## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanodisk Designed for Li-ion Batteries: A Novel Strategy of Obtaining Ultrathin and High Surface Area Anode Material at Ice Interface

Gonu  $\text{Kim}^{\dagger}$ , Changshin Jo<sup>†</sup>, Wooyul Kim, Jinyoung Chun, Songhun Yoon, Jinwoo Lee\* and Wonyong Choi\*

- 1. Experimental Details
  - 1) Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder
  - 2) Synthesis of colloidal 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice and colloidal TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle
  - 3) Material Characterization
  - 4) Electrochemical Characterization
- 2. Text S1, Fig. S1~6, Table S1~S2
- 3. References

## **1. Experimental Details**

1) Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder: 100 mL of deionized (DI) water was frozen in a refrigerator for more than 12h. Ultrapure (18 M $\Omega$ cm) deionized water was prepared by a Barnstead purification system and the temperature of a refrigerator was -17 °C. The prepared ice was cracked into small pieces by an ice-breaker and obtained pieces of ice were added to 200 mL of normal-hexane (n-hexane) (Samchun, Korea) solvent which contains 0.5 mL of titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TTIP, Junsei). After slight stirring for a second, the n-hexane solvent was poured out and 100 mL of ethanol (J. T. Baker) was added into the ice pieces. White TiO<sub>2</sub> precipitate was obtained by filtering the above prepared solution and washed with 1L of DI water. The resulting TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder was dried in an oven at 80 °C for 12 h.

**2**) **Synthesis of colloidal 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice and colloidal TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle:** Synthetic procedure for colloidal 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice was similar to that for TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder. After synthesizing 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice on the surface of ice by the above process, n-hexane solvent was poured out and we added 100 mL of ethanol which containing 1mL of hydrochloric acid (HCl, Sigma-Aldrich, 37%). After adding the ethanol solution into remaining pieces of ice, this solution was evaporated at 50°C. White color of the solution became transparent as the solvent evaporated. The transparent colloidal 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice solution was collected before the solvent was completely evaporated. The synthesis of colloidal TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle followed the literature method.<sup>[1]</sup> 5 mL of 2-propanol (Junsei) was added to 30 mL of TTIP (Junsei) and this mixture was added to 180 mL of DI water. 2 mL of HCl (Sigma-Aldrich, 37%) was added to above solution and then the solution was heated at 80 °C for 8 h. Final powder product was obtained by evaporating the solvent under low pressure using a rotatory evaporator.

**3)** Material Characterization: The phase identification of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk was carried out by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (Mac Science Co. M18XHF). The N<sub>2</sub> physisorption analysis for BET surface area and pore size distribution was conducted using Micromeritics Tristar II. For AFM analysis, TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were diluted with 50% (v/v) water/ethanol solution and then dispersed by strong sonication process. Samples for AFM measurements were prepared by loading a drop of diluted solution of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder or Degussa P25 on the mica substrate. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurement was carried out in tapping mode using a scanning probe microscope (SPM, Veeco Dimension 3100 + Nanoscope V). The morphologies of TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were analyzed by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, JEOL JEM-2200 FS) and field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JEOL JSM-7401F). For the analysis of AFM, HR-TEM, FE-SEM, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk powder was dried in an oven at 80 °C and Degussa P25 was used as received. Absorption measurements were carried out with a UV/visible spectrophotometer (Agilent 8453).

**4)** Electrochemical Characterization: For the preparation of electrodes, the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk or Degussa P25 powder was separately mixed with conducting carbon (Super P) and a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) binder (8:1:1 in weight ratio) in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). The slurry was coated on a Cu foil. After drying at 80 °C in vacuum oven more than 1 day, the electrode was pressed and cut. The half-cell test was conducted with a coin-type two electrode cell (CR2032). The lithium foil was used as both counter and reference electrodes. The electrolyte was 1.0 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (EC/DMC, 1:1 volume ratio, Panaxetec Co., Korea). The galvanostatic charge/discharge test was conducted in the potential range of 3.0 to 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) using a WBCS-3000 battery cycler (Xeno Co.). The cyclic voltammetry test was performed in the 3.0 to 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) range using a

Reference 600 potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, USA). The electrochemical impedance spectrocsopy (EIS) analysis was conducted at  $E \approx 1.7$  V after 5 charge/discharge cycles. The frequency range was  $10^5$  to 0.01 Hz under ac stimulus with 5 mV amplitude using Reference 600 potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, USA).

## Text S1

The bandgap shift,  $\Delta E_g$ , of a two-dimensional crystallites is given by<sup>[2]</sup>

$$\Delta E_{g} = \frac{h^{2}}{4\mu_{xy}L_{xy^{2}}} + \frac{h^{2}}{8\mu_{z}L_{z^{2}}}$$
(1)

where  $\mu_{xy}$  and  $\mu_z$  are reduced effective masses of electron-hole pairs in parallel (xy) and perpendicular (z) directions with respect to the two-dimensional crystallites.  $L_{xy}$  and  $L_z$  are the corresponding crystallite dimensions. The first term in eq 1 can be neglected because  $L_z$  is much smaller than  $L_{xy}$ . ( $L_{xy} \ge 10$  nm,  $L_z = 0.75$  nm,  $\mu_z = 1.63 m_e^{[3]}$ , h=Planck constant)



Fig. S1. HR-TEM image of (a) 2-D  $TiO_2$ -ice and (b) Degussa P25



Fig. S2. Nomal TEM images of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice



Fig. S3. FE-SEM image of (a), (b), (c) 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice and (d) Degussa P25.



