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Materials and reagents. THF and toluene were distilled over sodium/benzophenone. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, acetonitrile, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and DMSO were distilled after drying with $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Other reagents were obtained from commercial resources and used without further purification. Alkyl idoides, $N$-dodecanyl-2,8-bis(trimethylstannyl)dithieno[3,2-b;6,7-b] carbazole and 6,6'-dibromo-7-fluoro- $N, N^{\prime}$ '(2-octyldodecanyl)-isoindigo was synthesized following the previous reports. ${ }^{1-3} \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ and $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ are purchased from American Dye Source. Inc. (ADS). Anhydrous o-xylene and o-DCB were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Instruments. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker $400-\mathrm{MHz}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, all chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm). Chemical shift was reported relative to an internal tetramethylsilane (TMS). UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra and photoluminesencence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 UV-vis-NIR spectrometer and a Perkin-Elmer LS 50B spectrofluorometer, respectively. The opitical bandgap was calculated from absorption onset $\left(E_{g}{ }^{\text {opt }}=\right.$ $1240 / \lambda_{\text {onset }} \mathrm{eV}$ ). High temperature GPC analysis was conducted on a PL-GPC 220 system using polystyrene as standard and 1, 2, 4-trichlorobenzene as eluent at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer TGA7 and Perkin-Elmer DSC7, respectively, under a nitrogen flow. Film CV were performed on a CHI660a electrochemical analyzer with a three-electrode cell at a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} . \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ and anhydrous acetonitrile were used as electrolyte and solvent, respectively. A glassy carbon electrode with a diameter of 10 mm , a Pt wire, and a saturated calomel
electrode were used as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. To obtain electronic energy levels of the polymer films, the occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels were estimated by the equations: $\mathrm{HOMO}=-\left(4.80+E_{\text {onset }}^{o x}\right) \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{LUMO}=-(4.80+$ $\left.E_{\text {onset }}^{r e}\right) \mathrm{eV}$, in which $E_{\text {onset }}^{o x}$ and $E_{\text {onset }}^{r e}$ are the oxidation and reduction onset potentials versus ferrocene $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$, respectively. Thin-film X-ray diffraction (XRD) were recorded on a Bruker D8 Discover thin-film diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=$ $1.54056 \AA$ ) operated at 40 kV and 40 mA . Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the polymers blend films were performed on JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope operated at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV .
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## Experimental Section

Fabrication and characterization of OTFTs. Bottom gate and top contact device structure was used for the fabrication of OTFTs on heavily doped n-type silicon wafers with 300 nm thermally grown $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}=10 \mathrm{nF} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$. The substrate was cleaned and modified with octylsilyltrichloride (OTS-C $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ ) according to the literature. ${ }^{4}$ The polymer solutions ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $o$-xylene or $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $o-\mathrm{DCB}$ ) were deposited on the modified substrate with 1000 rpm for 2 min . Then gold electrodes were evaporated under vacuum by shadow mask with a channel width (W) of $3000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a channel length (L) of $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The devices were measured in air with two Keithley 236 units.

Fabrication and characterization of BHJ PSCs. To fabricate the conventional solar cells, ITO coated glass substrate was cleaned with detergent, de-ionized water, acetone and isopropyl alcohol sequentially. After dried at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oven overnight, ITO surface treated with UV-ozone for 25 mins, then about 40 nm PEDOT:PSS (Baytron 4083) was deposited and baked at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min to remove the residual water. Polymer:PCBM solution (for polymer: $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $o$-xylene or $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $o$ DCB) was spin-coated on the substrate in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-filled glovebox at 600 rpm for 2 min . The film thickness was $100 \pm 5 \mathrm{~nm}$. Finally, LiF ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and Al (80 nm) were evaporated under high vacuum to serve as cathode. For the inverted solar cells, ZnO $(20 \mathrm{~nm})$ was spin-coated on the clean ITO substrate. The fabrication of the active layer followed the aforementioned procedure. Then $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~nm}) / \mathrm{Al}(80 \mathrm{~nm})$ cathode was thermally deposited. The active area of the device is $12.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} . J-V$ curves were
recorded with computer controlled Keithley 236. An Oriel 150 W solar simulator with an AM 1.5G filter was used as light source and the light power was $100 \mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, which is accurately calibrated by silicon diode with KG-5 visible color filter. EQE curves were recorded on the IPCE measurement system (QE-R3011, Enli Technology Co., Ltd) under short-circuit condition with monochromatic light obtained from Xenon lamp, chopper with the frequency of 133 Hz , a lock-in amplifier (SR830, Stanford Research Corp) and with a Si-based diode as reference cell for calibration.

