Supplementary Material

for

Thermodynamic stability, kinetic inertness and relaxometric properties of monoamide derivatives of lanthanide(III) DOTA complexes

Lorenzo Tei,^a Zsolt Baranyai,^b Luca Gaino,^a Attila Forgács,^a Adrienn Vágner^b and Mauro Botta^{*a}

^a Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica, Università del Piemonte Orientale "Amedeo Avogadro", Viale T. Michel 11, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy

^b Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, Egyetem tér 1., Hungary

Table of content:

UV-spectrophotometric studies of Ce ³⁺ - L1 system	page	1
Species distribution of Gd^{3+} - L1 and Gd^{3+} - L2 systems	page	2
Formation kinetics of Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2)	page	2
Dissociation kinetics of GdL1 and GdL2	page	5
NMRD profile of GdL5 at 310 K	page	7

1. UV-spectrophotometric studies of Ce^{3+} - L1 system and the species distribution of Gd^{3+} - L1 and Gd^{3+} - L1 systems



Figure S1. Absoprtion spectra of Ce³⁺_{aq} and Ce³⁺ - L1 systems ([Ce³⁺]=[DOTAEN]=1.5 mM, pH=2.40 (1), 2.46 (2), 2.63 (3), 2.75 (4), 3.18 (5), 3.55 (6) and 4.20 (7), 0,1 M KCl, 298 K)

It can be seen in Figure S1 that the absoprtion spectra of Ce^{3+}_{aq} and Ce^{3+} - L1 systems are differ considerably. In the wavelength range 300 - 340 nm, the absorbance values increase with the increase of pH due to the formation of the monoprotonated Ce(HL1) complex in the pH range 2.4 - 4.2. In the wavelength range 300 - 340 nm, the absorption band of the Ce(HL1) is characteristic for the Ce(DOTA)-like complexes (Burai, L.; Fabian, I.; Kiraly, R.; Szilagyi, E.; Brucher, E. Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions 1998, 243.; Baranyai, Z.; Brucher, E.; Ivanyi, T.; Kiraly, R.; Lazar, I.; Zekany, L. Helvetica Chimica Acta 2005, 88, 604.) in which the Ce^{3+} -ion is placed in the coordination cage formed by the ring N and carboxylate or amide O donor atoms. Since the absoprtion band of the Ce(HL1) complexes is well separated from that of free Ce³⁺aq, the equilibrium studies were performed on the absortion band of Ce(HL1). By taking into account the molar absoprtivities of Ce(HL1) and the protonation constant ($\log K_{CeHL}$) of the Ce(L1) determined by the direct pH potentiometric titration of Ce(L1), the stability constant of Ce(L1) was calculated form the absrobance values obtained at 10 wavelengths (Figure S1) in the pH range 2.4 - 4.2. The molar absoprtivity values of the Ce(HL1) were calculated from the absoprtion spectra of 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 mM Ce(HL1) complex recorded in the wavelength range 220 – 345 nm (pH=6.0, 0.1 M KCl, 25 °C).



Figure S2. Species distribution of Gd³⁺ - L1 (A) and Gd³⁺ - L2 (B) systems ([Gd³⁺]=[L1]=[L2]=2.0 mM, 0.1 M KCl, 298 K)

2. Formation kinetics of Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2):

The rates of the formation reactions of Ce(III)- and Eu(III)-complexes with L1 and L2 have been studied by spectrophotometry in the presence of 5 - 40 folds Ln(III) ion excess in the pH range 3.5 - 6.0. The absorption spectra of the Ce³⁺-L1, Eu³⁺-L1 and Ce³⁺-L2 reacting systems are shown in Figure S3 and S4.



