## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

## Tuning the reactivity of chelated dinuclear Pt(II) complexes through a flexible diamine linker. A detailed kinetic and mechanistic study

Allen Mambanda,<sup>a</sup> Deogratius Jaganyi,<sup>a,\*</sup> Stephanie Hochreuther<sup>b</sup> and Rudi van Eldik<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Scottsville 3209, South Africa.
<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Egerlandstraße 1, 91058 Erlangen, Germany.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors

**Table SI 1**Summary of the wavelengths (nm) used for monitoring the reactions<br/>between a series of Pt(II) complexes with bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine<br/>chelates and thiourea nucleophiles.

Complex	nu	Wavelength ( $\lambda$ ), nm
En	tu dmtu tmtu I <sup>-</sup>	325 327 330 308
Ргор	tu dmtu tmtu I <sup>-</sup>	318 324 318
But	tu dmtu tmtu I <sup>-</sup>	315 325 327 310
Hex	tu dmtu tmtu I <sup>-</sup>	315 324 330 300
Oct	tu dmtu tmtu	312 324 327
Dec	tu dmtu tmtu	330 324 335
bpma	tu dmtu tmtu	276 276 300



Fig. SI 1 UV-visible spectra for the titration of Oct (0.1 mM) with NaOH, pH range 2-9, T = 298 K.



**Fig. SI 2a** A typical kinetic trace for the two-steps reaction between **bpma** (0.1 mM) and tu (3 mM) recorded at 276 nm, T = 298 K, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

Electronic Supplementary Information for Dalton Transactions This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010



**Fig. SI 2b** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral array of **Dec-Cl** (showing only the aromatic region) acquired during its reaction with three equivalents of thiourea (tu) in DMF-*d*7.



**Fig. SI 2c** Proposed<sup>14c</sup> mechanism of substitution of the aqua leaving groups in the clelated Pt(II) dinuclear complexes and **bpma**.

**Table SI 2a**. Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(1}^{st}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **Prop** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles							
tu ( $\lambda = 31$	8 nm)	dmtu (λ =	<b>dmtu</b> ( $\lambda = 324$ nm)		318 nm)		
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs, s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$		
0.001	1.091	9.996E-4	0.7499	0.001	0.3084		
0.002	2.104	0.002	1.47	0.002	0.6344		
0.003	3.051	0.003	2.201	0.003	0.918		
0.004	4.197	0.004	2.874	0.004	1.208		
0.005	5.135	0.005	3.633	0.005	1.522		

**Table SI 2b** Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(2)}^{nd}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Prop** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles							
tu		dn	ntu	tmtu			
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs, s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$		
0.001	0.0042	0.001	0.0064	0.001	0.0026		
0.002	0.0096	0.002	0.0107	0.002	0.0067		
0.003	0.0136	0.003	0.0156	0.003	0.0095		
0.004	0.0180	0.004	0.0208	0.004	0.0126		
		0.005	0.0260	0.005	0.0155		

**Table SI 2c**Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(1}^{st})$ ,  $s^{-1}$ , for the simultaneous displacement<br/>of the aqua ligands in **Oct** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles								
tu ( $\lambda = 1$	312 nm)	dmtu ( $\lambda =$	324 nm)	tmtu ( $\lambda =$	327 nm)			
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$			
0.001	0.559	0.00102	0.522	0.00100	0.191			
0.002	1.142	0.00204	1.064	0.00201	0.422			
0.003	1.741	0.00306	1.673	0.00301	0.629			
0.004	2.318	0.00408	2.195	0.00401	0.838			
0.005	2.829	0.00510	2.755	0.00501	1.020			

**Table SI 2d** Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(2)}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Oct** thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles							
t	u	dm	tu	tmt	u		
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$		
0.001	0.0065	0.00101	0.0051	0.00100	0.0026		
0.002	0.0123	0.00202	0.0112	0.00201	0.0055		
0.003	0.0184	0.00302	0.0162	0.00301	0.0084		
0.004	0.0249	0.00403	0.0221	0.00401	0.0109		
0.005	0.0315	0.00504	0.0267	0.00501	0.0139		

**Table SI 2e** Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(1)}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **En**, **Prop**, **But** and **Hex** by iodide, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

Eı	1	Prop		But		Hex	
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},{\rm s}^{-1}$
0.001	0.673	0.001	28.83	0.001	14.71	0.001	9.576
0.002	1.180	0.002	59.89	0.002	30.39	0.002	21.18
0.003	1.973	0.003	91.57	0.003	43.86	0.003	31.87
0.004	2.708	0.004	115.3	0.004	59.61	0.004	43.71
0.005	3.359	0.005	149.7	0.005	73.00	0.005	56.15

**Table SI 2f**Average observed rate constants,  $k_{obs(2}^{nd})$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement<br/>of the aqua igands in **En**, **Prop**, **But** and **Hex** by iodide, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K,<br/>I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

