

Electronic Supplementary Information Direct biofuel low-temperature solid oxide fuel cells

Haiying Qin,^{a,b} Zhigang Zhu,^{a,c} Qinghua Liu,^a Yifu Jing,^a Rizwan Raza,^{a,d} Syedkhalid Imran,^a Manish Singh,^{c,e} Ghazanfar Abbas^{c,d} and Bin Zhu^{*a}

a Department of Energy Technology, Royal Institute of Technology, KTH, 10044, Stockholm, Sweden. Tel: +46 8 790 7403; E-mail: binzhu@kth.se

b Department of Chemical and Biological, Zhejiang University, 310027, Hangzhou, China

c GETT Fuel Cell AB, Stora Nygatan 33, S-10314, Stockholm, Sweden

d Department of Physics, COMSATS University, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

e Department of ceramic engineering, Institute of Technology-Banaras Hindu University, 221005, Varanasi, India

Preparation of electrolyte and electrode

The electrolyte for investigation was samarium doped ceria-sodium carbonate composite (NSDC) electrolyte prepared by carbonate co-precipitation method. Firstly, $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.99%, Sigma–Aldrich) and $\text{Sm}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (99.9%, Sigma–Aldrich) were dissolved in deionized water with a stoichiometric molar ratio of $\text{Ce}^{3+} : \text{Sm}^{3+} = 4:1$ to obtain a 100 ml solution (the molar concentration of the total rare-earth metal cation ion is 1.0 M). Then 200 ml of Na_2CO_3 solution (1.0 M) was added slowly (with a flow rate of 10 mL min^{-1}) into the solution under vigorous stirring to form a white precipitation at room temperature. After stirring for 2 h, the precipitation was filtered by suction traction method and dried in the oven over night at 50°C . Finally, the NSDC precursor was crushed and sintered at 800°C for 2 h.

The $\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{0.7}\text{Cu}_{0.1}\text{O}$ catalyst was prepared by a sol-gel reaction. A stoichiometric amount of Li_2CO_3 (99.99%, Sigma–Aldrich), $\text{CuCO}_3 \cdot \text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ (95%, Sigma–Aldrich) and $\text{NiCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (~46% Ni basis, Sigma–Aldrich) were mixed and dissolved in deionized water to form a 1.0 M solution. Then the solution was added with citric acid (99.5%, Sigma–Aldrich) in a molar ratio of total metal cation ion: citric acid=1:2 and stirred vigorously to obtain a homogeneous solution. The obtained solution was heated with continuous stirring at 100°C for 2 h to form a glassy sol. Finally, the obtained sol was sintered in air at 800°C for 2 h resulting in the $\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{0.7}\text{Cu}_{0.1}\text{O}$ catalyst.

Equal volume of NSDC powder and $\text{Li}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{0.7}\text{Cu}_{0.1}\text{O}$ catalyst powder were first mixed and ground to prepare anode and cathode materials. NSDC was used as the electrolyte material. The cathode, electrolyte and anode were uniaxially pressed into a cylindrical pellet by a hot co-pressed process at 600°C under 100 MPa. The pellets had diameter of 20 mm and thickness of 1 mm. Finally, both anode and cathode surface were covered with silver mesh as current collectors for fuel cell performance measurements..

Evaluation of cell performance and catalyst

A single fuel cell with the active area of 2 cm² was assembled to evaluate the cell performance of the biofuel used in the low temperature solid oxide fuel cell. The solutions of the feed biofuel (market-bioethanol, 56 wt.%, Beijing Redstar Co., Ltd.; glycerol, 85 wt.%, Apoteket Produktion & Laboratorier AB) in deionized water with a mass concentration of 50 wt.% were used as the fuels. The cell tests were carried out under a fuel solution flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹, a reforming gas flow rate of 100 mL min⁻¹ and a dry air flow rate of 100 mL min⁻¹ under 1 atm pressure. The temperature of the reforming reactor is 600 °C and the operation temperature of the fuel cell is 580 °C. The electrochemical performance of the fuel cell was measured by a computerized instrument (L-43). The crystal structure of catalyst was identified by X-ray diffraction with Rigaku-D/Max-3A diffractometer using Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). The surface of anode before and after operating was investigated by a Zeiss Ultra 55 field-emission scanning electron microscope combined with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy.

Thermodynamic parameters for calculation of theoretical open circuit voltage (OCV)

Reactions:



Tab. S1 Thermodynamic parameters for calculation of theoretical open circuit voltage (OCV).

Compounds	C ₂ H ₅ OH(l)	C ₃ H ₈ O ₃ (l)	O ₂ (g)	CO ₂ (g)	H ₂ O(l)
$\Delta_f G^0$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)	-174.8	-476.5	0	-394.4	-237.1
S^0 (J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹)	160.7	206.3	205.1	213.8	70

* Data from CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics and Lange's Handbook of Chemistry

According to the Nernst equation,

$$E = \frac{\Delta G^0}{nF} \quad (3)$$

Where the ΔG^0 is the Gibbs free energy change of the reaction, n is the number of electronic of the reaction, F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹). Therefore, the OCV of reaction 1 and reaction 2 can be calculated as follows,

$$\text{OCV}_{\text{ethanol}} = -\frac{(3 \times 237.1 + 2 \times 394.4 - 174.8) \times 1000}{12 \times 96485} = 1.14 \text{ V}$$

$$\text{OCV}_{\text{glycerol}} = -\frac{(4 \times 237.1 + 3 \times 394.4 - 476.5) \times 1000}{14 \times 96485} = 1.22 \text{ V}$$

According to the relationship between the theoretical OCV and temperature,

$$E_T = E^0 + \frac{\Delta\hat{s}}{nF}(T - T_0) \quad (4)$$

where E_T is the theoretical potential for the cell at the temperature of T , E^0 is the theoretical standard potential of the cell, $\Delta\hat{s}$ is the entropy change of the reaction.

When estimating the E_T , the $\Delta\hat{s}$ is usually assumed to be equal to $\Delta\hat{s}^0$. Therefore, the OCV of reaction 1 and reaction 2 at the operation temperature of 580 °C can be calculated as follows,

$$\text{OCV}_{\text{ethanol}}(580\text{ }^\circ\text{C}) = 1.14 + \frac{-138.4}{12 \times 96485}(853.15 - 298.15) = 1.074\text{ V}$$

$$\text{OCV}_{\text{glycerol}}(580\text{ }^\circ\text{C}) = 1.22 + \frac{-2.75}{14 \times 96485}(853.15 - 298.15) = 1.219\text{ V}$$