Supplementary information for Crystal structures and variable magnetism of $PbCu_2(XO_3)_2Cl_2$ with X = Se, Te

Peter S. Berdonosov, Oleg Janson, Andrey V. Olenev,

Sergey V. Krivovichev, Helge Rosner, Valery A. Dolgikh, and Alexander A. Tsirlin

TABLE S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for PbCu ₂ (SeO ₃) ₂ Cl ₂ and PbCu ₂ (TeO ₃) ₂ Cl ₂ .	$_2\mathrm{Cl}_2.$
---	--------------------

Empirical formula Crystal system Space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) β (deg) Volume (Å ³) Z Calculated density (g/cm ³) Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm PbCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2} \\ {\rm monoclinic} \\ C2/c \ ({\rm No.}\ 15) \\ 13.0560(10) \\ 9.5567(9) \\ 6.9006(6) \\ 90.529(7) \\ 860.97(13) \\ 4 \\ 5.085 \\ 33.465 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm PbCu_2(TeO_3)_2Cl_2}\\ {\rm monoclinic}\\ P12_{1}1 \ ({\rm No.}\ 4)\\ 7.2401(2)\\ 7.2688(2)\\ 8.2846(2)\\ 96.416(2)\\ 433.26(2)\\ 2\\ 5.798\\ 31.450\\ \end{array}$	
Space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) β (deg) Volume (Å ³) Z Calculated density (g/cm ³)	C2/c (No. 15) 13.0560(10) 9.5567(9) 6.9006(6) 90.529(7) 860.97(13) 4 5.085 33.465	$\begin{array}{c} P12_{1}1 \ (\text{No. 4}) \\ 7.2401(2) \\ 7.2688(2) \\ 8.2846(2) \\ 96.416(2) \\ 433.26(2) \\ 2 \\ 5.798 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c} a (\mathring{A}) \\ b (\mathring{A}) \\ c (\mathring{A}) \\ \beta (\deg) \\ Volume (\mathring{A}^3) \\ Z \\ Calculated density (g/cm^3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.0560(10)\\ 9.5567(9)\\ 6.9006(6)\\ 90.529(7)\\ 860.97(13)\\ 4\\ 5.085\\ 33.465\end{array}$	7.2401(2) $7.2688(2)$ $8.2846(2)$ $96.416(2)$ $433.26(2)$ 2 5.798	
b (Å) c (Å) β (deg) Volume (Å ³) Z Calculated density (g/cm ³)	$9.5567(9) \\ 6.9006(6) \\ 90.529(7) \\ 860.97(13) \\ 4 \\ 5.085 \\ 33.465$	$7.2688(2) \\8.2846(2) \\96.416(2) \\433.26(2) \\2 \\5.798$	
c (Å) eta (deg) Volume (Å ³) Z Calculated density (g/cm ³)	6.9006(6) 90.529(7) 860.97(13) 4 5.085 33.465	$8.2846(2) \\96.416(2) \\433.26(2) \\2 \\5.798$	
$egin{array}{c} & \beta \ (\mathrm{deg}) \ & \mathrm{Volume} \ (\mathrm{\AA}^3) \ & Z \ & \mathrm{Calculated \ density} \ (\mathrm{g/cm}^3) \end{array}$	$90.529(7) \\860.97(13) \\4 \\5.085 \\33.465$	$96.416(2) \\ 433.26(2) \\ 2 \\ 5.798$	
Volume $(Å^3)$ Z Calculated density (g/cm^3)	860.97(13) 4 5.085 33.465	433.26(2) 2 5.798	
ZCalculated density (g/cm ³)	4 5.085 33.465	2 5.798	
Calculated density (g/cm^3)	5.085 33.465	5.798	
	33.465		
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1})		31.450	
Absolption coefficient (inin)	2 2 0 2 500		
Instrument	STOE IPDS	STOE StadiVari	
Crystal size (mm)	$0.1\times 0.05\times 0.02$	$0.2\times0.12\times0.08$	
θ range (deg)	2.64 - 29.13	2.83 - 25.99	
h, k, l ranges	$-17 \le h \le 17 \qquad \qquad -5 \le h$		
	$-13 \le k \le 11$	$-8 \le k \le 7$	
	$-9 \le l \le 9$	$10 \le l \le 9$	
No. of reflections	2954	1840	
Independent reflections	1157 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.067$)	$3277 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.0809)$	
Refinement method	full-matrix least-squares on F^2 , Shelx		
Data/restraints/parameters			
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.057 1.031		
$R(I > 2\sigma(I)) \qquad \qquad R_1 =$	$= 0.0298, wR_2 = 0.0537$	$R_1 = 0.0540, wR_2 = 0.1396$	
R (all data) $R_1 =$	$= 0.0371, wR_2 = 0.0553$	$R_1 = 0.0570, wR_2 = 0.1408$	
Extinction coefficient	0.00274(16)	0.040(4)	
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e/Å^3)$	1.618, -1.289	4.42, -3.44	

