Supporting Information

Brominated Boron Dipyrrins: Synthesis, Structure, Spectral and Electrochemical Properties

V. Lakshmi and M. Ravikanth*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India.

Figure 1: ¹ H NMR spetrum of compound 8.	S1
Figure 2: ¹ H- ¹ H correlation spectrum of compound 8 .	S1
Figure 3: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 1.	S2
Figure 4: ¹ H NMR spectrum of compound 1.	S2
Figure 5: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 1.	S3
Figure 6: MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for compound 2.	S4
Figure 7: ¹ H-NMR spectrum of compound 2.	S4
Figure 8: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 2.	S5
Figure 9: HR-MS mass spectrum of compound 3.	S6
Figure 10: ¹ H-NMR spectrum of compound 3 .	S6
Figure 11: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 3 .	S7
Figure 12: ¹ H- ¹ H correlation spectrum of compound 3 .	S7
Figure 13: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 4.	S8
Figure 14: ¹ H NMR spectrum of compound 4.	S8
Figure 15: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 4.	S9
Figure 16: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 5.	S10
Figure 17: ¹ H NMR spectrum of compound 5.	S10
Figure 18: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 5.	S11
Figure 19: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 6.	S12
Figure 20: ¹ H NMR spectrum of compound 6.	S12
Figure 21: ¹³ C NMR spectrum of compound 6.	S13
Figure 22: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluoresc	ence
(1, 1) = (701.

Figure 22: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of 1 recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1x10⁻⁶ M. S14

Figure 23: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of 2 recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.

Figure 24: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of **3** recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.

Figure 25: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of 4 recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.

Figure 26: Comparison of absorption spectra of 5 recorded in five different solvents.The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.\$18

Figure 27: Comparison of absorption spectra of 6 recorded in five different solvents.The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.S18

Figure 28: Compounds 1-6 in solution state under UV lamp.S19

Figure 29: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 2. S20

Figure 30: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 4. S21

Figure 31: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 5. S22



Figure 1: ¹H NMR spetrum of compound 8 recorded in CDCl_{3.}



Figure 2: ¹H-¹H correlation spectrum of comppound 8 recorded in CDCl_{3.}



Figure 3: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 1.



Figure 4: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **1** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).



Figure 5: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **1** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).



Figure 6: MALDI-TOF mass spectrum for compound 2.



Figure 7: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm)...



Figure 8: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **2** recorded in $CDCl_3$ (δ in ppm).



Figure 9: HR-MS mass spectrum of compound 3.



Figure 10: ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **3** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).



Figure 11: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **3** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).



Figure 12: ¹H-¹H correlation spectrum of compound 3 recorded in CDCl₃(δ in ppm).



Figure 13: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 4.



Figure 14: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 4 recorded in $CDCl_3(\delta \text{ in ppm})$.



Figure 15: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **4** recorded in CDCl₃(δ in ppm).

Elemental Composition		Page 1					
Single Mass Analysis (displaying only valid results) Tolerance = 10.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Isotope cluster parameters: Separation = 1.0 Abundance = 1.0%							
Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron lons 134 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)							
Micromass : Q-Tof micro (YA-105)	De	pt. Of Chemist	ry I.I.T.(B)	24-N	lay-201110:24:15		
C16H8BBr5F2N2O MRK-VL-5BR 25 (0.250) AM (Top,5, 100 594.2217 670.6687 670.670.670 670.670.670 670.670.670.670.670.670.670.670.670.670.	Ht,5000.0,556.28,1.00); S 4.6562 714.6520 718.6391 718.6391 680 700 720 740	m (Mn, 2x4.00) .6115 .790 .760 780); Sb (5,40.00); (85 1,1254 ************************************	Cm (1:85) 53.4529 870.4574 884.4675 924.5541 840 860 880 900 920 5	TOF MS ES+ 140 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
Minimum: Maximum:	200.0 10.0	-1.5 50.0					
Mass Calc. Mass	mDa PPM	DBE	Score	Formula			
668. 66 57 668.6631	2.7 4.0	11.5	1	C16 H8 B N2 O F I	Br5		

Figure 16: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 5.



Figure 17: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 5 recorded in $CDCl_3(\delta \text{ in ppm})$.



Figure 18: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **5** recorded in CDCl₃ (δ in ppm).



Figure 19: HRMS mass spectrum of compound 6.



Figure 20: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **6** recorded in $CDCl_3$ (δ in ppm).



Figure 21: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **6** recorded in $CDCl_3$ (δ in ppm).



Figure 22: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of **1** recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} .



Figure 23: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of **2** recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1x10⁻⁶ M.



Figure 24: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of **3** recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1x10⁻⁶ M.



Figure 25: (a) Comparison of absorption spectra (b) Comparison of fluorescence emission spectra (λ_{ex} = 488 nm) of 4 recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1x10⁻⁶ M.



Figure 26: Comparison of absorption spectra of 5 recorded in five different solvents.

The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.



Figure 27: Comparison of absorption spectra of **6** recorded in five different solvents. The concentration used was 1×10^{-6} M.



Figure 28: Compounds 1-6 in solution state under UV lamp.



Figure 29: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 2.



Figure 30: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 4.



Figure 31: ORTEP diagram for the intermolecular interactions in compound 5.