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Fig. S4. A graphical representation of the 2D layer formed by the syn-anti bridged Cu -carboxylate coordination chains that derived from the 3D network of compound $\mathbf{2}$.


Fig. S5. The powder X-ray diffraction diagram of 1: (a) simulation; (b) as-synthesized sample.


Fig. S6. The powder X-ray diffraction diagram of 2: (a) simulation; (b) as-synthesized sample.

Table S1. Hydrogen bonds for $\mathbf{1}\left[\AA\right.$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ].

| $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A})$ | $\angle(\mathrm{DHA})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(91)$ | 0.85 | 1.84 | $2.692(3)$ | 174.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(92) \# 5$ | 0.83 | 1.81 | $2.636(3)$ | 171.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(94)$ | 0.88 | 1.69 | $2.566(3)$ | 172.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(81)-\mathrm{H}(81 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \# 6$ | 0.82 | 1.99 | $2.750(3)$ | 154.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(81)-\mathrm{H}(81 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(91) \# 3$ | 0.85 | 1.86 | $2.696(3)$ | 170.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}(82)-\mathrm{H}(82 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4) \# 7$ | 0.82 | 1.93 | $2.688(3)$ | 153.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(82)-\mathrm{H}(82 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(92) \# 8$ | 0.82 | 2.60 | $3.377(4)$ | 156.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(91)-\mathrm{H}(91 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(93)$ | 0.95 | 1.82 | $2.767(3)$ | 171.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(91)-\mathrm{H}(91 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) \# 3$ | 0.93 | 2.02 | $2.886(3)$ | 154.9 |
| $\mathrm{O}(92)-\mathrm{H}(92 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1) \# 3$ | 0.85 | 2.15 | $2.767(3)$ | 129.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}(92)-\mathrm{H}(92 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(81) \# 3$ | 0.85 | 2.65 | $3.281(4)$ | 132.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(92)-\mathrm{H}(92 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(94)$ | 0.85 | 1.91 | $2.731(3)$ | 162.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(93)-\mathrm{H}(93 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(82) \# 8$ | 0.78 | 2.04 | $2.804(3)$ | 167.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(93)-\mathrm{H}(93 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6) \# 9$ | 0.87 | 1.87 | $2.713(3)$ | 163.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(94)-\mathrm{H}(94 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(93)$ | 0.86 | 1.99 | $2.726(3)$ | 142.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(94)-\mathrm{H}(94 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3) \# 7$ | 0.86 | 1.91 | $2.750(3)$ | 163.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}(94)-\mathrm{H}(94 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4) \# 7$ | 0.86 | 2.55 | $3.180(3)$ | 130.6 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.89 | 1.92 | $2.741(3)$ | 154.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.89 | 2.23 | $2.703(3)$ | 112.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x, y-1/2, -z+1 \#2 -x+1, y-1/2, -z+2 \#3 -x, y+1/2, -z+1
$\# 4-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+2 \quad \# 5 \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \quad \# 6-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+1$
\#7-x, y+1/2, -z+2 \#8 -x, y-1/2, -z+2 \#9 x-1, y, z