Eı	1	Prop		But		H	ex
Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc.,	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	Conc., M	$k_{\rm obs},  {\rm s}^{-1}$
				M			
0.001	0.556	0.001	5.039	0.001	7.250	0.001	7.199
0.002	1.180	0.002	8.726	0.002	11.99	0.002	14.09
0.003	1.973	0.003	13.23	0.003	18.87	0.003	19.03
0.004	2.708	0.004	18.18	0.004	23.81	0.004	26.47
0.005	3.359	0.005	22.83	0.005	29.29	0.005	33.50



**Fig. SI 3a** Concentration dependence of  $k_{obs(1)}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **Prop** thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



**Fig. SI 3b** Concentration dependence of  $k_{obs(2}^{nd})$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Prop** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



**Fig. SI 3c** Concentration dependence of  $k_{obs(1)}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **Oct** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



**Fig SI 3d** Concentration dependence of  $k_{obs(2)}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Oct** by thiourea nucleophiles, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



**Fig. SI 3e** Plots of concentration dependence of  $k_{obs(1}^{st}$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **En**, **Prop**, **But** and **Hex** by iodide, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



- **Fig. SI 3f** Plots of concentration dependence of  $k_{2(2}^{nd})$ , s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **En**, **Prop**, **But** and **Hex** by iodide, pH = 2.0, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.
- **Table SI 3a** Temperature dependence of  $k_{2(1}^{st}$ , M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **Prop** by thiourea nucleophiles ([nu] at 60-fold excess over [metal complex]), pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles								
t	u	dm	tu	tmt	u			
1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$			
		0.00325	1.353	0.00325	0.669			
0.0033	1.397	0.00330	1.164	0.00330	0.409			
0.00335	1.243	0.00335	0.904	0.00335	0.145			
0.00341	1.060	0.00341	0.672	0.00341	-0.108			
0.00347	0.899	0.00347	0.436	0.00347	-0.408			

**Table SI 3b** Temperature dependence of  $k_{2(2}{}^{nd}$ , M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Prop** by thiourea nucleophiles ([nu] at 60 fold excess of [metal complex]}, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles							
t	tu	dm	tu	tmt	u		
$1/T, K^{-1}$	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$		
				0.00325	-3.942		
0.0033	-3.778	0.0033	3.594	0.0033	-4.143		
0.00335	-3.919	0.00335	3.771	0.00335	-4.420		
0.00341	-4.072	0.00341	3.976	0.00341	-4.750		
0.00347	-4.204	0.00347	4.159	0.00347	-5.020		

**Table SI 3c** Temperature dependence of  $k_{2(1)}$ , M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands in **Oct** by thiourea nucleophiles ([nu] at 60-fold excess over [metal complex]), pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles						
t	u	dm	tu	tmt	u	
$1/T, K^{-1}$	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$	1/T, K <sup>-1</sup>	$\ln(k_2/T)$	
0.00325	1.099	0.00325	1.090	0.00325	0.074	
0.00330	0.883	0.00330	0.890	0.00330	-0.183	
0.00335	0.692	0.00335	0.711	0.00335	-0.505	
0.00341	0.482	0.00341	0.472	0.00341	-0.853	
0.00347	0.261	0.00347	0.237	0.00347	-1.175	

**Table SI 3d** Temperature dependence of  $k_{2(2}$ <sup>nd</sup>, M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in **Oct** by thiourea nucleophiles ([nu] at 60 fold excess of [metal complex]}, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {0.01 M CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.

nucleophiles							
t	u	dm	tu	tmt	tmtu		
$(1/T), K^{-1}$	$\ln(k_2/T)$	$(1/T), K^{-1}$	$\ln(k_2/T)$	$(1/T), K^{-1}$	$\ln(k_2/T)$		
0.00325	-3.495	0.00325	-3.514	0.00325	-4.096		
0.00330	-3.660	0.00330	-3.694	0.00330	-4.378		
0.00335	-3.804	0.00335	-3.874	0.00335	-4.671		
0.00341	-3.976	0.00341	-4.051	0.00341	-5.033		
0.00347	-4.166	0.00347	-4.267	0.00347	-5.354		



**Fig. SI 4a** Dependence of  $\ln k_{obs}$  (repeated twice) on pressure for the reaction between **Prop** and tu recorded at 320 nm for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands, ([tu] maintained at a 60-fold excess concentration over the complex) at 298 K, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}.



**Fig. SI 4b** Dependence of  $\ln k_{obs}$  (repeated twice) on pressure for the reaction between **Oct** and tu recorded at 320 nm for the simultaneous displacement of the aqua ligands, ([tu] maintained at a 60-fold excess concentration over the complex) at 298 K, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 M {CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, adjusted with Li(SO<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)}

Table SI 5Density functional theoretical (DFT)<sup>26</sup> minimum energy structures, HOMO and LUMO frontier molecular orbitals for Pen<br/>and Hep. The calculations were performed with the Spartan '04 for Windows quantum chemical package<sup>27</sup> using the<br/>B3LYP hybrid functional method<sup>28</sup> and the LACVP+\*\*<sup>29</sup> pseudo-potentials basis set.

Complex	Structure	НОМО Мар	LUMO Map
Pen			
Нер			