Figure S3. Absoprtion spectra of Ce^{3+} - L1 (A) and Eu^{3+} - L1 (B) reacting systems. ([Ce^{3+}]=[Eu^{3+}]=[L1]=0.001 M, [N-metil-piperazine]=0,01 M, pH=4.65, 0,1 M KCl, 25 °C)



Figure S4. Absoprtion spectra of Ce³⁺ - L2 reacting systems. ([Ce³⁺]=[L2]=0.6 mM, [N-metil-piperazine]=0,01 M, pH=4.80, 0,1 M KCl, 25 °C)

In the investigated pH range, Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2) complexes predominate in which the pendant amino nitrogen is protonated. At higher pH values, the formation reactions were too fast to be followed by conventional spectrophotometry and below pH=3.5 the complex formation was not complete. Under the conditions studied, the pseudo-first order rate constants (k_{obs}) obtained are shown as a function of [Ln³⁺] in Figures S5 and S6.



Figure S5. Pseudo-first order rate constants (k_{obs}) for the formation of Ce(HL1) (A) and Eu(HL1) (B) complexes as a function of $[Ln^{3+}]$ (A: $[L]=2\times10^{-4}$ M, pH=4.7 (\blacklozenge), 5.0 (\blacksquare), 5.35 (\blacktriangle), 5.65 (\bullet) and 6.0 (×); B: $[L]=2\times10^{-4}$ M, pH=3.8 (\blacklozenge), 4.2 (\blacksquare), 4.5 (\blacktriangle), 4.6 (\bullet) and 4.9 (×), [N-methyl-piperazine]=[piperazine]=0.01 M, 25 °C, 1,0 M KCl))



Figure S6. Pseudo-first order rate constants (k_{obs}) for the formation of Ce(HL2) (**A**) and Eu(HL2) (**B**) complexes as a function of [Ln³⁺] (**A**: [L]=2×10⁻⁴ M, pH=3.85 (\blacklozenge), 4.25 (\blacksquare), 4.45 (\blacktriangle), 4.65 (\bullet) and 4.85 (\times); **B**: [L]=2×10⁻⁴ M, pH=3.60 (\blacklozenge), 3.75 (\blacksquare), 4.40 (\blacktriangle) and 4.65 (\bullet),[N-methyl-piperazine]=[piperazine]=0.01 M, 25 °C, 1,0 M KCl)

The formation rates of Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2) complexes are expressed by Eqn. (1)

$$\frac{d[LnHL]_{t}}{dt} = k_{obs}[L]_{t}$$
(1)

where k_{obs} is a pseudo-first order rate constant, [L]_t is the total concentration of the H_xL1 and H_xL2 ligands and [LnHL]_t is the total concentration of the Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2) complexes. Based on the similarities to the Ln³⁺-DOTA system, the formation rate of the Ln(HL1) and Ln(HL2) complexes can be expressed by Eqn. (2):

$$\frac{d[LnHL]_{t}}{dt} = k_{obs}[L]_{t} = k_{f}[*Ln(H_{3}L)]$$
⁽²⁾

where [*Ln(H₃L)] is the concentration of the triprotonated *Ln(H₃L) intermediate and k_f is the rate constant characterizing the deprotonation and rearrangement of the intermediate into LnHL complexes. By taking into account the protonation constants of L1 and L2 (log K_i^{H} , Table 1), the conditional stability constants of the *Ln(H₃L) intermediates (*K^c_{Ln(H₃L)}=[*Ln(H₃L)]/[Ln³⁺][H₃L]), the pseudo-first order rate constant can be expressed by Eqn. (3), which was used for the fitting of the k_{obs} values to determine the k_f rate constant and the stability constant (*K_{Ln(H₃L)}) of the *Ln(H₃L) intermediate.

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_{f}^{*} K_{Ln(H_{3}L)}^{c} K_{1}^{H} K_{2}^{H} K_{3}^{H} [Ln^{3+}] [H^{+}]^{3}}{\alpha_{H}^{+} K_{Ln(H_{3}L)}^{c} K_{1}^{H} K_{2}^{H} K_{3}^{H} [Ln^{3+}] [H^{+}]^{3}}$$
(3)

where $\alpha_H = 1 + K_1^H [H^+] + K_1^H K_2^H [H^+]^2 + ... + K_1^H K_2^H K_3^H K_4^H K_5^H K_6^H [H^+]^6$.

