## Supporting Information

# **Encapsulation of Large Dye Molecules in Hierarchically Superstructured Metal-Organic Frameworks**

Yanfeng Yue, Andrew J. Binder, Ruijing Song, Yuanjing Cui,<sup>\*</sup> Jihua Chen, Dale K. Hensley, and Sheng Dai<sup>\*</sup>

The details:

- 1. Experimental and measurements
- 2. Powder XRD patterns of mesoporous MOFs
- 3. Powder XRD patterns of RB@IRMOF-3 composite
- 4. Nitrogen –196 °C isotherms of RB@IRMOF-3 composite
- 5. Emission spectra of RB solution in ethanol and RB@IRMOF-3 composite
- 6. Fluorescence spectra of RB@IRMOF3 treated with methanol and ethanediol
- 7. The emission peak-height ratios between ligand and dye moieties
- 8. The porosity details of these hierarchical MOF series

#### **1.** Experimental and measurements

**Materials.** The ligand of 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H<sub>2</sub>BDC), 2-amino-1,4benzenedicarboxylic acid (NH<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>BDC), 1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylic acid (H<sub>4</sub>BTEC), Rhodamine B (RB), and solvent (dimethylformamide, DMF) and metal salts (zinc acetate and copper acetate) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc.. All materials were used without further purification.

Synthesis of hierarchical IRMOF-3. A 20 mL DMF solution of  $NH_2H_2BDC$  (0.10 g, 0.55 mmol) was added to a 20 mL DMF solution of 0.343 g, 1.57 mmol,  $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  under stirring. The mixture was stirred for 4 hours at room temperature, with the speed of magnetic bar being 1200 cycles/minute. The resulting white-off precipitate was separated by centrifugation, washed with dimethylformamide and methanol, subsequently dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight before characterizations.

Synthesis of hierarchical Cu-BDC. A 20 mL DMF solution of  $H_2BDC$  (0.166 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to a 20 mL DMF solution of 0.199 g, 1.0 mmol,  $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$  under stirring. The mixture was stirred for 48 hours at room temperature, with the speed of magnetic bar being 1200 cycles/minute. The resulting blue precipitate was separated by centrifugation, washed with dimethylformamide and methanol subsequently; then the solid was dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight before characterizations.

Synthesis of hierarchical Cu-BTEC. A 20 mL DMF solution of  $H_4BTEC$  (0.254 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to a 20 mL DMF solution of 0.498 g, 2.5 mmol,  $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$  under stirring. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature, with the speed of magnetic bar being 1200 cycles/minute. The resulting blue precipitate was separated by

centrifugation, washed with DMF and methanol, subsequently dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight.

Synthesis of RB@hierarchical IRMOF-3. A 20 mL DMF solution of  $NH_2H_2BDC$  (0.10 g, 0.55 mmol) and 0.05 g Rhodamine B was added to a 20 mL DMF solution of 0.343 g, 1.57 mmol,  $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  under stirring. The mixture was stirred for 4 hours at room temperature, with the speed of magnetic bar being 1200 cycles/minute. The resulting precipitate was separated by centrifugation, washed with dimethylformamide and methanol, subsequently dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight before characterizations.

**Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD).** Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis of these nanoporous materials was used to confirm the crystallinity as well as the phase purity of the bulk materials. Powder XRD patterns were recorded on a PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer equipped with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å).

**Gas Adsorption Analysis.** Gas adsorption studies were done to confirm the permanent porosity of the nanoporous MOF materials as well as to determine the surface area. Gas adsorption experiments were performed on a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 at 77 K. Samples (around 100 mg) were activated for 6 hours at 150 °C under nitrogen flow and subsequently loaded for adsorption analysis.

**Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (STEM).** Scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) images were recorded using a Hitachi HD2000 STEM microscope operating at 200 kV. Samples for STEM analysis were prepared by drop casting: one drop of the sample dispersion in ethanol was dropped onto a copper grid and allowed to dry at ambient temperature before being subjected to STEM analysis.

The Photoluminescence (PL) Spectra. The RB@IRMOF3 were exposed to different solvents, such as orthodichlorobenzene, chlorobenzene, benzene, toluene, *p*-xylene, methanol, and ethanediol for 10 min, then the luminescent spectra for the samples were recorded by a Hitachi F4600 fluorescence spectrometer. The photomultiplier tube voltage was 750 V and the scan speed was 240 nm/min, while the slit widths of excitation and emission spectra were 2.5 nm and 2.5 nm.

### 2. Powder XRD patterns of mesoporous MOFs



Figure S1. Powder XRD patterns of IRMOF-3 (*a*), Cu-BDC (*b*), and Cu-BTEC (*c*).

S5

# 3. Powder XRD patterns of RB@IRMOF-3 composite



Figure S2. Powder XRD patterns of RB@IRMOF-3 composite.

4. Nitrogen – 196 °C isotherms of RB@IRMOF-3 composite

а

b



Figure S3. Nitrogen – 196 °C isotherms of RB@IRMOF-3 composite (a) and PSD curve (b).

5. Emission spectra of RB solution in ethanol and RB@IRMOF-3 composite



Figure S4. Emission spectra of RB solution in ethanol and RB@IRMOF-3 excited at 355 nm; the molecular structure of PB (inset)

6. Fluorescence spectra of RB@IRMOF3 treated with methanol and ethanediol



Figure S5. Fluorescence spectra of RB@IRMOF-3 treated with methanol and ethanediol.

#### 7. The emission peak-height ratios between ligand and dye moieties



Figure S6. The emission peak-height ratios between ligand and dye moieties in RB@IRMOF-3 treated with methanol, ethanediol excited at 380 nm in the solid state at room temperature.

Samples	$V_{\rm SP}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> /g) <sup>a</sup>	$S_{\rm BET}$ $(m^2/g)^b$	$V_{\rm mi}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> /g) <sup>c</sup>	$100(V_{\rm mi}/V_{\rm SP})^d$	w (nm) <sup>e</sup>
IRMOF-3	0.41	248.4	0.05	12	19.4
Cu-BDC	0.23	107	0.01	4	13.7
Cu-BETC	0.38	226	f	_	8.1
RB@IRMOF-3	0.76	541.1	0.19	0.25	36.7

#### 8. Table S1. The porosity details of hierarchical MOF materials $^{\dagger}$

<sup>†*a*</sup>single point pore volume taken at  $p/p_0 \sim 0.98$ ; <sup>*b*</sup>specific surface area calculated in the p/p<sub>0</sub> range of 0.05 – 0.20; <sup>*c*</sup>micropore volume calculated in the *t*-plot range of 0.35–0.5; <sup>*d*</sup>approximate *t*-plot micropore to total pore volume percent ratio; <sup>*e*</sup>mesopore width from the maximum of the calculated PSDs; <sup>*f*</sup>data not obtained due to have no micropores in the product.