Fabrication and characterization of hole-only and electron-only devices: Holyonly and electron-only devices were fabricated with the structures of glass/ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}(180 \mathrm{~nm}) / \mathrm{MoO}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~nm}) / \mathrm{Al}(80 \mathrm{~nm})$ and glass/ $\mathrm{Al}(100 \mathrm{~nm}) /$ polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}(180 \mathrm{~nm}) / \mathrm{Al}(100 \mathrm{~nm})$, respectively. The devices were measured in the dark with computer controlled Keithley 236. The mobilities were calculated by fitting the dark current using the Mott-Gurney relationship.

Photoluminesence (PL) measurements: The films of the pure polymers and their blends with $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ were spin-cast on quartz substrates for measuring PL spectra. PL quenching efficiencies ( $\triangle P L$ ) were calculated according to the following equation: $\Delta P L=\left(1-\frac{P L_{\text {Blend }}}{P L_{\text {Polymer }}}\right) \times 100 \%$, where $P L_{\text {Blend }}$ and $P L_{\text {Polymer }}$ are the PL integrations of polymer : $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ blends and pure polymer, respectively.
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## Synthesis

Scheme S1. Synthesis of monomers and polymers



## 6,6'-Dibromo-7-fluoro-N,N-di(3-octyltridecyl)isoindigo

To a solution of 6,6'-dibromo-7-fluoroisoindigo ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and KOH powder ( $153.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) ( 20 mL ), 3-octyl-1tridecyl iodide ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before pouring into water ( 500 ml ) for extraction with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic extracts were washed with water, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on silica gel chromatography with $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8: 1)$ as eluent to give the product as a deep-red solid. (242 mg, 35.1 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 68 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100, \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 167.20,145.87,144.71,142.22,132.18,132.04$, 131.40, 127.22, 126.26, 125.69, 125.20, 123.39, 120.36, 40.79, 38.46, 35.61, 33.45, $32.86,31.93,30.95,30.03,29.68,29.35,26.56,22.69,14.12$. Elemental Anal.: Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{91} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 67.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.73$. Found, C, $67.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.79$.

## 6,6'-Dibromo-7-fluoro- $N, N$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$-di(4-octyltetradecyl)isoindigo

The synthetic procedure is similar to that for $6,6^{\prime}$-dibromo-7-fluoro- $N, N$-di(3octyltridecyl)isoindigo. Deep red solid, yield: $37.3 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ppm): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 72 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100, MHz, ppm): $\delta 167.33,145.95,144.88,142.47,133.81,132.32,132.05,131.39$, $127.28,126.29,125.74,125.24,123.38,120.32,114.19,111.43,42.71,40.66,37.10$, $33.53,31.92,30.80,30.54,30.09,29.69,29.35,26.65,26.11,24.44,14.12$. Elemental Anal.: Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{95} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 68.29; H, 9.07; N, 2.65. Found, C, 68.43; H, 8.78; N, 2.72.
poly[ $N$-dodecyldithieno[3,2-b;6,7-b]carbazole-alt-7-fluoro- $N, N$-di(2-
octyldodecyl)isoindigo] (P1). 6,6'-Dibromo-7-fluoro- $N, N^{\prime}$ '(2-octyldodecanyl)isoindigo $\quad(350 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.35031 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $N$-dodecanyl-2,8-bis(trimethylstannyl)dithieno[3,2-b;6,7-b]
carbazole ( $276.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35732 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.02$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%),. \mathrm{P}(o-$ $\operatorname{tol})_{3}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and 35 mL toluene were added to a Schlenk Tube, and the tube was charge with argon through a freeze-pump-thaw cycle for three times. The mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hrs , then end-capped with bromobenzene for 12 hrs. The polymer solution was added to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ dropwise. The precipitate was collect by filtration. The crude polymer was dissolved into dichlorobenzene and treated with sodium diethyldithiocarbamate trihyrate to remove catalyst residues. The polymer was finally purified by Soxhlet extraction with ethanol, acetone, hexane in succession (401
mg, 89.1\%). Elemental Anal.: Calcd. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{84} \mathrm{H}_{120} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{C}, 78.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.40 ; \mathrm{N}$, 3.26. Found, C, 78.86; H, 8.92; N, 3.05.
poly[ $N$-dodecyldithieno[3,2-b;6,7-b] carbazole-alt-7-fluoro- $N, N$, $\mathbf{\prime}$-di(3-
octyltridecyl)isoindigo] (P2). The synthetic procedure is similar to that for $\mathbf{P} 1$. Yield: 92.1\%. Elemental Anal.: Calcd. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{124} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{C}, 78.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.50 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.20$. Found, C, 78.93; H, 9.08; N, 3.01.
poly[ $N$-dodecyldithieno[3,2-b;6,7-b]carbazole-alt-7-fluoro- $N, N$, $\mathbf{\prime}$-di(4octyltetradecyl)isoindigol (P3). The synthetic procedure is similar to that for $\mathbf{P}$. Yield: $85.9 \%$. Elemental Anal.: Calcd. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right) \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{C}, 78.69$; H, 9.61; N , 3.13. Found, C, 80.08; H, 9.42; N, 2.95.