Fig. S4. (a)  $N_2$  physisorption isotherm plot and (b) pore size distribution of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice.



**Fig. S5.** Differential capacity plot of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice electrode in the potential range of 3.0 to 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) at current density of 34 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.

This differential capacity curve of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice electrode is consistent with the charge/discharge curve in Figure 4 a. In the first cathodic scan, the 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice electrode exhibited two peaks (1.85 and 1.75 V), indicating  $\text{Li}^+$  insertion into the different size anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> crystallites. From second cycle, these peaks were combined in a sharp peak centered at 1.8 V. The first anodic curve also showed two peaks at 1.79 and 1.88 V. However, the anodic 1.79 V peak disappeared during initial 3 cycles, which results in the initial capacity loss. On the other hand, unchanged anodic peak at 1.88 V signifies that the delithiation process is reversible in 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice electrode. These cathodic/anodic peaks support that the anatse TiO<sub>2</sub> crystallites were well developed inside the nanodisk structure despite of its low synthetic temperature (maximum temperature is 80 °C during trying process).

At 1.6 ~ 1.0 V region, there is a broad cathodic peak centered at 1.55 V and this process is reversible. This peak is attributed to the unique characteristics of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice, such as high surface area and the amorphous region between crystallites. The surface capacitive behavior is possible in high surface area TiO<sub>2</sub> nanodisk, similar to other nano-material studies.<sup>[4]</sup> Besides, the amorphous phase in nanodisk structure also reversibly uptakes and releases Li<sup>+</sup> at lower voltage region (< 1.7 V), as confirmed by our previous study.



**Fig. S6.** Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of Degussa P25 electrode in the potential range of 3.0 to 1.0 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>).



Fig. S7. Cycle performance plot of 2-D TiO<sub>2</sub>-ice electrode at various current densities.

**Table S1.** Fitted impedance parameters of Nyquist spectroscopy using Randle circuit model and Zview software.

	$R_{s}(\Omega)$	$R_{ct}(\Omega)$	$Z_{w}\left(\Omega^{-1}\right)$
2-D TiO <sub>2</sub> -ice	1.9	103	0.054
Degussa P25	4.1	204	0.036

**Table S2.** Electrode capacities for different  $TiO_2$  anode materials at different measurement conditions.

Type of TiO <sub>2</sub>	Capacity <sup>a</sup>	Rate	Capacity <sup>b</sup>	Rate	Reference
	$(mA h g^{-1})$		$(\mathbf{mA} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{g}^{-1})$		
2-D TiO <sub>2</sub> -ice	191.4	0.2 C	112	20 C	This work
Freeze-dried product	165	$10 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$			J. Phys. Chem.
of the titania nanosheets					Solids, 2008, 69,
					1447-1449
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	185.3	2 C	85*	20 C	J. Mater. Chem.,
(wire shape)					2012, 22, 21513-
					21518
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanosheets	147.1	4 C	111.8	20 C	Electrochem.
(rectangular shape)					Commun., 2009, 11,
					2332-2335
Hierarchical spheres	174	1 C	95	20 C	J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
based on the $TiO_2$					2010, 132, 6124-6130
nanosheets					
Sandwich-like, stacked	191*	2 C	109*	50 C	Adv. Mater., 2011,
ultrathin TiO <sub>2</sub>					23, 998-1002
Carbon supported	172.6	1.0	5.0	147.9	I Maton Chom
ultrothin TiO	172.0	IC	30	147.0	J. Maler. Chem.,
nanoshaats					2011, 21, 3007-3092
nanosneets					

All values are delithiation capacities. <sup>a</sup>capacity value at low current density condition. <sup>b</sup>capacity value at high current density condition. \*lithiation capacity.

## **Reference.**

[1]. N. Lakshminarasimhan, W. Kim, W. Choi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 20451

[2]. (a) N. Sakai, Y. Ebina, K. Takada, T. Sasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 5851, (b) L.

Kavan, T. Stoto, M. Grtzel, D. Fitzmaurice, V. Shklover, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 9493, (c)

C. J. Sandroff, S. P. Kelty, D. M. Hwang, J. Chem. Phys., 1986, 85, 5337

[3]. C. Kormann, D. W. Bahnemann, M. R. Hoffmann, J. Phys. Chem., 1988, 82, 5196

[4] (a) B. He, B. Dong, H. -L. Li, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, 9, 425-430, (b) H. -W. Shin,

D. K. Lee, I. -S. Cho, K. S. Hong, D. -W. Kim, Nanotechnology, 2010, 21, 255706-255714

[5] Y. Zhou, J. Lee, C. W. Lee, M. Wu, S. Yoon, Chemsuschem, 2012, 5, 2376-2382