MTT assay.	6
X-Ray Diffraction Data	7
Figure S2: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Hnbp	7
Figure S3: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Cu(ppp)	2. 7
Figure S4: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Cu(nbp)	2. 8
Table S2: Selected crystal data for Hnbp pro-ligand.	8
Table S3: Selected crystal data for Cu(ppp)2 and Cu(nbp)2 complexes.	9
References:	9

Experimental Details

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker 300 MHz Varian XL-300 spectrometer; spectra were calibrated using residual solvent peaks. Mass spectra were obtained using a Bruker Esquire Ion Trap ESI-MS spectrometer. Elemental analysis for C, H and N was completed by Mr. David Wong at UBC Chemistry Mass Spectrometry and Microanalysis Services. X-ray diffraction data were collected and processed by Dr. B.O. Patrick at UBC Crystallography Services using a Bruker X8 APEX II diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation and the structure was solved using the Bruker SAINT software package.

3-Hydroxy-2-methyl-1-phenyl-4(1*H*)-pyridinone, Hppp

Prepared by a previously published method¹

(Found: C, 71.66; H, 5.56; N, 6.96. Calc. for $C_{12}H_{11}NO_2$: C, 71.63; H, 5.51; N, 6.96%). $\delta_H(300 \text{ MHz}; d_6\text{-}DMSO)$ 1.94 (3 H, s, 2-Me), 6.18 (1 H, d, J_{65} 9, 5-H), 7.43 (1 H, d, J_{56} 9, 6-H), 7.53 (5 H, m, Ph). $\delta_C(75 \text{ MHz}; \text{CD}_3\text{OD})$ 13.92 (2-Me), 104.49 (C5), 112.69 (C2), 128.10 (C_x), 131.00 (C_z), 131.21 (C_y), 133.06 (C6), 139.44 (C3), 143.34 (C_w), 171.68 (C4).

Scheme S1: Synthesis of Hnbp.



3-Benzyloxy-2-methyl-4(1H)-pyrone, BnMa

Prepared by a previously published method.² $\delta_{H}(300 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3)$: 2.10 (3 H, s, 2-Me), 5.16 (2 H, s, PhC*H*₂), 6.42 (1 H, d, J₆₅ 5.6, 5-H), 7.33 (5 H, m, Ph), 7.61 (1 H, d, J₅₆ 5.6, 6-H).

3-Benzyloxy-2-methyl-4(1*H*)-pyridinone, Bnmpp

Prepared by a previously published method.² $\delta_{H}(300 \text{ MHz}; d_{6}\text{-DMSO})$ 2.04 (3 H, s, 2-Me), 5.04 (2 H, s, $CH_{2}Ph$), 6.10 (1 H, d, 5-H), 7.35 (6 H, m, Ph and 6-H), 11.2 (1 H, s, 1-H).

1-Benzyl-3-benzyloxy-2-methyl-4(1H)-pyridinone, Bnnbp

Based on a previously published procedure.³ Sodium hydride (0.29 g, 1.21 mmol) was added to a suspension of **Bnmpp** (2.00 g, 9.3 mmol) in THF (30 mL). Benzyl chloride (1.39 mL, 12.1 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 16 h at 45 °C. Reaction progress was monitored with TLC (9:1 EtOAc:MeOH). Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL) and washed with 5% NaCl solution, and with water. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the product was crystallised from water. Crystals of **Bnnbp** (1.54 g, 54%) were collected via suction filtration, washed with cold methanol and dried *in vacuo*. $\delta_{\rm H}(300 \text{ MHz}; d_6\text{-DMSO})$ 1.95 (3 H, s, 2-Me), 5.05 (2 H, s, *O*-CH₂Ph), 5.17 (2 H, s, *N*-CH₂Ph), 6.22 (1 H, d, J₆₅ 7.4, 5-H), 6.94 (2 H, d, *N*-CH₂Ph[H_x]), 7.32 (8H, m, *O*-CH₂Ph, *N*-CH₂Ph[H_{yz}]) 7.74 (1 H, d, J₅₆ 7.4, 6-H).