## The procedure for the model coupling reaction

6,6'-Dibromo-7-fluoro- $N$, $N^{\prime}$ '-(2-octyldodecanyl)-isoindigo (134.56 mg, $0.134 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv), benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl-trimethyl-stannane ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(2.46 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{P}(o-\mathrm{tol})_{3}(6.55 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and 10 mL toluene were added to a Schlenk Tube. The tube was charge with argon through a freeze-pumpthaw cycle for three times. The mixture was stirred for 48 hrs at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, poured into 100 mL water, and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography with $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8: 1$ to $4: 1)$ as eluent to give compound $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 as a deep-red solid.

Compound 3 (14.6 mg, 20.6\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=4 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$,
$6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.14-1.46(m, 64H), $0.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

Compound 4 (31.1 mg, 43.9\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 9.14$ (d, $J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=4 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 64 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.


Figure S1. Aromatic region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of compound 3.


Figure S2. Aromatic region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of compound 4.


Figure S3. TGA plots of the polymers under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S4. DSC curves of the polymer (a) P1; (b) P2; (c) P3 under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow with a heating/cooling rate of $\pm 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure S5. Film XRD of the polymers.


Figure S6. Absorption spectra of the polymers in $o$-xylene.


Figure S7. Transfer and output characteristics of OTFTs fabricated with $o$-xylene as solvent.


Figure S8. Transfer and output characteristics of OTFTs fabricated with $o-D C B$ as solvent.


Figure S9. $J-V$ characteristics of hole-only devices of the polymers measured under dark processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$ - DCB (b). Lines represent the fitting results using a model of single-carrier space-charge-limited current with field-dependent mobility.


Figure S10. The double-logarithmic plots of current density relative to mobility $(\mathrm{J} / \mu)$ as a function of active layer thickness (L) for the hole-only devices of pure polymers processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$-DCB (b). The solid lines represent the fitting according to power law $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}} \propto \mathrm{L}^{-3}$.


Figure S11. The double-logarithmic plots of current density relative to mobility $(\mathrm{J} / \mu)$ as a function of active layer thickness (L) for the hole-only devices of polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$-DCB (b). The solid lines represent the fitting according to power law $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}} \propto \mathrm{L}^{-3}$.


Figure S12. The double-logarithmic plots of current density relative to mobility $(\mathrm{J} / \mu)$ as a function of active layer thickness (L) for the electron-only devices of polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$-DCB (b). The solid lines represent the fitting according to power law $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}} \propto \mathrm{L}^{-3}$.


Figure S13. $J-V$ curves (a) and EQE (b) of the devices based on $\mathbf{P 1}: \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ with different $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ ratios.

