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Table S1. Additional crystal and data collection parameters for [ $\operatorname{Ir}\{\operatorname{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\operatorname{cod})], \mathbf{1}$.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Crystal habit, color | blade, orange |
| Crystal size | $0.27 \times 0.07 \times 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 992 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.50 to $25.37^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-13 \leq h \leq 13,-13 \leq k \leq 12,-16 \leq l \leq 16$ |
| Completeness to $\theta$ | $99.3 \%\left(\theta=25.37^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9473 and 0.2435 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |

Table S2. Selected interatomic distances $(\AA)$ for $[\operatorname{Ir}\{\operatorname{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\mathrm{cod})]$, 1. ${ }^{a}$

| Molecule A |  | Molecule B |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ir1-N1 | $2.094(6)$ | Ir2-N3 | $2.100(6)$ |
| Ir1-N2 | $2.077(7)$ | Ir2-N4 | $2.076(7)$ |
| Ir1-C15 | $2.102(8)$ | Ir2-C37 | $2.099(8)$ |
| Ir1-C16 | $2.129(9)$ | Ir2-C38 | $2.132(9)$ |
| Ir1-C19 | $2.102(8)$ | Ir2-C41 | $2.099(8)$ |
| Ir1-C20 | $2.105(8)$ | Ir2-C42 | $2.127(8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| N1-C1 | $1.323(10)$ | N3-C23 | $1.336(10)$ |
| N1-C2 | $1.394(10)$ | N3-C24 | $1.390(9)$ |
| N2-C1 | $1.339(10)$ | N4-C23 | $1.334(10)$ |
| N2-C8 | $1.416(10)$ | N4-C30 | $1.426(10)$ |
| C1-C14 | $1.506(10)$ | C23-C36 | $1.510(11)$ |
| C15-C16 | $1.429(12)$ | C37-C38 | $1.421(12)$ |
| C19-C20 | $1.398(12)$ | C41-C42 | $1.418(12)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are standard uncertainties in the last significant figures. Atoms are labeled as indicated in Figure 1.

Table S3. Selected angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $[\operatorname{Ir}\{\operatorname{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\mathrm{cod})]$, 1. ${ }^{a}$

| Molecule A |  | Molecule B |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| N2-Ir1-N1 | $62.7(3)$ | N4-Ir2-N3 | $62.8(2)$ |
| N1-Ir1-C15 | $102.0(3)$ | C37-Ir2-N3 | $102.7(3)$ |
| N1-Ir1-C16 | $107.2(3)$ | N3-Ir2-C38 | $107.6(3)$ |
| N1-Ir1-C19 | $156.1(3)$ | C41-Ir2-N3 | $155.0(3)$ |
| N1-Ir1-C20 | $157.1(3)$ | N3-Ir2-C42 | $158.1(3)$ |
| N2-Ir1-C19 | $101.3(3)$ | N4-Ir2-C41 | $100.8(3)$ |
| N2-Ir1-C20 | $105.2(3)$ | N4-Ir2-C42 | $105.6(3)$ |
| N2-Ir1-C15 | $156.0(3)$ | N4-Ir2-C37 | $156.0(3)$ |
| N2-Ir1-C16 | $158.3(3)$ | N4-Ir2-C38 | $159.1(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C15-Ir1-C16 | $39.5(3)$ | C37-Ir2-C38 | $39.2(3)$ |
| C19-Ir1-C20 | $38.8(3)$ | C41-Ir2-C42 | $39.2(3)$ |
| C15-Ir1-C19 | $98.4(3)$ | C37-Ir2-C41 | $98.5(3)$ |
| C15-Ir1-C20 | $82.1(3)$ | C37-Ir2-C42 | $81.0(3)$ |
| C19-Ir1-C16 | $81.2(3)$ | C41-Ir2-C38 | $81.1(3)$ |
| C20-Ir1-C16 | $90.3(3)$ | C42-Ir2-C38 | $89.1(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C1-N1-C2 | $127.0(7)$ | C23-N3-C24 | $127.8(7)$ |
| C1-N1-Ir1 | $93.9(5)$ | C23-N3-Ir2 | $93.4(5)$ |
| C2-N1-Ir1 | $138.4(5)$ | C24-N3-Ir2 | $138.3(6)$ |
| C1-N2-C8 | $129.4(7)$ | C23-N4-C30 | $128.1(7)$ |
| C1-N2-Ir1 | $94.1(5)$ | C23-N4-Ir2 | $94.5(5)$ |
| C8-N2-Ir1 | $136.1(5)$ | C30-N4-Ir2 | $137.0(5)$ |
| N1-C1-N2 | $109.2(7)$ | N4-C23-N3 | $109.1(7)$ |
| N1-C1-C14 | $126.8(7)$ | N3-C23-C36 | $126.0(7)$ |
| N2-C1-C14 | $123.9(8)$ | N4-C23-C36 | $124.9(8)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are standard uncertainties in the last significant figures. Atoms are labeled as indicated in Figure 1.

