Supporting Information

Reduction of carbon monoxide by a tetrakis(aryloxide) diniobium having four bridging hydrides

Takashi Kurogi, Yutaka Ishida, Tsubasa Hatanaka and Hiroyuki Kawaguchi Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan.

E-mail: hkawa@chem.titech.ac.jp

Contents

Experimenral Section General procedure Synthesis of (ArO)₂NbCl₂ (1) Synthesis of [(ArO)₂Nb]₂(µ-H)₄ (2) Monitoring the reaction of 2 with ¹³CO by NMR spectroscopy Synthesis of [(ArO)₂Nb][(^{Ad}ArO)Nb(O)][µ-C=C(H)-ArO](µ-O) (3) Synthesis of [(ArO)₂Nb]₂(µ-CH₂)(µ-O) (4) X-ray crystallographic analysis Table S1 Crystallographic data for 1, 2, 3 and 4. Fig. S1 Molecular structure of 1.

Experimental Section

General procedure

All manipulations were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques or in a glove-box under argon atmosphere. Anhydrous hexane, pentane and toluene were dried by passage through two columns of activated alumina and a Q-5 column, while anhydrous THF was dried by passage through two columns of activated alumina. Anhydrous benzene and deuterated benzene (benzene d_6) were dried and degassed over a potassium mirror prior to use. Deuterated toluene (toluene- d_8) was distilled from calcium hydride prior to use. The lithium salt of 2,6-diadamantyl-*p*-cresol (ArOH) and NbCl₄(thf)₂ were prepared by the literature procedure.^{1,2} ⁻¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL ECX-400 spectrometer. All spectra were referenced to residual protiosolvent (¹H, C₆D₅H in C₆D₆, ¹H (δ) = 7.15; C₇D₇H in toluene- d_8 , ¹H (δ) = 2.08, 6.97, 7.01, 7.09), solvent (¹³C). Infrared samples were recorded between KBr Plates using a JASCO FT/IR-410 spectrometer. Elemental analyses (C and H) were carried out on an Elementar VarioMicroCube. Solid-state magnetic susceptibilities were measured on a Sherwood Scientific MSB-AUTO at ambient temperature. Corrections were applied for diamagnetism calculated for Pascal constants.

Synthesis of (ArO)₂NbCl₂ (1)

A solid of NbCl₄(thf)₂ (572 mg, 1.51 mmol) was added in portions to a stirring solution of ArOLi(thf)₂ (1.59 g, 3.02 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at room temperature, giving a yellow-brown slurry. The mixture was stirred for 18 h, during which time the solution became green in color and homogeneous. After removal of volatiles under vacuum, the green residue was extracted with toluene (100 mL) and centrifuged to remove an insoluble material. The green supernatant was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was washed with hexane to afford an olive green powder of **1** (834 mg, 912 μ mol, 60%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ -0.84 (br), 1.87 (br), 2.58 (br), 4.73 (br). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₇₀Cl₂O₂Nb₂: C, 70.89; H, 7.71. Found: C, 70.92; H, 8.01. $\mu_{eff} = 1.75 \ \mu_{B}$.

Synthesis of $[(^{Ad}ArO)_2Nb]_2(\mu-H)_4$ (2)

A THF solution of KBHEt₃ (1.0 M, 2.35 mL, 2.35 mmol) was added dropwise to **1** (1.01 g, 1.10 mmol) in THF (30 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and

