Supporting Information for:

Spectroscopic and Electrochemical Sensing of Lanthanides with  $\pi$ -Extended Chromophores Incorporating Ferrocenes and a Coordinative End

Carmine Coluccini,<sup>a</sup> Arvind K. Sharma,<sup>a</sup> Daniele Merli,<sup>a</sup> Douglas Vander Griend,<sup>b</sup> Barbara Mannucci<sup>c</sup> and Dario Pasini\*<sup>a,d</sup>

a) Department of Chemistry, University of Pavia, Viale Taramelli, 10–27100 Pavia–Italy.

b) Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, Calvin College, Grand Rapids, MI 49546-4403-

USA.

c) Centro Grandi Strumenti, University of Pavia, Via Bassi, 21–27100 Pavia–Italy.

d) INSTM Research Unit, University of Pavia.

Email: dario.pasini@unipv.it. Website: www.unipv.it/labt

Pages S1-S3
Page S4
Pages S5-S13
Pages S14-S24
Page S25

Compound 1. This compound was prepared following the synthetic steps reported in Scheme

S1. We substantially modified previous procedures[S1].





**4-(diethoxymethyl)benzylalcohol B**. NaBH<sub>4</sub> (625 mg, 16.4 mmol) was added to a solution of 4-(diethoxymethyl)benzaldehyde (1 ml, 1.043 g, 5 mmol) in MeOH (42 mL) at 0°C. After stirring at room temperature for 15 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the solution was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O, extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) to give the title compound, which was used without further purification, in quantitative yield (1.057 g). **4-bromomethylbenzaldehyde C**. HBr (10 L, 13.2M in H<sub>2</sub>O) were added to a solution of compound B (1.183 g) in toluene (20 mL). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 4h. After cooling at room temperature, the mixture was poured into a mixture of water/ice, extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) to yield the title compound, which was used without further purification, in quantitative yield (1.518 g).[S2] **4-(diethoxyphosphorylmethyl)benzaldehyde D**. A solution of compound C (1.3 g, 7 mmol) in 3 mL of triethylphosphite was stirred at 120°C for 15 h. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>; 1/1 hexane/ethyl acetate), to give the title compound as a colorless oil in quantitative yield. [S3]. 4-(diethoxyphosphorylmethyl)benzyl bromide 1. A catalytic amount of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl was added to а solution of 4-(diethoxyphosphorylmethyl)benzaldehyde (1.8 g, 7 mmol) and triethylortoformiate (3.5 mL) in MeOH (3.2 mL). The homogeneous mixture was stirred at reflux for 15 h. After cooling at room temperature, an acqueous solution of NaHCO3 was added. The mixture solution was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O and the organic phase was separated and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), to yield the title compound (1.5 g, 69%) as a colorless oil. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum matched the one previously reported in the literature.[S1a]

Table S1. Selected	<sup>1</sup> H NMR	chemical	shifts for	molecula	ar modules	6-8 (300	) MHz,	CDCl <sub>3</sub> ).	a
--------------------	--------------------	----------	------------	----------	------------	----------	--------	----------------------	---

	E' E' G G F		E			ОМе Ие
Entry	Compound	A	B,B',C,C'	D,E,D',E'( <i>J</i> )	F, G	COOMe
1	6	7.65	b	b	4.47,4.22	3.91, 3.82
2	7	7.77	7.45, 7.41	7.00, 6.70 (16 Hz)	4.42,4.16	3.90, 3.87
3	8	7.78	7.56-7.43	7.20 (D), 7.10 (E), 6.94 (D'), 6.72 (E') (16 Hz)	4.41,4.16	3.90, 3.88

a) Concentrations were in the range 5-10 mM (300 MHz). b) Not applicable.



Figure S1. Modeled curves for 1:1 complexes between ligands 6-8 and Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub> or Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub>

**Figure S2.** Compound **6** (0.000052 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0-12.4 equivalents of Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 21 solutions analyzed.



#### Optimization Summary:

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with optimization (not truncation). Activity Coefficients Model: None. Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None. Solutions ignored: None. Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^{\circ} = -18$  (unrefined) Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (2 chemical factors): 0.0017696 Unrestricted RMS Residual (2 mathematical factors): 0.00080047 Restricted Data Reconstruction (2 chemical factors): 99.0099% Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (2 mathematical factors): 99.0268% Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00057414 R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9888% **Figure S3.** Compound **6** (0.000052 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0-11.2 equivalents of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 15 + 1 solutions analyzed. Error analysis performed by re-optimizing the data 40 times with a random subset of half the wavelengths ignored.



Optimization Summary:

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with optimization (not truncation).

Activity Coefficients Model: None.

Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None.

Solutions ignored: 16 17

Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^\circ = -16.95(2)$ 

Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (3 chemical factors): 0.0012807

Unrestricted RMS Residual (3 mathematical factors): 0.00026994

Restricted Data Reconstruction (3 chemical factors): 99.5656%

Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (3 mathematical factors): 99.5784%

Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00061524

R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9944%

**Figure S4.** Compound **7** (0.00018 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0-6.1 equivalents of Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 11 solutions analyzed. Error analysis performed by re-optimizing the data 40 times with a random subset of half the wavelengths ignored.



Optimization Summary:

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with optimization (not truncation). Activity Coefficients Model: None. Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None. Solutions ignored: 12 13 14 15 Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^{\circ} = -18.36(1)$ Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (2 chemical factors): 0.0011786 Unrestricted RMS Residual (2 mathematical factors): 0.00042713 Restricted Data Reconstruction (2 chemical factors): 99.725% Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (2 mathematical factors): 99.7332% Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00055559 R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9983% **Figure S5.** Compound 7 (0.00018 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0-4.4 equivalents of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 9 solutions analyzed. Error analysis performed by re-optimizing the data 40 times with a random subset of half the wavelengths ignored.



**Optimization Summary:** 

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with optimization (not truncation). Activity Coefficients Model: None. Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None. Solutions ignored: None. Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^{\circ} = -13.47(3)$ Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (2 chemical factors): 0.0018282 Unrestricted RMS Residual (2 mathematical factors): 0.00044817 Restricted Data Reconstruction (2 chemical factors): 99.7223% Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (2 mathematical factors): 99.7575% Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00097722 R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9958% **Figure S6.** Compound **8** (0.00055 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0-13.1 equivalents of Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 18 solutions analyzed. Error analysis performed by re-optimizing the data 40 times with a random subset of half the wavelengths ignored.



**Optimization Summary:** 

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with truncation (not optimization).

Activity Coefficients Model: None.

Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None.

Solutions ignored: None.

Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^\circ = -14.4(3)$ 

Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (2 chemical factors): 0.0025219

Unrestricted RMS Residual (2 mathematical factors): 0.00096122

Restricted Data Reconstruction (2 chemical factors): 99.3987%

Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (2 mathematical factors): 99.4798%

Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00089162

R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9927%

**Figure S7.** Compound **8** (0.000054 M in MeCN) is titrated with 0.35-10.8 equivalents of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub>; 20 solutions analyzed. Error analysis performed by re-optimizing the data 40 times with a random subset of half the wavelengths ignored.



**Optimization Summary:** 

Data at 298 K

Non-negativity was enforced with truncation (not optimization).

Activity Coefficients Model: None.

Species with Fixed Molar Absorptivity Curves: None.

Solutions ignored: 1

Optimized Values (kJ/mol):  $\Delta G_1^{\circ} = -17.70(2)$ 

Equilibrium Restricted RMS Residual (3 chemical factors): 0.0011951

Unrestricted RMS Residual (3 mathematical factors): 0.00038278

Restricted Data Reconstruction (3 chemical factors): 99.6488%

Unrestricted Data Reconstruction (3 mathematical factors): 99.6994%

Remaining Error Imbedded in Absorbance Values: 0.00050203 R<sup>2</sup>: 99.9985% •

**Figure S8.** Titration of compound **6** (0.0105 M in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) with  $Sc(OTf)_3$ : A) 0 equivalents; B) 0.6 equivalents; C) 0.8 equivalents. As in Figure 3 but with complete NMR spectra



**Figure S9.** Titration of ligand 7 ( $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  M in CD<sub>3</sub>CN) with Sc(OTf)<sub>3</sub>: A) 0 equivalents; B) 0.3 equivalents; C) 1.5 equivalents. As in Figure 4 but with complete NMR spectra.



Copies of NMR and mass spectra.

### Compound 2.







# Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is O The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



## Compound 5.





## Compound 6.



# Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is O The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011



20

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2011

Compound 7.





Compound 8.





24

#### References

[S1] a) E. Sugiomo, T. Meltzroth, H. Detert, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2001, *343*, 351-359. G. D.
Batema, K. T. L. Van de Westelaken, J. Guerra, M. Lutz, A. I. Spek, C. A. Van Walree, C. De
Mello Donegá, A. Meijerink, G. P. M. Van Klink, G. Van Koten, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 1422-1435.

[S2] L. Wen, M. Li, J. B. Schlenoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7726.

[S3] C. R. Mayer, M. Hervé, H. Lavanant, F. Sécheresse, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 7805-7807.