1-Benzyl-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-4(1H)-pyridinone, Hnbp

Bnnbp (0.500 g, 1.63 mmol) was dissolved in 33 % HBr acetic acid (5 mL). The solution was refluxed for 48 h, allowed to cool and guenched with ether (5 mL). Excess HBr and acetic acid were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was dissolved in water, brought to pH 12 using aqueous NaOH (5 M), and washed with EtOAc. The pH was adjusted to 6 using aqueous HCl (6 M) where the product precipitated. Colourless Hnbp (0.284 g, 81%) was collected via suction filtration and recrystallised from chloroform; crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction were obtained from chloroform. (Found: C, 72.16; H, 6.08; N, 6.43. Calc. for C₁₃H₁₃NO₂: C, 72.54; H, 6.09; N, 6.51%). δ_H(300 MHz; d₆-DMSO) 2.12 (3 H, s, 2-Me), 5.24 (2 H, s, N-CH₂Ph), 6.19 (1 H, d, J₆₅ 7.2, 5-H), 7.07 (2 H, d, N-CH₂Ph[H_x]), 7.33 (3 H, m, N-CH₂Ph[H_{yz}]), 7.75 (1 H, d, J₅₆ 7.3, 6-H). δ_C(75 MHz; CD₃OD) 12.30 (2-Me), 58.61 (CH₂Ph), 104.49 (C5), 112.80 (2-C), 127.38 (C_x), 129.36 (C_z), 130.35 (C_y), 133.34 (C6), 137.52 (C_w), 140.00 (C3), 171.17 (C4). Crystal data. $C_{13}H_{13}NO_2$.CHCl₃, M = 334.61, monoclinic, a =11.7981(13), b = 10.8093(13), c = 12.5786(15) Å, U = 1527.7(3) Å³, T = 173(2) K, space group $P2_1/c$ (no. 14), Z = 16,003 reflections measured, 3665 unique ($R_{int} = 0.025$) which were used in all calculations. The final $wR(F_2)$ was 0.110 (all data). CCDC entry 697418.

Bis(1-benzyl-2-methyl-3-oxy-4(1H)-pyridinato)copper(II), Cu(nbp)₂

Triethylamine (37.1 µL, 0.270 µmol) was added to a solution of **Hnbp** (0.0594 g, 0.277 mmol) in 13 mL 1:9 methanol:dichloromethane. This solution was added (dropwise) to Cu(ClO₄)₂.6H₂O (0.050 g, 0.135 mmol) in 4 mL 1:9 methanol:dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h yielding a green Cu(**nbp**)₂, which was isolated via suction filtration and crystallised via liquid-liquid diffusion from a mixture of ether and chloroform. Structure was confirmed by x-ray crystallography, and infrared spectroscopy. (Found: C, 63.30; H, 4.91; N, 5.69. Calc. for C₂₆H₂₄CuN₂O₄: C, 63.30; H, 4.92; N, 5.69%). **Crystal data.** C₂₆H₂₄N₂O₄Cu.2CHCl₃, M = 730.75, monoclinic, a = 6.3840(3), b = 21.0223(12), c = 11.7249(7) Å, U = 1562.53(15) Å³, T = 173(2) K, space group $P 2_1/n$ (no. 14), Z = 13,415 reflections measured, 3545 unique ($R_{int} = 0.033$) which were used in all calculations. The final $wR(F_2)$ was 0.163 (all data). CCDC entry 697416.

Bis(1-phenyl-2-methyl-3-oxy-4(1H)-pyridinato)copper(II), Cu(ppp)2

Synthesised and crystallised in the same manner as Cu(**nbp**)₂. Structure confirmed by xray crystallography and infrared spectroscopy. (Found: C, 61.96; H, 4.36; N, 6.02. Calc for C₂₄H₂₀CuN₂O₄: C, 62.13; H, 4.34; N, 6.04%). **Crystal data.** C₂₄H₂₀N₂O₄Cu.2CHCl₃, M = 702.70, ortho-rhombic, a = 11.5466(11), b = 11.6975(11), c = 20.9936(18) Å, U =2835.5(5) Å³, T = 173(2) K, space group *P bca* (no. 61), Z = 35,879 reflections measured, 2789 unique ($R_{int} = 0.046$) which were used in all calculations. The final $wR(F_2)$ was = 0.071 (all data). CCDC entry 697417.