Table S4. Selected dihedral angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $[\operatorname{Ir}\{\operatorname{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\mathrm{cod})], 1 .{ }^{a}$

| Molecule A | Molecule B |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| N1-C1-N2 / N1-Ir1-N2 | $3.6(8)$ | N3-C23-N4 / N3-Ir2-N4 | $4.6(8)$ |  |
| N1-Ir1-N2 / C15-Ir1-C16 | $82.1(4)$ | N3-Ir2-N4 / C37-Ir2-C38 | $82.9(5)$ |  |
| N1-Ir1-N2 / C19-Ir1-C20 | $83.9(5)$ | N3-Ir2-N4 / C41-Ir2-C42 | $82.8(5)$ |  |
| C15-Ir1-C16 / C19-Ir1-C20 | $87.1(4)$ | C37-Ir2-C38 / C41-Ir2-C42 | $86.2(4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $(\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2, \mathrm{C} 14) /(\mathrm{C} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 7)^{b}$ | $41.8(4)$ | $(\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{C} 23, \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{C} 36) /(\mathrm{C} 24 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 29)^{b}$ | $37.6(4)$ |  |
| $(\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2, \mathrm{C} 14) /(\mathrm{C} 8 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 13)^{b}$ | $54.3(3)$ | $(\mathrm{N} 3, \mathrm{C} 23, \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{C} 36) /(\mathrm{C} 30 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 35)^{b}$ | $56.1(3)$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are standard uncertainties in the last significant figures. Atoms are labeled as indicated in Figure 1. ${ }^{b}$ Angle between the least-squares planes of the amidinate atoms (e.g., N1, C1, N2, and C14) and the aryl ring atoms (e.g., C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, and C7).


Figure S1. High-resolution electron impact ionization mass spectrum of 2. Insets: Expanded views of the features attributed to $\mathbf{2}^{+\bullet}$ and $\{\mathbf{2} / 2\}^{+\bullet}$ (bottom, 一, black) and their calculated isotope distribution patterns (top, —, red).


Figure S2. Solid-state IR spectrum (KBr) of 2.


Figure S3. Top: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in benzene- $d_{6}$ (ca. $15 \mathrm{mM}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The solid lines indicate correlations among alkene proton resonances and between alkene and methylene proton resonances. Bottom: Expanded view of the aromatic region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of 3 . The solid lines indicate correlations among aromatic proton resonances.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC spectrum of 3 in benzene- $d_{6}$ (ca. $15 \mathrm{mM}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The asterisks denote solvent peaks $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, and an unknown contaminant).

Table S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts, $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$, from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum of $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\{\mathrm{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\operatorname{cod})\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right](3)$ in benzene- $d_{6}$.

| Assignment |  | $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ar | Group A | 7.37 | 125.1 |
|  |  | $7.12^{a}$ | $128.8^{a}$ |
|  | Group B | 6.93 | 124.4 |
|  |  | 7.07 | 129.4 |
|  |  | 7.05 | 126.5 |
| $=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}-$ | 1-H, C-1 | 4.82 | 125.2 |
|  | 2-H, C-2 | 3.95 | 72.4 |
|  | $5-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5$ | 4.97 | 75.8 |
|  | 6-H, C-6 | 4.20 | 89.5 |
| $=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}-$ | $3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathrm{C}-3$ | $1.92,1.64$ | 97.8 |
|  | 4-H |  |  |
|  | $7-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathrm{C}-4$ | $1.64,1.26$ | 33.0 |
|  | 8-H | $1.99,1.17$ | 28.1 |
| $\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ |  | 2.23 | 36.6 |
|  |  | 1.34 | 17.1 |

${ }^{a}$ The resonance signals centered at $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)=7.12 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)=128.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ partially overlap with the residual solvent peaks.

