Supporting Information on

Sorption Speciation of Nickel(II) onto Ca-Montmorillonite: Batch, EXAFS

Techniques and Modeling

XiaoLi ${\rm Tan}^{\dagger *},$ Jun ${\rm Hu}^{\dagger},$ Gilles Montavon $^{\ddagger},$ XiangKe ${\rm Wang}^{\dagger *}$

Key Laboratory of Novel Thin Film Solar Cells, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, P.O. Box 1126, Hefei, 230031, P.R. China; and Laboratory SUBATECH, Groupe de

Radiochimie, UMR Ecole des Mines/CNRS/Université, 4 rue A. Kastler, BP 20722, 44307 Nantes

cedex 03, France

Xiaoli Tan: <u>tanxl@ipp.ac.cn</u>

Jun Hu: jhu@ipp.ac.cn

Gilles Montavon: montavon@subatech.in2p3.fr

Xiangke Wang: <u>xkwang@ipp.ac.cn</u>

*: Corresponding author. Tel: +86-551-5592788; Fax: +86-551-5591310. Email: tanxl@ipp.ac.cn

(X.L. Tan), <u>xkwang@ipp.ac.cn</u> (X.K. Wang). †: Institute of Plasma Physics; ‡: Laboratory

SUBATECH.

Figure Captions

Fig. SI-1 Acid-base titrations of Ca-montmorillonite (5.0 g/L) as a function of pH in 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂ at T = 20 °C (A) and at three different temperatures in 0.001 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂ (B). The lines are calculated using FITEQL 3.2.

Fig. SI-2 Sorption edges of Ni(II) at different ionic strengths. m/V = 0.5 g/L, $C_{\text{Ni(II)initial}} = 10$ mg/L, T

= 20 °C and I = 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂.

Fig. SI-3 XANES spectra of the reference and sorption samples at different pH (A) and temperature (B).

Fig. SI-4 Raw k^3 -weighted $\chi(k)$ spectra of experimental samples (A) and their corresponding pseudo radial distribution functions (RDFs) (B) at three different temperatures. m/V = 0.5 g/L, $C_{\text{Ni(II)initial}} = 10$ mg/L, pH = 7.5, T = 20, 40 and 60 °C, I = 0.001 mol/L.

Acid-base Titration of Ca-montmorillonite. Potentiometric titration of Ca-montmorillonite suspensions (5.0 g/L) were performed over a wide range of pH (3.2-10.6) to measure proton sorption/desorption as a function of pH and ionic strength (0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂) at 20 °C with a Mettler-Toledo DL 50 Automatic Titrator. Before the titration, the suspensions were titrated up to pH ~3 quickly with 1 mol/L HNO₃ and purged with argon gas for about 1 h. Then the titration was carried out from pH ~3 to pH ~10.5 by using 0.0911 mol/L NaOH.



Fig. SI-1 Acid-base titrations of Ca-montmorillonite (5.0 g/L) as a function of pH in 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂ at T = 20 °C (A) and at three different temperatures in 0.001 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂ (B). The lines are calculated using FITEQL 3.2.

Table SI-1 Surface site concentration and intrinsic surface complexation constants of Ca-

montmorillonite calculated by FITEQL 3.2. C_{Solid} = 5.0 g/L, BET= 64.4 $m^2/g,\ N_{X2Ca}$ = 110