Table S1. Photovoltaic characteristics of the devices based on $\mathbf{P 1}: \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ with different $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ ratios under the illumination of AM $1.5 \mathrm{G} 100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$.

| Ratios | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}}\left(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |  | FF | PCE(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | Measured | Calculate |  | Best | Average | Calculate |
|  | $(\mathrm{V})$ |  | d |  |  |  | d |
| $1: 0.5$ | 0.88 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 0.44 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| $1: 0.8$ | 0.87 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 0.48 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| $1: 1$ | 0.85 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 0.66 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| $1: 1.5$ | 0.84 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 0.68 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| $1: 2$ | 0.84 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 0.71 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 |

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of the inverted devices based on the three polymers with $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ as acceptor material (polymer : $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5$ ) under the illumination of AM $1.5 \mathrm{G} 100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$.

| Polymer | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}}\left(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | FF | PCE(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P 1}$ | 0.84 | 12.4 | 0.68 | $7.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{P 2}$ | 0.84 | 12.1 | 0.72 | $7.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{P 3}$ | 0.85 | 12.2 | 0.70 | $7.3 \%$ |



Figure S14. $J-V(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{EQE}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d})$ curves of the PSCs based on polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ $=1: 1.5$ with or without methanol treatment .

Table S3. Photovoltaic parameters of the PSCs based on polymer: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5$.

| Polymer | Solvent | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {OC }}$ <br> (V) | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}}\left(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |  | FF | PCE(\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Measured | Calculated |  | Best | Average | Calculated |
| P1 | $o$-xylene+2\%ODT | 0.83 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 0.59 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
|  | $o-\mathrm{DCB}+1 \% \mathrm{DIO}$ | 0.83 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 0.57 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
|  | $o$-xylene+2\%ODT | 0.84 | 13.6 | 13.3 | 0.61 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 |
| P2 | / MeOH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $o$-xylene+2\%ODT | 0.84 | 13.8 | 13.2 | 0.62 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 |
|  | $o-\mathrm{DCB}+1 \% \mathrm{DIO}$ | 0.83 | 13.5 | 12.9 | 0.60 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 |
|  | $o$-xylene+2\%ODT | 0.84 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 0.63 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| P3 | / MeOH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $o$-xylene+2\%ODT | 0.84 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 0.63 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
|  | $o-\mathrm{DCB}+1 \% \mathrm{DIO}$ | 0.83 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 0.60 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
|  | $o$-xylene $+2 \% \mathrm{ODT}$ | 0.84 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 0.63 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
|  | / MeOH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure S15. $J-V$ curves of BHJ PSCs based on $\mathbf{P 2}: \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$-DCB (b) under different light intensities; (c) the doublelogarithmic plot of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ as a function of the light intensity and fitting line according to power law (solid lines); (d) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OC}}$ as a function of light intensity together with linear fit to the data (solid lines).


Figure S16. $J-V$ curves of BHJ PSCs based on $\mathbf{P 3}: \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ processed with $o$-xylene (a) and $o$-DCB (b) under different light intensities; (c) the doublelogarithmic plot of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ as a function of the light intensity and fitting line according to power law (solid lines); (d) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{OC}}$ as a function of light intensity together with linear fit to the data (solid lines)


Figure S17. Normalized PL spectra of $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{3}$ and blend films with $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}$ prepared with $o$-DCB (a) and $o$-xylene (b).


Figure S18. Normalized PL spectra of P1 and P1: $\mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ prepared with $o$-DCB solutions (a) and $o$-xylene (b).


Figure S19. Normalized PL spectra of $\mathbf{P 2}$ and $\mathbf{P 2}: \mathrm{PC}_{61} \mathrm{BM}=1: 1.5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ prepared with $o$-DCB solutions (a) and $o$-xylene (b).

Table S4. The value of PL quenching efficiencies ( $\Delta P L$ ).

| Polymer | ratio | solvent | $\triangle P L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 1:1.5 | $o$-DCB | 77\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 81\% |
| P2 | 1:1.5 | $o$-DCB | 78\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 82\% |
| P3 | 1:1 | $o$-DCB | 75\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 83\% |
|  | 1:1.5 | $o$-DCB | 78\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 83\% |
|  | 1:2 | $o$-DCB | 77\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 81\% |
|  | 1:2.5 | $o$-DCB | 79\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 83\% |
|  | 1:3 | $o$-DCB | 74\% |
|  |  | $o$-xylene | 77\% |

