Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2014

## Dalton Discussions



### PREPRINT

## **Electronic Supplementary Information to**

# Optimizing the high-field relaxivity by self-assembling of macrocyclic Gd(III) complexes

Dale Lawson,<sup>a,c</sup> Alessandro Barge,<sup>b</sup> Enzo Terreno,<sup>a</sup> David Parker,<sup>c</sup> Silvio Aime,<sup>a</sup> Mauro Botta<sup>d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Molecular Imaging Center, University

of Torino, Via Nizza, 52, 10126, Torino, Italy.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Drug Science and Technology, University of Torino, Via Giuria 9, 10125 Torino, Italy.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

<sup>d</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Viale T. Michel

11, 15121, Alessandria, Italy Tel: +39 0131360253; E-mail: mauro.botta@unipmn.it

### Equations used for the analysis of NMRD data

The measured longitudinal proton relaxation rate,  $R_1^{obs}$  is the sum of a paramagnetic and a diamagnetic contribution as expressed in Eq. [1], where  $r_1$  is the proton relaxivity:

$$R_1^{obs} = R_1^d + R_1^p = R_1^d + r_1 \left[ Gd^{3+} \right]$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

The relaxivity can be divided into an inner and an outer sphere term as follows:

$$r_1 = r_{1is} + r_{1ss} + r_{1os}$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

The inner sphere term is given in Eq. [3], where q is the number of inner sphere water molecules.<sup>1</sup>

$$r_{\rm lis} = \frac{1}{1000} \times \frac{q}{55.55} \times \frac{1}{T_{\rm lm}^{\rm H} + \tau_{\rm m}}$$
[3]

The longitudinal relaxation rate of inner sphere protons,  $1/T_{1m}^{H}$  is expressed by Eq. [4]:

$$\frac{1}{T_{1m}^{H}} = \frac{2}{15} \left(\frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \frac{\gamma_{I}^{2} g^{2} \mu_{B}^{2}}{r_{GdH}^{6}} S(S+1) \left[\frac{3\tau_{d1}}{1+\omega_{I}^{2} \tau_{d1}^{2}} + \frac{7\tau_{d2}}{1+\omega_{S}^{2} \tau_{d2}^{2}}\right]$$
[4]

where  $r_{\text{GdH}}$  is the effective distance between the electron charge and the <sup>1</sup>H nucleus,  $\omega_I$  is the proton resonance frequency and  $\omega_S$  is the Larmor frequency of the Gd(III) electron spin.

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{di}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{m}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{RH}} + \frac{1}{T_{ie}} \qquad i = 1, 2$$
[5]

The longitudinal and transverse electronic relaxation rates,  $1/T_{1e}$  and  $1/T_{2e}$  are expressed by Eq. [7]-[8], where  $\tau_V$  is the electronic correlation time for the modulation of the zero-field-splitting interaction,  $E_V$  the corresponding activation energy and  $\Delta^2$  is the mean square zero-field-splitting energy. We assumed a simple exponential dependence of  $\tau_V$  versus 1/T as written in Eq. [9].

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_{1e}}\right)^{2FS} = \frac{1}{25}\Delta^2 \tau_v \left\{ 4S(S+1) - 3 \right\} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \omega_s^2 \tau_v^2} + \frac{4}{1 + 4\omega_s^2 \tau_v^2}\right)$$
[7]

**Dalton Discussions** 

$$\left(\frac{1}{T_{2e}}\right)^{ZFS} = \Delta^2 \tau_v \left(\frac{5.26}{1+0.372\omega_s^2 \tau_v^2} + \frac{7.18}{1+1.24\omega_s \tau_v}\right)$$

$$\tau_v = \tau_v^{298} \exp\left\{\frac{E_v}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15}\right)\right\}$$
[9]