3. Dissociation kinetics of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2):

The dissociation reactions of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) were studied by ¹H-NMR relaxometry in the [H⁺] range 0.05 – 1.0 M. The relaxivity values of the Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) in 0.95 M HCl as a function of time are shown in Figure S7.



In the presence of excess of H⁺ ion the dissociation reactions of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) can be treated as pseudo-first-order processes and the reaction rates can be expressed with Eqn. (4), where k_d is a pseudo-first-order rate constant, [GdL]_t and [GdL]_{tot} are the concentrations of the GdL containing species at time *t* and at the beginning of the reaction.

$$-\frac{d[GdL]_{t}}{dt} = k_{d}[GdL]_{tot}$$
(4)

The obtained pseudo-first-order rate constants characterizing the dissociation reactions of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) are shown in Figure S8.



Figure S8. Pseudo-first-order rate constants (k_d) characterizing the dissociation reactions of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) ([GdL]=0.001 M, [HCl]+[KCl]=1.0 M, 298 K)

As shown in Figure S8 the dissociation rates of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) are proportional to [H⁺]. The increase of k_d with increasing H⁺ concentration can be interpreted in terms of the proton assisted dissociation of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) complexes.

Considering the relatively high protonation constant of the aminoethyl group of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) complexes (Gd(L1): $\log K_{GdHL}=9.24$; Gd(L2): $\log K_{GdHL}=8.40$), the dissociation reactions essentially occur by the spontaneous dissociation of monoprotonated Gd(HL) complexes (Eqn. (5)), by the formation (Eqn. (6)) and the dissociation of diprotonated Gd(H₂L) complexes (Eqn. (7)) and by the proton assisted dissociation of the diprotonated Gd(H₂L) complexes (Eqn. (8)).

$$Gd(HL) \xrightarrow{k_0} Gd^{3+} + H_xL$$
 (5)

$$Gd(H_2L) \xrightarrow{k_{GdH_2L}} Gd^{3+} + H_xL$$
(7)

$$Gd(H_2L) + H^+ \xrightarrow{k_{GdH_2L}^H} Gd^{3+} + H_xL$$
 (8)

By taking into account all the possible pathways, the pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_d) of dissociation reaction of Gd(L1) and Gd(L2) can be expressed by Eq. (9).

$$-\frac{d[GdHL]}{dt} = k_0[GdHL] + k_{GdH_2L}[GdH_2L] + k_{GdH_2L}^H[GdH_2L][H^+]$$
(9)

By taking into account the total concentration of the complex $([GdL]_{tot}=[Gd(HL)]+[Gd(H_2L)])$, and the protonation constant $(K_{GdH_2L}^H)$ of Gd(HL) complexes (Eqn. (6)), the pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_d) can be expressed as follows:

$$k_{d} = \frac{k_{0} + k_{1}[H^{+}] + k_{2}[H^{+}]^{2}}{1 + K_{GdH_{2}L}^{H}[H^{+}]}$$
(10)

where k_0 , $k_1 (= k_{\text{GdH}_2\text{L}} \times K_{\text{GdH}_2\text{L}}^{\text{H}})$ and $k_2 (= k_{\text{GdH}_2\text{L}}^{\text{H}} \times K_{\text{GdH}_2\text{L}}^{\text{H}})$ are the rate constants characterizing the spontaneous and the proton-assisted dissociation of Gd(HL1) and Gd(HL2), respectively. The k_0 , k_1 , k_2 and $K_{\text{GdH}_2\text{L}}^{\text{H}}$ were calculated by fitting the data points presented in Figure S7 to the Eqn. (10).

4. ¹H NMRD profile of GdL5 at 310 K

