Supplementary Information:

Figure S-1: related to Experimental Section.

The results are affected by the concentration of the PIPES buffer, Figure S-1. These effects are attributed to complex formation between the Co^{II} central ion and the sulfate or sulfonate anions, which affects the binding of the peroxide ligands.



Figure S-1: Dependence of k_{obs}, of first reaction observed, on [Co(ClO₄)₂].

Solutions composition: \blacksquare 7.5×10⁻⁴ M H₂O₂. pH 6.5, PIPES 1.00×10⁻¹ M. Ionic strength 5.5×10⁻¹ M controlled by adding NaClO₄. \blacklozenge 7.5×10⁻⁴ M H₂O₂. pH 6.5, PIPES 5.0×10⁻² M. Ionic strength 4.75×10⁻¹ M controlled by adding NaClO₄.

The data for Figure S-1 are summed up in table S-1

| Table S-1: kobs as | a function | of [Co(ClO ₄) ₂] | at two PIPES | concentrations |
|--------------------|------------|--|--------------|----------------|
|--------------------|------------|--|--------------|----------------|

| C _{(Co(ClO4)2)} M | k _{obs} s⁻¹ 0.050M PIPES | k _{obs} s⁻¹ 0.10M PIPES |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0.010 | 9.99 | 7.34 |
| 0.013 | 8.81 | 5.19 |
| 0.015 | 7.49 | 4.07 |
| 0.020 | 6.44 | 3.04 |
| 0.025 | 5.29 | 2.58 |

Figure S-2 related to Experimental methods.

The results presented in Figure S-2, show that the kinetics of the reactions are affected by $[SO_4^{2-}]$, therefore all the results presented are for $Co(ClO_4)_2$. Ionic strength doesn't affect the observed rate constants, Figure S-2.



Figure S-2: Dependence of kobs on CNa2SO4.

Solutions composition: 1.5×10^{-2} M Co(ClO₄)₂, 1.5×10^{-1} M H₂O₂. In pH 6.5, HEPES buffer (4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethaesulfonic acid sodium salt) 1.0×10^{-1} M, ionic strength for and 3.7×10⁻¹M, controlled by adding NaClO₄.

The data for Figure S-2 are summed up in table S-2

Table S-2: k_{obs} as a function of C_{Na2SO4}:

| C _{Na2SO4} M | k _{obs} s ⁻¹ |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.000 | 53.5 |
| 0.015 | 55.6 |
| 0.030 | 72.9 |
| 0.045 | 93.0 |
| 0.060 | 112 |
| 0.075 | 137 |

| C _{H2O2} M | k _{obs} s ⁻¹ |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.0750 | 7.84 |
| 0.105 | 10.2 |
| 0.150 | 11.9 |
| 0.200 | 14.4 |
| 0.240 | 15.7 |

Table S-3: k_{obs} as a function of C_{H2O2} (data for figure 1):

Table S-4: k_{obs} as a function of $C_{Co(ClO4)2}$ (data for figure 2):

| C Co(ClO4)2 M | k _{obs} s ⁻¹ |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.0100 | 7.34 |
| 0.0125 | 5.19 |
| 0.0150 | 4.07 |
| 0.0200 | 3.04 |
| 0.0250 | 2.58 |

Table S-5: k_{obs} as a function of C_{H2O2} (data for figure 3):

| C _{H2O2} M | k _{obs} s ⁻¹ |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.075 | 0.37 |
| 0.105 | 0.45 |
| 0.150 | 0.53 |
| 0.200 | 0.62 |
| 0.240 | 0.78 |

Table S-6: k_{obs} as a function of $C_{Co(CIO4)2}$ (data for figure 4):

| C _{Co(ClO4)2} M | k _{obs} s⁻¹ |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 0.0125 | 0.46 |
| 0.0150 | 0.37 |
| 0.0200 | 0.31 |
| 0.0250 | 0.28 |

Figure S-3 related to DFT calculations, reaction (10).



Figure S-3: The three plausible species a, b, c that can be formed in reactions S(1), S(2), S(3) respectively.

Reactions S(1) – **S(3)** related to DFT calculations, reaction (10). S(1) $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+} + \text{OOH}^- \rightarrow \text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5\text{OOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta \text{G} = -15.18 \text{ kcal/mol}$ S(2) $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+} + \text{OOH}^- \rightarrow \text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{OO}^{2-}) + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \quad \Delta \text{G} = -6.72 \text{ kcal/mol}$ S(3) $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+} + \text{OOH}^- \rightarrow \text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4\text{OO}(\text{triangle}) + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta \text{G} = 4.49 \text{ kcal/mol}$