mmol/100g, T = 20 °C.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ionic strength	Site	Density (mol/g)	Reaction	logK
$ = AIOH 3.11 \times 10^{4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 4.40 \\ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad 4.83 \\ = SiOH 2.43 \times 10^{4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad 4.92 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 2.46 \\ \\ \hline WSOS/DF \qquad 15.12 \\ 0.01 mol/L \\ = AIOH 2.96 \times 10^{4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 3.21 \\ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -5.86 \\ = SiOH 2.36 \times 10^{4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98 \\ \\ WSOS/DF \qquad 19.09 \\ 0.1 mol/L \\ = AIOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66 \\ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02 \\ = SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02 \\ = SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ WSOS/DF \qquad 10.00 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \iff X_{$	0.001mol/L				
$= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -4.83$ $= SiOH \qquad 2.43 \times 10^{4} \qquad = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -4.92$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 2.46$ WSOS/DF 15.12 $= AlOH \qquad 2.96 \times 10^{4} \qquad = AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 3.21$ $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -5.86$ $= SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^{4} \qquad = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98$ WSOS/DF 19.09 0.1mol/L $= AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{4} \qquad = AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66$ $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02$ $= SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{4} \qquad = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad -1.98$		≡AlOH	3.11×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv AlOH + H^+ \iff \equiv AlOH_2^+$	4.40
$ = SiOH 2.43 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} -4.92 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} 2.46 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} 3.21 $ $ = AIOH 2.96 \times 10^{-4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} 3.21 $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} -5.86 $ $ = SiOH 2.36 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} -1.99 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} 4.98 $ $ = AIOH 3.56 \times 10^{-4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} 2.66 $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} -7.02 $ $ = SiOH 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} -7.02 $ $ = SiOH 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} -1.98 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} 4.88 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} 4.88 $				$\equiv AlOH \iff \equiv AlO^- + H^+$	-4.83
$ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{-} + H^{+} $ $ = 5.86 $ $ = SiOH $ $ = SiOH $ $ = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} $ $ = -1.99 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH $ $ = AIOH_{2}^{-} + H^{+} $ $ = -7.02 $ $ = SiOH $		$\equiv SiOH$	2.43×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv SiOH \iff = SiO^- + H^+$	-4.92
0.01 mol/L $= AlOH \qquad 2.96 \times 10^4 \qquad = AlOH + H^+ \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_2^+ \qquad 3.21$ $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^- + H^+ \qquad -5.86$ $= SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^4 \qquad = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^- + H^+ \qquad -1.99$ $= X_2Ca + 2H^+ \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98$ $WSOS/DF \qquad 19.09$ 0.1 mol/L $= AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^4 \qquad = AlOH + H^+ \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_2^+ \qquad 2.66$ $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^- + H^+ \qquad -7.02$ $= SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^4 \qquad = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^- + H^+ \qquad -1.98$ $= X_2Ca + 2H^+ \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88$ $WSOS/DF \qquad 16.63$				$\equiv X_2 Ca + 2H^+ \iff = 2XH + Ca^{2+}$	2.46
$ = AIOH \qquad 2.96 \times 10^{-4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 3.21 \\ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -5.86 \\ = SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98 \\ \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98 \\ \\ = AIOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66 \\ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02 \\ = SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \circlearrowright = X_{2$	0.01 1/	WS	OS/DF		15.12
$ = AIOH \qquad 2.96 \times 10^{4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 3.21 $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -5.86 $ $ = SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98 $ $ WSOS/DF \qquad 19.09 $ $ 0.1 \text{mol/L} \qquad = AIOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} = AIOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AIOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66 $ $ = AIOH \Leftrightarrow = AIO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02 $ $ = SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98 $ $ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 $ $ WSOS/DF \qquad 16.63 $	0.01 mol/L				
$= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -5.86$ $\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99$ $\equiv X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \equiv 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98$ WSOS/DF 19.09 0.1mol/L $\equiv AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66$ $\equiv AlOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02$ $\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98$ $\equiv X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \equiv 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88$ WSOS/DF 16.63		≡AlOH	2.96×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv AlOH + H^+ \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlOH_2^+$	3.21
$ = SiOH \qquad 2.36 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.99 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.98 \\ WSOS/DF \qquad 19.09 \\ 0.1 mol/L \qquad = AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} = AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66 \\ = AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02 \\ = SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98 \\ = X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88 \\ WSOS/DF \qquad 16.63 $				$\equiv AlOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlO^- + H^+$	-5.86
$= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+}$ 4.98 WSOS/DF 0.1mol/L $= AlOH 3.56 \times 10^{-4} = AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_{2}^{+}$ 2.66 $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+}$ -7.02 $= SiOH 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+}$ -1.98 $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+}$ 4.88 WSOS/DF 16.63		≡SiOH	2.36×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv SiOH \iff = SiO^- + H^+$	-1.99
$WSOS/DF = AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = AlOH_{2}^{+} = 2.66$ $= AlOH \Leftrightarrow = AlO^{-} + H^{+} + -7.02$ $\equiv SiOH = 2.19 \times 10^{-4} = SiOH \Leftrightarrow = SiO^{-} + H^{+} + -1.98$ $= X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow = 2XH + Ca^{2+} + 4.88$ $WSOS/DF = 16.63$				$\equiv X_2 Ca + 2H^+ \iff = 2XH + Ca^{2+}$	4.98
0.1mol/L $\equiv AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv AlOH + H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlOH_{2}^{+} \qquad 2.66$ $\equiv AlOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -7.02$ $\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98$ $\equiv X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \equiv 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88$ WSOS/DF $= 16.63$		WSOS/DF			19.09
$\equiv AlOH \qquad 3.56 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv AlOH + H^+ \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlOH_2^+ \qquad 2.66$ $\equiv AlOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv AlO^- + H^+ \qquad -7.02$ $\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \Leftrightarrow \equiv SiO^- + H^+ \qquad -1.98$ $\equiv X_2Ca + 2H^+ \Leftrightarrow \equiv 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88$ WSOS/DF $= 16.63$	0.1mol/L		4		
$\equiv AlOH \iff = AlO^{-} + H^{+} -7.02$ $\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \iff = SiO^{-} + H^{+} -1.98$ $\equiv X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \iff = 2XH + Ca^{2+} -4.88$ WSOS/DF 16.63		≡AlOH	3.56×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv AlOH + H^+ \iff \equiv AlOH_2^+$	2.66
$\equiv SiOH \qquad 2.19 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \equiv SiOH \iff \equiv SiO^{-} + H^{+} \qquad -1.98$ $\equiv X_{2}Ca + 2H^{+} \iff \equiv 2XH + Ca^{2+} \qquad 4.88$ WSOS/DF $= 16.63$				$\equiv AlOH \iff = AlO^- + H^+$	-7.02
$\equiv X_2 Ca + 2H^+ \iff = 2XH + Ca^{2+} $ WSOS/DF 16.63		≡SiOH	2.19×10 ⁻⁴	$\equiv SiOH \iff \equiv SiO^- + H^+$	-1.98
WSOS/DF 16.63				$\equiv X_2 Ca + 2H^+ \iff = 2XH + Ca^{2+}$	4.88
10.05		WS	OS/DF		16.63