The outer-sphere contribution can be described by Eq. [10] where  $N_A$  is the Avogadro constant, and  $J_{os}$  is its associated spectral density function.<sup>2,3</sup>

$$r_{1os} = \frac{32N_A\pi}{405} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{h^2 \gamma_S^2 \gamma_I^2}{a_{GdH} D_{GdH}} S(S+1) \left[3J_{os}(\omega_I; T_{1e}) + 7J_{os}(\omega_S; T_{2e})\right]$$
[10]

$$J^{OS}(\omega, T_{je}) = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(i\omega\tau_{GdH} + \frac{\tau_{GdH}}{T_{je}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \left(i\omega\tau_{GdH} + \frac{\tau_{GdH}}{T_{je}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{4}{9}\left(i\omega\tau_{GdH} + \frac{\tau_{GdH}}{T_{je}}\right) + \frac{1}{9}\left(i\omega\tau_{GdH} + \frac{\tau_{GdH}}{T_{je}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]$$
[11]

where j = 1, 2,  $\tau_{GdH} = \frac{a_{GdH}^2}{D_{GdH}}$ .

The same set of equations [3-5] can be used to evaluate the contribution to  $r_1$  of the water molecules in the second coordination sphere,  $r_{1ss}$ .<sup>4,5</sup>

- 1) Z. Luz, S. Meiboom, J. Chem. Phys. 1964, 40, 2686.
- 2) J. H. Freed, J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 68, 4034.
- 3) S. H. Koenig, R. D. Brown III, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1991, 22, 487.
- 4) M. Botta, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 399
- 5) K. D. Verma, A. Forgács, H. Uh, M. Beyerlein, M. E. Maier, S. Petoud, M. Botta, N. K. Logothetis *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 18011

### Equations used for the analysis of <sup>17</sup>O NMR data

From the measured <sup>17</sup>O NMR transversal relaxation rates and angular frequencies of the paramagnetic solutions,  $1/T_1$ ,  $1/T_2$  and  $\omega$ , and of the acidified water reference,  $1/T_{1A}$ ,  $1/T_{2A}$  and  $\omega_A$ , one can calculate the reduced relaxation rates,  $1/T_{1r}$ ,  $1/T_{2r}$  and reduced chemical shifts (Eq. **[1] - [2]**), where  $1/T_{2m}$  is the relaxation rate of the bound water and  $\Delta \omega_m$  is the chemical shift difference between bound and bulk water,  $\tau_m$  is the mean residence time or the inverse of the water exchange rate  $k_{ex}$  and  $P_m$  is the mole fraction of the bound water.<sup>1,2</sup>

$$\frac{1}{T_{2r}} = \frac{1}{P_m} \left[ \frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_{2A}} \right] = \frac{1}{\tau_m} \frac{T_{2m}^{-2} + \tau_m^{-1} T_{2m}^{-1} + \Delta \omega_m^2}{\left(\tau_m^{-1} + T_{2m}^{-1}\right)^2 + \Delta \omega_m^2} + \frac{1}{T_{2OS}}$$
[1]

$$\Delta \omega_{\rm r} = \frac{1}{P_{\rm m}} (\omega - \omega_{\rm A}) = \frac{\Delta \omega_{\rm m}}{(1 + \tau_{\rm m} T_{2\rm m}^{-1})^2 + \tau_{\rm m}^2 \Delta \omega_{\rm m}^2} + \Delta \omega_{os}$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

Previous studies have shown that outer sphere contributions to the <sup>17</sup>O relaxation rates are negligible.<sup>3</sup> Equation [1] can be further simplified:

$$\frac{1}{T_{2r}} = \frac{1}{T_{2m} + \tau_m}$$
[3]

The exchange rate is supposed to assume the Eyring equation. In Eq. [4]  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  are the entropy and enthalpy of activation for the water exchange process, and  $k_{ex}^{298}$  is the exchange rate at 298.15 K.

$$\frac{1}{\tau_m} = k_{ex} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left\{\frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{RT}\right\} = \frac{k_{ex}^{298} T}{298.15} \exp\left\{\frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{298.15} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right\}$$
[4]

In the transverse relaxation the scalar contribution,  $1/T_{2sc}$ , is the most important, Eq. [5].  $1/\tau_{s1}$  is the sum of the exchange rate constant and the electron spin relaxation rate.

$$\frac{1}{T_{2m}} \approx \frac{1}{T_{2SC}} = \frac{S(S+1)}{3} \left(\frac{A}{h}\right)^2 \tau_{S1}$$
[5]

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{S1}} = \frac{1}{\tau_m} + \frac{1}{T_{1e}}$$
[6]

- 1) Swift, T. J.; Connick, R. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 37, 307.
- 2) Zimmermann, J. R.; Brittin, W. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1957, 61, 1328.
- 3) Micskei, K.; Helm, L.; Brücher, E.; Merbach, A. E. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 3844.