Turbidity Assay

4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulphonic acid (HEPES) buffer was prepared by diluting HEPES (4.76 g, 20.0 mmol) and NaCl (8.76 g, 150 mmol) with water (~850 mL) to nearly full volume (full volume is 1000 mL). Chelex[®] resin (a strong transition metal ion binding agent that is insolubilised on polystyrene) was added to the solution to rid the buffer of any residual transition metal ions that may confound results. The Chelex[®]-containing buffer solution was incubated in the refrigerator for 16 h. The buffer was allowed to warm to room temperature before adjusting the pH with 1 M NaOH (to pH 6.6 for Cu and pH 7.4 for Zn) and finally diluting to 1000 mL with deionised water. Separate buffers were prepared for the Cu- and Zn-mediated experiments, but both contained the same amount of HEPES, NaCl and Chelex[®]. Chelex[®] was removed via filtration through a Millipore 0.22 µm acetate filter which also ensured minimal contamination by other solids.

Human amyloid-beta peptide (A β_{40} , 1 mg) was carefully weighed, diluted with water (1.155 mL) then sonicated for one minute, allowed to stand for thirty seconds then sonicated for another minute. The A β was then filtered through a Whatman 0.2 µm nylon syringe filter. Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA, 7.9 mg), **Hppp** (4.0 mg), and **Hnbp** (4.3 mg) were dissolved in HEPES buffer (100 mL, pH 6.6 for Cu(II) assay, pH 7.4 for Cu(II) assay). Zn(II) (200 µM, in pH 7.4 HEPES) and Cu(II) (200 µM, in pH 6.6 HEPES) solutions were prepared by diluting Aldrich Atomic Absorption Standard Solutions (Zn: 996 µg/mL in HCl 0.9 wt % (1.305 mL); Cu: 986 µg/mL in HNO₃ 1 wt % (1.289 mL)).

A clear polystyrene Falcon[®] flat-bottomed 96-well plate was used to take the absorbance readings of the test solutions. Each well was loaded to a total volume of 200 μ L (150 μ L pyridinone proligand, 25 μ L A β and 25 μ L of metal solution) and done in quadruplicate to allow for statistical analysis of error. The DTPA positive control was done such that there was equivalent coordination sites of proligand to metal (i.e. DTPA wells contained 50 μ L DTPA, 25 μ L A β , 25 μ L metal solution and 100 μ L HEPES buffer). Positive and negative controls were used to ensure the validity of the results. To ensure that the test solutions were not responsible for the observed absorbance at 405 nm, blank readings were taken with all well components except A β peptide; it was noted that these solutions did not have significant absorbances at 405 nm.

The 96-well plate was loaded into a Molecular Devices Thermomax microplate reader programmed to agitate the plate for 20 seconds before measuring the absorbance of each well at 405 nm. The absorbance values obtained from the test wells (that

contained A β) were normalised with respect to the appropriate blank solutions (*i.e.* absorbance for **Hnbp** control, which contained 25 µL of buffer in place of the 25 µL A β , was subtracted from the **Hnbp** test condition which contained 25 µL of A β). The amount of absorbance is indicative of the amount of aggregated A β in the well, which is inversely proportional to the efficacy of our compounds. (More absorbance is due to more aggregated A β , which in pro-ligand tests mean that our compounds dissolved less of the aggregated A β .)

Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC) Antioxidant Assay

The antioxidant capacity of **Hnbp** and **Hppp** were determined by a TEAC assay using Trolox and α -tocopherol (vitamin E) as standards. TEAC values were calculated according to an improved ABTS radical cation decolourization assay.¹⁴ 2,2'-Azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid diammonium salt (ABTS; 0.0387 g, 7.05 µmol, 7 mM) was dissolved in water (10 mL) and exposed to potassium persulphate (0.0066 g. 24.4 µmol, 2.45 mM). After a 16-hour incubation (dark, room temperature), the resulting solution was diluted with HPLC-grade methanol such that the absorbance of the solution at 745 nm was 0.7 ± 0.2 (2.5 mL diluted to final volume of 200 mL). Both the ABTS⁺⁺ solution and the test solutions were maintained at 30°C using a Fisher Scientific 1016D Isotemp water bath. ABTS⁺⁺ solution (2 mL) was loaded into a cuvette which was carefully placed into the light path of an HP 8543 UV-visible spectrophotometer. The absorbance was recorded at 745 nm prior to addition of the test solutions and then at times 1, 3 and 6 minutes after addition of the test solutions. Test solutions were prepared such that the addition of 20 µL to the radical would provide a reduction in absorbance by 20-80 %; this resulted in solutions ranging in concentration from 0.25-16 µM. Upon addition of 20 μ L of test solution to the cuvette, the contents were mixed thoroughly by vigorously pipetting the mixture for twenty seconds. It was noted that consistency in the mixing process was very important; variations in mixing technique resulted in large error values. A new blank spectrum (methanol) was collected before each sample run.

Data were plotted such that for each time point a linear system of concentration versus absorbance was obtained. The slope of each plot was normalised with respect to that of Trolox to give the Trolox equivalence value for each time point. The error in each slope was calculated using linear regression techniques, and then statistically carried through to the final values for the TEAC. Compounds and standards were checked to ensure that they did not absorb at 745 nm.

Test Compound	6-min TEAC value	Error
Нррр	1.22	0.06
Hnbp	1.25	0.09
a-Tocopherol	1.0	0.1

Table S1: TEAC values for **Hppp**, **Hnbp** and α-tocopherol at six-minute time point.

MTT Assay

Media was removed from the culture flask via pipette, without affecting the human hepatocytes (cell line: HepG2) affixed to the bottom of the flask. Trypsin (~ 4 mL) was added to the culture flask, to release the cells from the wall of the dish. The cells were incubated (37°C) for 5 minutes, after which 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) media (~ 4 mL) was added to quench the trypsin. The cells were suspended in solution and centrifuged for 3 minutes at 800 rpm. The cells were diluted to a concentration of 10^4 cells per 100 µL (10^4 cells or 100 µL per well). Cells were allotted to the wells and incubated for 24 h. Test compounds were dissolved in 10% FBS media (some requiring 1% DMSO due to insolubility) in non-sterile conditions, then filtered (to sterilise) through a 0.2 µM "Milex" polyethersulphone syringe filter. The initial solutions were diluted with 10% FBS media (with 1% DMSO for the less soluble compounds) to cover a range of concentrations from 2 to 2000 µM (to achieve well concentrations of 1 to 1000 μ M). The cells were exposed to 100 μ L of the compound-containing solutions; negative controls received 100 µL of 10% FBS media (with 1% DMSO for the DMSO controls). Cisplatin was used as a positive control for cell death using the same range of concentrations as the test compounds. Cells were then incubated with test compounds for After incubation, 50 µL of 2.5 g/L 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-72 h. diphenvltetrazolium bromide (MTT) in phosphate buffered saline was added and cells were incubated for an additional 3 h. Supernatant was removed from the wells by suction through a 21-G needle attached to a vacuum pump and the cells were dissolved in 150 µL DMSO. Absorbance was measured at 577 nm and referenced to the control and blank wells to find the relative cell viabilities for each compound and concentration: each concentration of each compound was done in quadruplicate such that appropriate statistical analysis could be completed.



Figure S1: Sample survival plot of HepG2 cells exposed to varying concentrations of **Hppp** for 72 h, as monitored by MTT assay.