the color of the mixture gradually changed from green to yellow-brown. After stirring for additional 18 h at room temperature, all volatiles were removed in vacuo to leave a yellow-brown solid. The residue was extracted with toluene (10 mL) and centrifuged to remove an insoluble material. Evaporation of toluene and washing the resulting solid with hexane afforded a yellow-brown powder of **2** (763 mg, 451 μ mol, 82%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 1.63 (br, 24H, Ad), 1.76 (br, 24H, Ad), 1.99 (br, 24H, Ad), 2.18 (br, 6H, Ad), 2.21 (br, 6H, Ad), 2.32 (s, 12H, *p*Me), 2.36 (br, 48H, Ad), 7.00 (s, 4H, *m*H), 7.02 (s, 4H, *m*H), 9.16 (br, 4H, NbH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 21.0, 22.7 (*p*Me), 29.2, 29.6, 36.7, 37.2, 39.0 - 44.0 (Ad), 127.0 - 129.7, 137.1, 139.9, 161.3 (Ar). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₈H₁₄₄O₄Nb₂: C, 76.66; H, 8.58. Found: C, 76.75; H, 8.77.

The reaction of 2 with ¹³CO monitored by NMR spectroscopy

A J. Young valve NMR tube was charged with 2 (35 mg, 21 μ mol) and toluene- d_8 (0.5 mL). The headspace was evacuated and an atmosphere of ¹³CO (1 atm) was introduced. The mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature. After 1 h, the mixture turned from yellow-brown to red-brown along with a brown precipitate, and its NMR spectra displayed formation of [(ArO)₂Nb][(ArO)Nb(O)][μ -C=C(H)-ArO](μ -O) (3) and [(ArO)₂Nb]₂(μ -CH₂)(μ -O) (4). After 24h, the resonances due to 4 disappeared, and 3 was observed as a sole product.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, toluene- d_8 , selected data): δ 5.10 (d, ¹ $J_{CH} = 120$ Hz, NbCH₂ of **4**), 9.18 (d, ¹ $J_{CH} = 156$ Hz, C=C(H)-Ar of **3**). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, toluene- d_8 , selected data): δ 159.5 (br, NbCH₂ of **4**), 157.6 (d, ¹ $J_{CC} = 54$ Hz, C=C(H)-Ar of **3**), 281.9 (br d, C=C(H)-Ar of **3**). Selected ¹H, ¹³C HMQC NMR chemical shifts (400 MHz, THF- d_8 , -30 °C): δ ¹H (δ ¹³C) 5.10 (159.5), 9.18 (157.6).

Synthesis of [(ArO)₂Nb][(ArO)Nb(O)][µ-C=C(H)-ArO](µ-O) (3)

A 50 mL flask was charged with 4 (120 mg, 69.8 μ mol) and toluene (20 mL). The headspace was evacuated, and an atmosphere of CO (1 atm) was introduced. The brown suspension was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, producing a homogeneous red-wine solution. The reaction mixure was evaporated to dryness, extracted with pentane (5 mL), and then filtered with a PTFE

membrane filter (0.45 μ m). Upon standing the filtrate at -30° C for 24 h, a red-brown powder of 3 precipitated (57.7 mg, 35.8 μ mol, 52%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, toluene- d_8): δ 1.33 (br, 3H, Ad), 1.40 (br, 3H, Ad), 1.51 (br, 3H, Ad), 1.61, 1.71, 1.78, 2.01, 2.08, 2.27, 2.34 (overlapped, *p*Me, Ad and toluene- d_8), 2.67 (br, 3H, Ad), 2.72 (br, 3H, Ad), 6.88, 6.97, 7.01, 7.09, 7.16, 7.22 (overlapped, *m*H and toluene- d_8), 9.18 (s, 1H, *m*H), 7.08 (s, 2H, *m*H), 7.19 (s, 1H, C=C(*H*)-Ar). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, toluene- d_8): δ 19.8 – 20.9 (*p*Me and toluene- d_8), 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 30.2, 32.0, 37.1, 37.4, 39.0, 41.8, 42.1, 43.2, 43.9 (Ad), 124.9 – 129.1 (Ar and toluene- d_8). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₀H₁₂₆O₆Nb₂: C, 74.61; H, 7.89. found: C, 74.61; H, 7.80. IR (KBr) / cm⁻¹; 748 (Nb-O-Nb), 943 (Nb=O).

