Electronic Supplementary Information

pH-controlled assembly of hybrid architectures based on Anderson-type polyoxometalates and silver coordination units Ying Hu,^a Haiyan An,^{*a} Xuan Liu,^a Jiqiu Yin,^{*b} Huilong Wang,^a Hua Zhang,^a Lin Wang^a

^aCollege of Chemistry, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116023, P. R. China

^bCollege of Medical Laboratory, Dalian Medical University, Dalian 116044, P. R. China

Compound 1 ^a			
Mo(1)-O(8)	1.701(5)	Mo(1)-O(5)	1.901(4)
Mo(1)-O(7)	1.717(4)	Mo(1)-O(4)	1.951(4)
Mo(1)-O(2)	2.291(4)	Mo(2)-O(9)	1.712(4)
Mo(2)-O(2)	2.329(4)	Mo(3)-O(12)	1.725(4)
Al(1)-O(1)	1.901(4)	Al(1)-O(2)	1.889(4)
Al(1)-O(3)	1.913(4)	Ag(1)-O(7)#3	2.389(4)
Ag(2)-N(1)	2.098(5)	Ag(1)-O(9)	2.290(4)
O(1)-Al(1)-O(3)#1	85.05(16)	O(1)-Al(1)-O(3)	94.95(16)
O(2)#1-Al(1)-O(2)	180.0(2)	O(3)#1-Al(1)-O(3)	180.0(3)
Compound 2 ^b			
Mo(1)-O(7)	1.706(4)	Mo(2)-O(10)	1.709(4)
Mo(1)-O(5)	1.948(3)	Mo(2)-O(9)	1.721(4)
Mo(1)-O(1)	2.287(3)	Mo(2)-O(5)	1.911(4)
Mo(1)-O(2)	2.322(3)	Mo(3)-O(12)	1.710(4)
Ag(1)-N(2)	2.160(4)	Mo(3)-O(11)	1.711(4)
Ag(1)-N(1)	2.162(4)	Ag(1)-O(11)	1.875(3)
Al(1)-O(1)	1.875(3)	Al(1)-O(3)	1.923(3)
Na(1)-O(12)#1	2.584(5)	Na(1)-O(14)#4	2.526(5)
Na(1)-O(7)	2.372(5)		
O(2)-Al(1)-O(3)	85.00(13)	O(1)-Al(1)-O(3)	95.07(13)
O(2)-Al(1)-O(2)#1	180.00(12)	O(3)-Al(1)-O(3)#1	180.00(15)
Compound 3 ^c			
Mo(1)-O(7)	1.714(3)	Mo(1)-O(1)	2.241(2)
Mo(1)-O(8)	1.722(3)	Mo(1)-O(2)	2.337(2)
Mo(2)-O(9)	1.708(3)	Mo(2)-O(6)	1.910(2)
Mo(2)-O(10)	1.725(3)	Mo(3)-O(4)#1	1.954(2)
Mo(3)-O(11)	1.702(3)	Mo(3)-O(12)#1	1.720(3)
Al(1)-O(1)	1.885(2)	Al(1)-O(2)	1.903(2)
Al(1)-O(3)	1.914(2)	Ag(1)-O(10)	2.429(3)
Ag(1)-O(8)#2	2.314(3)	Ag(1)-O(9)#2	2.557(3)
Ag(1)-OW1	2.267(3)	Ag(1)-OW2	2.639(3)
Ag(2)-O(12)	2.447(3)	Ag(2)-O(7)	2.374(3)
Ag(2)-O(13)	2.741(3)		
O(1)-Al(1)-O(3)#1	84.37(10)	O(1)#1-Al(1)-O(3)#1	95.63(10)
O(1)-Al(1)-O(1)#1	180.0	O(3)#1-Al(1)-O(3)	180.0

Table S1 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1–3.

^aSymmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x,-y,-z #3 -x-1/4,-y-1/4,z

^bSymmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x+2,-y,-z+1 #4 -x+2,y+1/2,-z+3/2

^cSymmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x-1,-y+2,-z+1 #2 -x,-y+1,-z+1



Scheme S1 Scheme showing ligand notation.



Fig. S1 Schematic representation of the 2D layer in 1.



Fig. S2 Polyhedral and ball-stick view of the 3D host-guest framework in **1** with Ag-3-Hpya coordination complexes as template (color code: Al, yellow; Mo, pink; Ag, green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey).



Fig. S3 Schematic representation of the 4^4 topology of **3**.



Fig. S4 Polyhedral and ball-stick view illustrating the 3D supramolecular structure of **3** along the *c* axis (color code: Al, yellow; Mo, pink; Ag, green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey).