X-Ray Diffraction Data

X-ray data was collected and processed by Dr. B.O. Patrick at UBC using a Bruker X8 APEX II diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation; the structure was solved using Bruker SAINT software package (<u>SAINT</u>. Version 7.46A. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. 1997-2007). All C-H hydrogen atoms in all three structures were placed in calculated positions, only the hydroxyl hydrogen in **Hnbp** was treated differently, in this case the hydrogen was located in a difference map and refined isotropically. In Cu(**nbp**)₂ the benzyl substituent is disordered and was modeled in two orientations with equivalent populations.



Figure S2: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Hnbp.



Figure S3: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Cu(ppp)₂.



Figure S4: Ellipsoid plot (50% probability, H-atoms removed for clarity) of Cu(nbp)₂.

crystal data	Hnbp•CHCl ₃	
formula	C ₁₃ H ₁₃ NO ₂ .CHCl ₃	
fw	334.61	
crystal system,	monoclinic,	
space group	$P 2_1/c $ (#14)	
<i>a</i> (Å)	11.7981(13)	
b (Å)	10.8093(13)	
<i>c</i> (Å)	12.5786(15)	
α (deg)	90.0	
β (deg)	107.760(6)	
γ (deg)	90.0	
V [Å ³]	1527.7(3)	
$Z, D_{\text{calcd}} (\text{g/cm}^3)$	4, 1.455	
μ(Mo Kα), (cm ⁻¹)	5.99	
<i>F</i> ₀₀₀	688.00	
temp. (K)	173(2)	
refins collcd /	16 003/3665	
unique	10 005/5005	
	$(R_{int} = 0.025)$	
residuals (F^2 , all	$wR_{2} = 0.110$	
data)	$WK_2 = 0.110$	
residuals (F, I >	$R_{1} = 0.045$	
2σ(I))	$K_{1} = 0.045$	
crystal colour,	colourless, plate	
	· •	

Table S2: Selected crystal data for Hnbp pro-ligand.

crystal data	Cu(ppp) ₂ •	Cu(nbp) ₂ •
	2CHCl ₃	2CHCl ₃
formula	$C_{24}H_{20}N_2O_4Cu.2CHCl_3$	$C_{26}H_{24}N_2O_4Cu.2CHCl_3$
fw	702.70	730.75
crystal system,	orthorhombic, P bca	monoclinic, $P 2_1/n$
space group	(#61)	(#14)
<i>a</i> (Å)	11.5466(11)	6.3840(3)
b (Å)	11.6975(11)	21.0223(12)
c (Å)	20.9936(18)	11.7249(7)
a (deg)	90.0	90.0
β (deg)	90.0	96.787(2)
γ (deg)	90.0	90.0
V[Å ³]	2835.5(5)	1562.53(15)
$Z, D_{\text{calcd}} (\text{g/cm}^3)$	4, 1.646	2, 1.553
μ (Mo K α), (cm ⁻¹)	13.73	12.49
<i>F</i> ₀₀₀	1420.00	742.00
temp. (K)	173(2)	173(2)
refins collcd /	35 870/2780	13 115/3515
unique	33 81912189	15 415/5545
	$(R_{int} = 0.046)$	$(R_{int} = 0.033)$
residuals (F^2 , all	mD = 0.071	m P = 0.120
data)	$WK_2 = 0.0/1$	$WK_2 = 0.139$
residuals (F, I >	P = 0.029	P = 0.052
2σ(I))	$K_1 = 0.028$	K ₁ = 0.033
crystal colour, habit	green, prism	green, prism

Table S3: Selected crystal data for Cu(**ppp**)₂ and Cu(**nbp**)₂ complexes.

References:

- ¹ Z. Zhang, S. J. Rettig and C. Orvig, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 509-515.
- ² C. A. Barta, K. Sachs-Barrable, J. Jia, K. H. Thompson, K. M. Wasan and C. Orvig, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, **43**, 5019-5030.

³ H. Kitagawa, K. Kumura, S. Takahata, M. Iida and K. Atsumi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2006, **15**, 1106-1116.

⁴ R. Re, N. Pellegrini, A. Proteggente, A. Pannala, M. Yang and C. Rice-Evans, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 1999, **26**, 1231-1237.