TABLE S2. Atomic positions and isotropic atomic displacement parameters $U_{\rm iso}$ (in 10^{-2} Å²) in PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂. $U_{\rm iso}$ are defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

				0	-5
	Position	x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{\rm iso}$
Pb	4e	0.5	0.15837(4)	0.25	1.3(1)
Se	8f	0.66777(4)	0.39768(7)	0.08750(8)	1.0(1)
Cu(1)	4e	0.5	0.38774(13)	0.75	1.4(1)
Cu(2)	4c	0.75	0.75	0	1.5(1)
Cl	8f	0.62544(12)	0.8968(2)	0.1207(3)	2.2(1)
O(1)	8f	0.5477(3)	0.3466(5)	0.0081(6)	1.5(1)
O(2)	8f	0.3394(3)	0.2877(5)	0.2159(7)	1.7(1)
O(3)	8f	0.6431(3)	0.5576(5)	0.1759(7)	1.6(1)

y/b $U_{\rm iso}$ x/az/cPb 1.35(5)0.12805(14)0.1000(2)0.06175(14)Te(1)0.8728(2)0.9580(3)0.6128(2)0.65(6)Te(2)0.3551(2)0.7298(3)0.7679(2)0.64(6)Cu(1)0.0902(4)0.85(8)0.3622(4)0.5923(7)Cu(2)0.3180(5)0.1085(7)0.5868(5)1.01(8)Cl(1)0.5533(11)0.3254(12)0.6245(10)1.6(2) $\operatorname{Cl}(2)$ 0.1106(12)0.3397(12)0.7709(11)1.7(2)O(1)0.459(3)0.969(3)0.760(2)0.8(4)O(2)0.853(3)0.975(4)0.835(3)1.3(5)O(3)0.128(3)0.624(3)1.1(5)0.918(3)O(4)0.204(3)0.941(3)0.9(4)0.755(3)O(5)0.607(3)0.809(3)0.710(4)1.3(5)O(6)0.540(3)0.650(3)0.936(3)1.0(5)100 1,0 - - – DSC ΤG 90 0,8 34,64377 80 0,6 DSC, mW/mg 70 ,64502 0,4 പ് 60 % 0,2 50 904,72452 40 0,0 330,452 30 -0,2 20 600 0 200 400 800 1000 Temperature, °C

TABLE S3. Atomic positions and isotropic atomic displacement parameters U_{iso} (in 10^{-2} Å^2) in PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂. U_{iso} are defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor. All atoms are in the general position 2a of the $P2_1$ space group.

FIG. S1. Thermal decomposition of PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG). The multi-step decomposition process starts at ~ 300°C. The heating rate is 10 °C/min.



FIG. S2. Thermal decomposition of PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂ studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG). Thermal decomposition starts starts at ~ 500°C, but it remains incomplete even at 1000°C. The heating rate is 10° C/min.



FIG. S3. Powder pattern of PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ (crystals selected from the batch and used for the magnetic susceptibility measurements) and its fit using the structural data obtained from the single crystal. The high background at low angles is due to a very small amount of sample (5 mg). Broad features at $2\theta = 18^{\circ}$ and 22° are from vaseline that was used as adhesive. A weak reflection at $2\theta = 31.5^{\circ}$ belongs to the residual foreign phase (ferromagnetic impurity).



FIG. S4. Powder pattern of PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ and its fit using the structural data obtained from the single crystal. The broad feature at $2\theta = 18^{\circ}$ is from vaseline that was used as adhesive. Reflections of impurities (CuTe₂O₅, 3PbTeO₃ × 2H₂O) are barely visible on this scale.



FIG. S5. Magnetic susceptibility of the as-prepared sample of $PbCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ (circles) and selected batch of crystals (triangles).