## Determination of the Self-Diffusion Coefficients of 1 and 3

Diffusion ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments to determine $D$ values were conducted in triplicate, and, for each experiment, data of seven (1) or ten (3) suitable peaks were averaged. The average $D$ values from three measurements were $(8.8 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-10}$ and $(8.3 \pm 0.1) \cdot 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, respectively (ca. 17 mM 1 and ca. 15 mM 3 in benzene- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ was found to decay by $\leq 10 \%$ over the course of each measurement, introducing a minor error into $D$. Shown below are representative results for the $\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ resonance signals of $\mathbf{1}$ and 3. The plots in Figure S5 confirm the expected linear relationship between $\ln \left(I / I_{0}\right)$ and $G^{2}$.

| INTENSITY fit : Diffusion : Variable Gradient : $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}[0] * \exp \left(-\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{SQR}\left(2 * P I^{*}\right.\right.$ gamma*Gi*LD)*(BD-LD/3)*1e4) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 points for Peak 6, CCH3 resonance signal |  |  |  |  |
| Converged after 32 iterations! |  |  |  |  |
| Results Comp. 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}[0] \quad=9.998 \mathrm{e}-001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Diff Con. $=8.704 \mathrm{e}-010 \mathrm{~m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Gamma $=4.258 \mathrm{e}+003 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{G}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Little Delta $=5.000 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Big Delta $=26.950 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |
| RSS $=7.767 \mathrm{e}-006$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SD}=6.967 \mathrm{e}-004$ |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Gradient | Expt | Calc | Difference |
| 1 | 6.740e-001 | $1.000 \mathrm{e}+000$ | 9.980e-001 | -1.962e-003 |
| 2 | $2.765 \mathrm{e}+000$ | 9.696e-001 | 9.702e-001 | 5.493e-004 |
| 3 | $4.855 \mathrm{e}+000$ | 9.101e-001 | 9.112e-001 | 1.125e-003 |
| 4 | $6.945 \mathrm{e}+000$ | 8.262e-001 | 8.269e-001 | 6.820e-004 |
| 5 | $9.036 \mathrm{e}+000$ | 7.254e-001 | 7.250e-001 | -4.898e-004 |
| 6 | $1.113 e+001$ | 6.139e-001 | 6.141e-001 | 2.269e-004 |
| 7 | $1.322 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 5.027e-001 | 5.026e-001 | -7.296e-005 |
| 8 | $1.531 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 3.970e-001 | 3.975e-001 | 4.937e-004 |
| 9 | $1.740 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 3.036e-001 | 3.037e-001 | 1.016e-004 |
| 10 | $1.949 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 2.239e-001 | 2.241e-001 | 2.867e-004 |
| 11 | $2.158 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 1.601e-001 | 1.599e-001 | -2.598e-004 |
| 12 | $2.367 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 1.111e-001 | 1.102e-001 | -9.175e-004 |
| 13 | $2.576 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 7.375e-002 | 7.334e-002 | -4.055e-004 |
| 14 | $2.785 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 4.754e-002 | 4.718e-002 | -3.589e-004 |
| 15 | $2.994 \mathrm{e}+001$ | 2.946e-002 | 2.932e-002 | -1.381e-004 |
| 16 | $3.203 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $1.778 \mathrm{e}-002$ | 1.761e-002 | -1.705e-004 |

SIMFIT RESULTS for 3
==============
INTENSITY fit : Diffusion : Variable Gradient :
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}[0] * \exp \left(-\mathrm{D}^{*} \operatorname{SQR}\left(2^{*} \mathrm{PI} \mathrm{*}^{*}\right.\right.$ gamma*Gi*LD)*(BD-LD/3)*1e4)
16 points for Peak 11, CCH3 resonance signal Converged after 35 iterations!