Fig. SI-2 Sorption edges of Ni(II) at different ionic strengths. m/V = 0.5 g/L, $C_{\text{Ni(II)initial}} = 10$ mg/L, T = 20 °C and I = 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L Ca(NO₃)₂.

From the ionic strength dependence, one can deduce that cation exchange is the main mechanism for Ni(II) sorption on Ca-montmorillonite at pH < 7, which is also supported by the very slow increase of Ni(II) sorption at this pH range. This is consistent with increased sorption on the permanent charge sites with decreasing Ca^{2+} concentration. The ionic strength-dependent sorption suggests that Ni²⁺ exchange reaction on permanent charge sites is the predominant sorption process at the lowest Na⁺ concentration. The results of Ni(II) sorption are similar to that found and described previously for Cu(II) sorption as a function of pH and Na⁺ concentrations.¹ It was reported that an increase in Na⁺ concentration results in a displacement of metal ions from the interlayer sites and a shift in metal ions sorption from the interlayer to the edge sites.

Sample Preparation for EXAFS Analysis. Ni(II) sorption samples were prepared by adding Ni^{2+} (in the form of Ni(NO₃)₂ solution) to freshly prepared Ca-montmorillonite suspensions. The initial Ni(II) concentration (10 mg/L) and the reaction pH were chosen to achieve high Ni(II) loading on Ca-montmorillonite while avoiding that the bulk solutions were undersaturated with respect to crystalline Ni(OH)₂(s).^{2,3} The samples were shaken end-over-end for 2 weeks. Samples

for EXAFS measurements were prepared from the residual wet pastes obtained after centrifugation of the suspensions. Samples preparation and equilibration steps were carried out in a glove box under N_2 atmosphere (CO₂ < 5 ppm, and O₂ < 5 ppm).



Fig. SI-3 XANES spectra of the reference and sorption samples at different pH (A) and temperature

(B).



Fig. SI-4 Raw k^3 -weighted $\chi(k)$ spectra of experimental samples (A) and their corresponding pseudo radial distribution functions (RDFs) (B) at three different temperatures. m/V = 0.5 g/L, $C_{\text{Ni(II)initial}} = 10$ mg/L, pH = 7.5, T = 20, 40 and 60 °C, I = 0.001 mol/L.

Literature Cited

- Morton, J.D.; Semrau, J.D.; Hayes, K.F. An X-ray absorption spectroscopy study of the structure and reversibility of copper adsorbed to montmorillonite clay. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2001, 65, 2709-2722.
- 2 Dähn, R.; Scheidegger, A.M.; Manceau, A.; Schlegel, M.L.; Baeyens, B.; Bradbury, M.H.; Chateigner, D. Structural evidence for the sorption of Ni(II) atoms on the edges of montmorillonite clay minerals: a polarized X-ray absorption fine structure study. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2003, 67, 1-15.
- 3 Dähn, R.; Scheidegger, A.M.; Manceau, A.; Schlegel, M.L.; Baeyens, B.; Bradbury, M.H.; Morales, M. Neoformation of Ni phyllosilicate upon Ni uptake on montmorillonite: a kinetics study by powder and polarized extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy. *Geochim.*

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011

Cosmochim. Acta 2002, 66, 2335-2347.