TABLE S4. The leading magnetic exchange couplings in PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ and PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂: Cu–Cu distances $(d_{Cu-Cu}, \text{ in } \text{Å})$, transfer integrals t_{ij} (in meV), antiferromagnetic (J_{ij}^{AFM}) and ferromagnetic (J_{ij}^{FM}) contributions to the total magnetic exchange $(J_{ij}, \text{ in } \text{K})$. The t_{ij} terms are evaluated from the GGA bands using Wannier functions. J_{ij}^{AFM} are approximated using $J_{ij}^{\text{AFM}} = 4t_{ij}^2/U_{\text{eff}}$ adopting $U_{\text{eff}} = 4.5 \,\text{eV}$. The ferromagnetic contribution J^{FM} is evaluated as the difference between J_{ij}^{AFM} and J_{ij} , where the latter term is estimated from the GGA+U calculations.

		$PbCu_2(Se$	$(O_3)_2 Cl_2$		
	$d_{\mathrm{Cu-Cu}}$	t_{ij}	$J^{\rm AFM}$	$J^{ m FM}$	J_{ij}
J_1	6.23	-143	211	-45	166
$J_{\rm ic1}$	8.65	-47	23	-6	17
$J_{\rm ic2}$	8.09	-70	51	-11	39
		PbCu ₂ (Te			
	$d_{ m Cu-Cu}$	t_{ij}	$J^{\rm AFM}$	J^{FM}	J_{ij}
J	3.34	143	211	-15	196
J'	5.59	-90	84	_	86



FIG. S6. GGA total (shaded) and partial (lines) DOS for $PbCu_2(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$ (top) and $PbCu_2(TeO_3)_2Cl_2$ (bottom). The magnetically active states around the Fermi level are zoomed in the right panels. Number of states is given per primitive cell. Fermi level is at zero energy.

DETAILS OF DFT CALCULATIONS

The $3d^9$ electronic configuration of Cu^{2+} should lead to a partial filling of the 3d bands and, therefore, to the metallic behavior. However, strong electronic correlations that are inherent to the strongly localized Cu 3dstates trigger the formation of a band gap and the overall insulating behavior.

Electronic correlations are many-body effects that can not be accounted for on the standard DFT level. This explains the metallic ground state indicated by nonzero density of states at the Fermi level, in both LDA and GGA calculations (both functionals yield nearly indistinguishable density of states [DOS] and band dispersions), see Fig. S6. The correct insulating state can be reproduced by supplying the standard DFT functionals with the strong Coulomb correlations in the Cu 3d shell. This is done in two complementary approaches: i) on the model level, or ii) by a static mean-field treatment within the DFT+U formalism.

In standard GGA, both PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ and PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂ feature a well-structured valence band (Fig. S6): the bottom edge shows a peak of Pb–O states (around -8 eV), the energy range between -7.5 eV and -5.5 eV is dominated by Se/Te and O states, while the rest of the valence band is formed predominantly by Cu, O, and Cl states. The magnetically active states are located in a close vicinity of the Fermi level. In PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂, these states are well-separated from the rest of the valence band. In PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂, these states are separated from the rest of the valence band by a dip in the DOS spectrum. In cuprates, the magnetically relevant states are conveniently described in terms of atomic-like orbitals. In the most common case of a CuO₄ plaquette, it is a single molecular-like orbital comprising the Cu 3*d* orbital stretched towards the four O atoms, and the four σ bonded O 2*p* orbitals. These states can be denoted according to the local coordinate system, with the *x* and *y* axes running along the Cu–O bond, and the *z* axis perpendicular to the plaquette plane. In this setup, the magnetically active orbital of Cu has the $x^2 - y^2$ character. This is the case for Cu(1) in both PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ and PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂.

In the case of Cu(2), the same arguments apply. We find the magnetic $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, with the x and y axes running along the four shortest Cu–O/Cl bonds that are nearly coplanar. The two long Cu–O (X = Se) or Cu– Cl (X = Te) bonds are directed along the local z axis. The respective atoms do not contribute to the magnetic orbital and do not facilitate the magnetic interaction. This way, we identify the Cu(2)O₃Cl and Cu(2)O₂Cl₂ plaquettes in PbCu₂(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ and PbCu₂(TeO₃)₂Cl₂, respectively.

The dp_{σ} molecular-like orbitals form a convenient basis set for an effective one-orbital model that describes electron transfer between different Cu²⁺ sites. The energy terms t_{ij} responsible for the electron transfer should be supplemented by a Coulomb interaction term that penalizes the doubly occupied states. For sufficiently strong correlations, the AFM exchange J_{ij}^{AFM} is proportional to t_{ij}^2 , where t_{ij} is related to the probability of the electron hopping between sites *i* and *j*. The t_{ij} terms can be evaluated directly from LDA or GGA band structure calculations using localized Wannier functions (numerical values for the relevant terms are given in Table S4).