| Results | Comp. | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I[0] | $=$ | $1.020 \mathrm{e}+000$ |
| Diff Con. | $=$ | $8.276 \mathrm{e}-010 \mathrm{~m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Gamma | $=$ | $4.258 \mathrm{e}+003 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{G}$ |
| Little Delta | $=$ | 5.000 m |
| Big Delta | $=$ | 26.950 m |

```
RSS = 6.609e-004
SD = 6.427e-003
```

| Point | Gradient | Expt | Calc | Difference |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $6.740 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.000 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $1.018 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $1.838 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
| 2 | $2.765 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $9.892 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $9.913 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $2.142 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 3 | $4.855 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $9.435 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $9.340 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-9.517 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 4 | $6.945 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $8.625 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $8.516 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-1.095 \mathrm{e}-002$ |
| 5 | $9.036 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $7.600 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $7.514 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-8.534 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 6 | $1.113 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $6.420 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $6.418 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-1.807 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 7 | $1.322 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $5.283 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $5.304 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $2.104 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 8 | $1.531 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $4.210 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $4.243 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $3.326 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 9 | $1.740 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $3.275 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $3.285 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.053 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 10 | $1.949 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $2.454 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $2.461 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $6.947 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 11 | $2.158 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $1.769 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.785 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.571 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 12 | $2.367 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $1.217 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.253 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $3.599 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 13 | $2.576 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $8.360 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $8.508 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $1.482 \mathrm{e}-003$ |
| 14 | $2.785 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $5.539 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $5.593 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $5.395 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 15 | $2.994 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $3.593 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $3.558 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $-3.495 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 16 | $3.203 \mathrm{e}+001$ | $2.194 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $2.191 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $-3.130 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| $===================================================$ |  |  |  |  |



Figure S5. Plots of the natural logarithm of the intensity quotient, $\ln \left(I / I_{0}\right)$, as a function of the square of the gradient strength, $G^{2}$, for the $\mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ resonance signals of $\mathbf{1}$ (left; $R^{2}=0.999998$ ) and 3 (right; $R^{2}=0.999919$ ) in benzene- $d_{6}\left(\mathrm{ca} .17 \mathrm{mM} 1\right.$ and ca. $15 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).


Figure S6. Solid-state IR spectra ( KBr ) of $\mathbf{1}$ (top), $\mathbf{3}$ (middle) and the decay products of $\mathbf{3}$ (bottom). Spectra of $3-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and its decay products are shown in red (-).

Table S6. IR absorption bands of $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right\}_{2}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}-\kappa \mathrm{N}: \kappa \mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (2) and $\left[\mathrm{Ir}\{\mathrm{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\operatorname{cod})\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right](3)\left(2200-1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{.}$

| Complex | $v_{\mathrm{CO}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\nu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $2060(\mathrm{~s}), 2026(\mathrm{~s})$, | $1593,1524,1487,1419,1262,1212$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $1985(\mathrm{~s}), 1970(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
|  |  | $1594,1510,1479(\mathrm{sh}), 1427,1358,1334,1301,1277$, <br> 1261,1227 |

${ }^{a}$ Solid state (KBr disk).


Figure S7. Electronic absorption spectra of 1 mM 1 in toluene at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(-$, black), $\mathbf{3}$ generated from the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}(-$, red), and the solution during decay of $\mathbf{3}(---$, green; and - , blue; path length, 0.5 cm ). Inset: Time course of the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ in toluene with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequent decay of $3(\lambda=402 \mathrm{~nm})$. The squares indicate the reaction times associated with the spectra shown (black, $\mathbf{1}$; red, $\mathbf{3}$; green and blue, solution during decay of $\mathbf{3}$ ).

Table S7. Mass-to-charge ratios ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) from the electrospray ionization (ESI) and electron impact (EI) mass spectra of the decay products of $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\{\mathrm{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\operatorname{cod})\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right](3) .{ }^{a-c}$

|  | ESI $(+) \mathrm{MS}$ <br> $\{\mathrm{LH}+\mathrm{H}\}^{+}$ | $\left\{\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right\}^{+}$ | $\{\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{OH}\}^{+}$ | $\{3-\mathrm{H}\}^{+}$ | EIMS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\left\{\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}^{+\cdot}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{IrL}_{3}\right\}^{+\bullet}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Found | 211.2 | 509.4 | 525.2 | 541.2 | 524.1397 | 820.2827 |
| Calcd | 211.1 | 509.2 | 525.2 | 541.2 | 524.1440 | 820.2866 |
| Found $\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | 211.3 | 509.5 | 527.4 | 545.4 | 526.3 | 820.4 |

${ }^{a}$ The reaction of $[\operatorname{Ir}\{\mathrm{PhNC}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NPh}\}(\operatorname{cod})]$ (1) with $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\right.$ or $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ was carried out as described in the Experimental Section, and the resulting solution was allowed to stand for at least $16 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{LH}=\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NHPh}$.
${ }^{c}$ High-resolution mass spectral data are reported with four decimal places.