### Binding Interaction of the two Gd(III) complexes

The binding parameters involved in the non-covalent interaction between two paramagnetic complexes,  $GdL^1$  and  $GdL^2$ , can be determined by relaxometry using the well-consolidated Proton Relaxation Enhancement (PRE) method.

In a solution containing the two interacting systems, the observed water protons longitudinal relaxation rate is expressed by the following relationship:

$$R_{1}^{obs} = r_{1}^{GdL^{1}} \left[ GdL^{1} \right] + r_{1}^{GdL^{2}} \left[ GdL^{2} \right] + r_{1}^{Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}} \left[ Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2} \right] + R_{1}^{dia}$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

where the  $r_i$  terms refer to the relaxivities, normalized to 1 mM, of the different paramagnetic species present in the solution. Note that  $r_1^{Gd2L1L2}$  represents the molecular relaxivity of the adduct, *i.e* is two times higher than the average value normalized to 1 mM concentration of Gd(III).  $R_1^{dia}$  is the diamagnetic contribution measured by replacing the Gd(III) complexes with a diamagnetic analogue.

The concentration of both the unbound complexes can be expressed in terms of the bound species:

$$R_{1}^{obs} = r_{1}^{GdL^{1}} \left( GdL_{T}^{1} - \left[ Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2} \right] \right) + r_{1}^{GdL_{2}} \left( GdL_{T}^{2} - \left[ Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2} \right] \right) + r_{1}^{Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}} \left[ Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2} \right] + R_{1}^{dia}$$

$$R_{1}^{obs} - R_{1}^{dia} = r_{1}^{GdL^{1}} \left[ Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2} \right] \left( r_{1}^{Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}} - r_{1}^{GdL^{1}} - r_{1}^{GdL^{2}} \right) + r_{1}^{GdL^{1}} GdL_{T}^{1} + r_{1}^{GdL^{2}} GdL_{T}^{2}$$

$$[3]$$

where  $GdL_{T}^{1}$  and  $GdL_{T}^{2}$  represent the total concentration of the two complexes in the aqueous solution. The concentration of the adduct can be obtained by the expression of the chemical equilibrium:

$$GdL^1 + GdL^2 \iff Gd_2L^1L^2$$
 [4]

$$K_{A} = \frac{\left[Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}\right]}{\left[GdL^{1}\right]\left[GdL^{2}\right]} = \frac{\left[Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}\right]}{\left[GdL_{T}^{1} - Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}\right]\left[GdL_{T}^{2} - Gd_{2}L^{1}L^{2}\right]}$$
[5]

$$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{1}\mathrm{L}^{2}\right] = \frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1} + \mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right) - \sqrt{\left(\mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1} + \mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4\mathrm{K}_{A}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2}}{2\mathrm{K}_{A}}$$

$$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{1}\mathrm{L}^{2}\right] = \frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1} + \mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right) - \sqrt{\left(\mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1} + \mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4\mathrm{K}_{A}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2}}$$

$$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{1}\mathrm{L}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1} + \mathrm{K}_{A}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4\mathrm{K}_{A}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{1}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2}}$$

$$\left[\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{1}\mathrm{L}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4\mathrm{K}_{A}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1$$

$$\left(\mathrm{Gd}_{2}\mathrm{L}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4\mathrm{K}_{A}^{2}\mathrm{Gd}\mathrm{L}_{T}^{2} + 1$$

The combination between Eqs. 3 and 6 allows the analysis of the relaxation rate dependence on the total concentration of one of the two complexes chosen as independent variable in the titration (*e.g.*  $GdL^2$  in the experiment reported in Fig. 7 left).

Figure S1. pH dependence of the longitudinal water proton relaxivity at 20 MHz and 25 °C of GdL<sup>2</sup>