Fig. S5b IR spectrum for compound 2.



Fig. S5c IR spectrum for compound 3.

FT-IR spectroscopy

IR spectra of compounds 1-3 display similar characteristic patterns of the Anderson-type structure $[AIMo_6H_6O_{24}]^{3}$ with vibration bands between 900 and 950 cm⁻¹ for the Mo–Ot units, between 640 and 700 cm⁻¹ for the Mo–Ob groups, and between 400 and 600 cm⁻¹ for the Mo–Oc bonds. In the IR spectrum of compound 1, the features at 1672 and 1412 cm⁻¹ can be regarded as characteristics of the 3-Hpya molecule. The features at 1639 and 1389 cm⁻¹ in compound 2 can be regarded as characteristics of the 3-Hpya molecule. In the IR spectrum of compound 3, the features at 1642 and 1395 cm⁻¹ can also be regarded as characteristics of the 3-Hpya molecule. A broad band around 3420 cm⁻¹ of 1, 3402 cm⁻¹ of 2 and 3421 cm⁻¹ of 3 is attributed to the absorptions of water molecules.



Fig. S6a The calculated and experimental PXRD patterns for compound 1.



Fig. S6b The calculated and experimental PXRD patterns for compound 2.



Fig. S6c The calculated and experimental PXRD patterns for compound 3.

PXRD Characterization

The PXRD patterns for 1-3 are presented in Fig. S6. The diffraction peaks of both calculated and experimental patterns match well, indicating the phase purities of these compounds. The differences of PXRD patterns of 1-3 also indicate that three compounds have different structures. These conclusions are in agreement with the results of the single crystal X-ray analysis.



Fig. S7 UV–vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra of K–M functions vs energy (eV) of 3-Hpya ligand.



Fig. S8a The TG curve of **1** exhibits a multi-step continuous weight loss process and gives a total weight loss of 34.9% in the range of 30–800 °C, which agrees with the

calculated value of 33.9%. The weight loss of 7.1% at 70–250 °C corresponds to the loss of all lattice and composition water molecules (calc. 6.8%). The weight loss of 27.8% at 255–790 °C arises from the decomposition of 3-Hpya molecules, and partial oxygen molecules (calc. 27.1%).



Fig. S8b TG curve of **2** exhibits a multi-step continuous weight loss process and gives a total weight loss of 43.4% in the range of 22–870 °C (calc. 40.2%). The weight loss of 10.3% from 25 to 230 °C corresponds to the loss of adsorbed water, all lattice and partial composition water molecules. The weight loss of 4.3% from 235 to 330 °C is due to the loss of residual water molecules and partial 3-Hpya molecules. The weight loss of 28.8% from 333 to 870 °C arises from the loss of 3-Hpya molecules, and partial oxygen molecules.



Fig. S8c TG curve of **3** also possesses a multi-step continuous weight loss process and gives a total loss of 28.5% in the range of 30–950 °C (calc. 28.1%). The three-step continuous weight loss of 10.2% from 40 to 340 °C arises from the loss of all lattice, coordinated and composition water molecules (calc. 9.4%). The continuous weight loss of 18.3% from 340 to 910 °C is due to the loss of 3-Hpya molecules, and partial oxygen molecules.



Fig. S9a Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum obtained from a typical sample of nanocomposite **1'** and showing the presence of silver, molybdenum, aluminum and oxygen. (The $C_{K\alpha}$ signal at 0.28 keV is due to the C substrate).



Fig. S9b Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum obtained from nanocomposite **2'** and showing the presence of silver, molybdenum, aluminum, sodium and oxygen. (The $C_{K\alpha}$ signal at 0.28 keV is due to the C substrate).



Fig. S9c Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrum obtained from nanocomposite 3' and showing the presence of silver, molybdenum, aluminum, and oxygen. (The $C_{K\alpha}$ signal at 0.28 keV is due to the C substrate).



Fig. S10 UV–Vis spectral changes of RhB (2 x 10^{-5} M) in aqueous solution against time without catalyst.



Fig. S11 UV–Vis spectral changes of RhB (2 x 10^{-5} M) in aqueous solution against time with the use of $(NH_4)_3$ [AlMo₆H₆O₂₄] as catalyst.



Fig. S12 UV–Vis spectral changes of RhB (2 x 10^{-5} M) in aqueous solution against time with the use of compound **1** as catalyst.



Fig. S13 The color of the RhB solution changes after 9h with catalysts 1, 1', 2', 3' and without catalyst.