Synthesis of $[(ArO)_2Nb]_2(\mu$ -CH₂)(μ -O) (4)

A 50 mL flask was charged with 2 (350 mg, 207 μ mol) and benzene (20 mL). The headspace was evacuated, and an atmosphere of CO (1 atm) was introduced. The yellow-brown solution was stirred at room temperature for 1h, during which time a brown precipitate formed. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with hexane to give **4** as a red-brown powder (197 mg, 115 μ mol, 56%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 1.22 (br, 6H, Ad), 1.53, 1.71, 1.76, 1.81, 1.87, 1.90, 1.94, 1.98, 2.08, 2.14, 2.20, 2.24, 2.30, 2.32, 2.33, 2.36 (overlapped, *p*Me and Ad), 2.91 (br, 6H, Ad), 2.94 (br, 6H, Ad), 2.97 (br, 3H, Ad), 3.00 (br, 3H, Ad), 3.11 (br, 3H, Ad), 3.13 (br, 3H, Ad), 5.10 (s, 2H, NbCH₂), 6.96 (s, 2H, *m*H), 7.02 (s, 2H, *m*H), 7.08 (s, 2H, *m*H), 7.19 (s, 2H, *m*H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₉H₁₄₂O₅Nb₂: C, 76.20; H, 8.33. found: C, 76.44; H, 8.18. Solubility constraints prevent us from aquiring the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **4**. IR (KBr) / cm⁻¹; 674 (Nb-O-Nb-O).

X-ray crystallographic analysis

Crystallographic data for 1, 2, 3 and 4 are summarized Table S1. Single crystals of 1, 2, 3 and 4 were obtained from THF/hexane, benzene/pentane, benzene/hexane and toluene, respectively. Crystals of these complexes were immersed in mineral oil on nylon loop and transferred to a Rigaku Saturn CCD system for 2 and 4, and to a Rigaku Mercury CCD system for 1 and 3 equipped with a Rigaku GNNP low-temperature device. Data were collected under cold nitrogen stream (123 K) using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å). Equivalent reflections were

merged, and the images were processed with the CrystalClear (Rigaku) Program. Corrections for Lorentz-polarization effects and absorption were performed.

All calculations were performed using SHELXS³ and SHELXL⁴. The structures were solved by Patterson and Fourier transform methods. For 1, three sites occupied by THF were identified in the asymmetric unit. Two of these sites were fully occupied by 1.5 THF molecules. The other site contains considerably disordered THF molecules and was treated by SQUEEZE as a diffuse contribution.^{5,6} In the void space, a contribution of 52 e⁻ per unit cell was found and taken to represent 0.5 THF molecules for each Nb complex, giving a total of 2 THF in the asymmetric unit. For 2, three of adamantly groups were rotationally disordered with typical fashion and one of benzene molecule was fixed with a rigid group model. For 4, niobium centers were disordered over two positions and one of adamantly group was rotationally disordered with typical fashion. Six sites occupied by toluene were identified in the asymmetric unit. Two of these sites were fully occupied by two toluene molecules. The other sites contain considerably disordered toluene molecules and were treated by SQUEEZE again. In the void space, a contribution of 288 e⁻ per unit cell was found and taken to represent 1.5 toluene molecules for each Nb₂ complex, giving a total of 3.5 toluene in the asymmetric unit. All non-hydrogen atoms are refined anisotropically, with exception of disordered atoms that were refined isotropically. All carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. In the case of metal-bound hydrides and the proton of the vinyl unit, the positions of these atoms were found in the Fourier map.

These results were checked using the IUCR's CheckCIF routine. The alerts in the output are related to the disorder of adamantly groups and crystal solvents. The large values of the second parameter on the SHELXL weighting are due to the poor quality of crystals.

References

- (1) T. Watanabe, Y. Ishida, T. Matsuo and H. Kawaguchi, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 484-491.
- (2) L. E. Manzer, Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 525-528.
- (3) SHELXS-97, G. M. Sheldrick, Program for Crystal Structure Determination; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (1997).

