



Fig. S1 NH₃-DRIFTS spectra of ZrPO_x after adsorption of ammonia at 373 K followed by degassing under He flow at various temperatures. Shown is (A) the O-H/N-H stretching region (4000- 2000 cm⁻¹) and (B) the region 4000-1350 cm⁻¹ including the HNH bending region.

The Research Octane Number (RON) of the gasoline range products were estimated according to method introduced in the Automotive Fuel Reference Book by making a weighted average using measured values for each of the components (listed in Table S1), as shown below for a three-component blend¹.

$$PB = V_1P_1 + V_2P_2 + V_3P_3$$

Where PB is the property (such as RON, MON) of the blend, P₁, P₂, P₃ are the properties of the components and V₁, V₂, V₃ are the volume fractions.

Table S1. Physical properties and research octane number of different gasoline rang products.

	Molar mass (g mol ⁻¹)	Boiling point (K)	Density (g mL ⁻¹)	Research octane number (RON)	Water solubility (g L ