# A water soluble and air-stable tripalladium cluster.

Fu-Ming Yang, Po-Yuan Chen, Chun-Chin Lee, Yi-Hong Liu, Shie-Ming Peng, Pi-Tai Chou and Shiuh-Tzung Liu\*

## **Supporting information**

### **Experimental Section**

*General information.* All reactions and manipulations steps were performed under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled under nitrogen from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Dichloromethane and acetonitrile were dried over  $CaH_2$  and distilled under nitrogen. Other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and were used after degassed process. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Shimatzu PC 2100 spectrometer. Ligand **bpnp** was prepared according to the reported method.<sup>1</sup>

**Complex 1.** A mixture of **bpnp** (239, 0.84 mmol),  $PdCl_2(PhCN)_2$  (400 mg, 0.90 mmol) and  $Pd_2(dba)_3$  (390 mg, 0.43 mmol) in dichloromethane was heated to reflux for 8 h under nitrogen atmosphere. Upon cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered to collect dark solid, which was extracted with hot methaol. The methanol extract was concentrated to yield dark red solid (273 mg, 63 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR(400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  7.50 (m, 2H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (m, 2H), 8.75 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.92 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 9.18 (m, 2H); UV-Vis (MeOH):  $\lambda$ max (log  $\varepsilon$  [dm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>]) = 248 (4.46), 265 (4.42), 290 (3.56), 302 (4.30), 335 (4.30), 360 (4.34), 467 (3.37). ESI-MS for [M-Cl]<sup>+</sup>: Calcd. *m*/*z* = 990.83, found *m*/*z* = 991.02; Conductivity (2.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M in CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 25°C) : 169 (ohm<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>2</sup>mole<sup>-1</sup>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>8</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>:C, 41.99; H, 2.35; N, 10.88. Found: C, 42.34; H, 2.62; N, 10.51.

[{ $Pd_3(bpnp)_2Cl_2$ }(CoCl\_4)]. A mixture of 1 (10 mg, 0.01 mmol) and CoCl\_2 (3 mg, 0.01 mmol) in metahnol (1 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After removal of solvent, the residue was washed with acetone (1 mL x 2) and recrystallized from methano/ethanol to yield the desired complex as brown crystalline solid (11 mg, 95 %):<sup>1</sup>H NMR(400 MHz, D\_2O) :  $\delta$  6.99(s,1H), 7.27(s,1H),

7.55(s,1H), 7.70(s,1H), 7.91(s,1H), 8.14(s,2H), 8.49(s,2H), 8.77(s,1H), 9.17(s,2H); UV-Vis (H<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{max}(\log \epsilon [dm^3mol^{-1}cm^{-1}]) = 248$  (4.51), 265 (4.37), 290 (3.53), 302 (4.28), 335 (4.32), 360 (4.36), 481 (3.63). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>: C, 39.29; H, 2.09; N, 9.66. Found: C, 38.93; H, 2.63; N, 9.49.

 $[Pd_3(bpnp)_2Cl_2](PF_6)_2$  (2). The procedure is similar to that for the preparation of  $[\{Pd_3(bpnp)_2Cl_2\}(CoCl_4)]$  except NaPF<sub>6</sub> was used. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, d<sub>6</sub>-acetone)  $\delta$  9.33-9.30 (m, 4H), 9.20 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 9.07 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.99 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 8.93 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 8.88 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.46 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8. 39 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.32 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (m, 2H), 7.68 (m, 2H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub>N<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O): C, 34.13; H, 2.07; N, 8.85. Found: C, 33.97; H, 1.87; N, 8.35.

[{**Pd**<sub>3</sub>(**bpnp**)<sub>2</sub>(**OH**)<sub>2</sub>}(**PF**<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. A solution of **1** (10 mg, 0.01 mmol) in basic aqueous solution (pH = 12) was stirred at room temperature for 7 days, and then NaPF<sub>6</sub> (7 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added with stirring for 3 h. After removal of solvent, the residue was washed with acetone (1 mL x 2) and re-crystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/methanol to yield the desired complex as a brown crystalline solid (11 mg, 88%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  9.18 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 9.16 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 9.01 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.87 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.76 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.70 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.70 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.43 (t, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.33 (t, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.20 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 8.03 (d, 2H, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 7.87 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.0, 8.0 Hz, *py*), 7.64 (dd, 1H, *J* = 4.0, 8.0 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>26</sub>F<sub>12</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>: C, 34.65; H, 2.42; F, 18.27; N, 8.98. Found: C, 34.21; H, 2.47; N, 9.13.

#### Crystallography.

Crystals suitable for X-ray determination were obtained for **1** and  $[{Pd_3(bpnp)_2Cl_2}(CoCl_4)]$  by recrystallization at room temperature. Cell parameters were determined either by a Oxford Diffraction Gemini A diffractometer. The structure was solved using the SHELXS-97 program<sup>2</sup> and refined using the SHELXL-97 program<sup>3</sup> by full-matrix least-squares on F2 values. Crystal data of  $[{Pd_3(bpnp)_2Cl_2}(CoCl_4)]$ . C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub>'(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub>'(CH<sub>3</sub>OH), Mw = 1159.46, Orthorhombic; space group Pbca; a = 19.4036(3), b = 18.7413(2), c = 23.7872(3) Å;  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ; V = 8650.2(2) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z = 8;  $\rho_{calcd.} = 1.781 \text{ Mgm}^{-3}$ ; *F*(000) 4504; Crystal size 0.20 x 0.20 x 0.15 mm<sup>3</sup>, reflections collected: 53323; independent reflections: 9916 [R(int) = 0.0326];  $\theta$  range 3.14 to 27.50°; goodness-of-fit on *F*<sup>2</sup>0.915; final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ (I)]: R1 = 0.0241, wR2 = 0.0654; R indices (all data): R1 = 0.0361, wR2 = 0.0677.



**Figure S1** ORTEP plot of [{Pd<sub>3</sub>(**bpnp**)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>}(CoCl<sub>4</sub>)] at the 50% probability level.

## Theoretical calculation.

The calculation is performed with the Gaussian 09 program package.<sup>4</sup> The ground state geometry optimization is using density functional theory (DFT) at B3LYP/LANL2DZ (Pd) and 6-31G\* (H, C, N, Cl) level. We use dimethylformamide (DMF) as solvent. The solvation effect is based on conductor-like polarizable continuum model (C-PCM), as was implemented in the Gaussian 09 program. We then adopt the Mulliken population analysis that provides a method of estimating partial atomic charges based on the linear combination of atomic orbital (LCAO).<sup>5</sup> The calculated HOMO and LUMO for complex **1** are shown in Table S1.





### **References:**

- 1. Lu, W. B.; Zhang, L. H.; Ye, X. S.; Su, J. C.; Yu, Z. X. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 1806.
- 2. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-90, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 1990, 46, 467.
- 3. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09*, Revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 5. Mulliken, R. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1955, 23, 1833.