- (4) SHELXL-97, G. M. Sheldrick, Program for Crystal Structure Determination; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- (5) A. L. Spek, Acta Cryst. 2009, D65, 148-155.
- (6) P. van der Sluis, A. L. Spek, Acta Cryst. 1990, A46, 194-201.

	1	2	3
Formula	C ₆₂ H ₈₆ O ₄ Cl ₂ Nb	C ₁₁₉ H ₁₆₂ O ₄ Nb ₂	$C_{121}H_{167}O_6Nb_2$
M	1059.12	1842.31	1903.37
<i>T</i> /K	123(2)	123(2)	123(2)
Color	green	yellow	red
Crystal size/mm ³	0.12 x 0.11 x 0.02	0.12 x 0.09 x 0.04	0.11 x 0.04 x 0.03
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P2/a</i> (No. 13)	$P2_1/a$ (No. 14)	<i>P</i> -1 (No. 2)
a/Å	21.571(8)	14.635(2)	17.793(7)
$b/\text{\AA}$	10.906(4)	17.948(3)	17.972(7)
c/Å	24.181(9)	35.657(5)	18.964(7)
$lpha/^{\circ}$	90	90	58.272(11)
$eta /^{\circ}$	110.853(3)	93.768(3)	74.032(18)
$\gamma^{\prime \circ}$	90	90	83.67(2)
V/Å ³	5316(3)	9584(2)	4955(3)
Ζ	4	4	2
$D_{\rm c}/{\rm g~cm}^{-3}$	1.323	1.277	1.276
μ/mm^{-1}	0.375	0.295	0.289
Reflections collected	52911	79045	53106
Independent reflections (R_{int})	12181 (0.1129)	21925 (0.0585)	22676 (0.1109)
Refined parameters	598	1067	1052
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.073	1.070	1.055
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]^a$	0.0770	0.0780	0.0848
w R_2 (all data) ^b	0.2170	0.2166	0.2435
Largest diff. peak and hole/e $Å^{-3}$	1.386 and -0.809	1.794 and -1.085	1.347 and -1.098

Table S1. Crystallographic Data for 1, 2, 3 and 4.

^a $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|, {}^{b} w R_2 = [\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(F_0^2)^2]]^{0.5}$

4			
Formula	C _{133.5} H ₁₇₀ O ₅ Nb ₂		
M	2040.52		
<i>T</i> /K	123(2)		
Color	brown		
Crystal size/mm ³	0.11 x 0.09 x 0.05		
Crystal system	Monoclinic		
Space group	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i> (No. 15)		
a/Å	20.333(3)		
<i>b</i> /Å	25.833(5)		
c/Å	21.541(4)		
$lpha/^{\circ}$	90		
$eta\!/^\circ$	90.185(3)		
$\gamma^{ m o}$	90		
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	11314(3)		
Ζ	4		
$D_{\rm c}/{ m g~cm}^{-3}$	1.198		
μ/mm^{-1}	0.257		
Reflections collected	69689		
Independent reflections (R_{int})	12895 (0.0735)		
Refined parameters	759		
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.070		
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]^a$	0.0803		
wR_2 (all data) ^b	0.2148		
Largest diff. peak	0.881 and -0.740		
and hole/e $Å^{-3}$			

Table S1. Crystallographic Data for 1, 2, 3 and 4. (Cont.)

 $\overline{{}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}|, {}^{b}wR_{2} = [\Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}] / \Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]]^{0.5}}$



Fig. S1 Molecular structure of **1**. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected metric parameters (Å, °): Nb–O(1), 1868(3); Nb–O(2), 1.879(3); Nb–Cl(1), 2.3411(14); Nb–Cl(2), 2.3493(15); O(1)–Nb–O(2), 145.30(13); Cl(1)–Nb–Cl(2), 95.23(10); Nb–O(1)–C(1), 158.6(3); Nb–O(2)–C(28), 153.0(3).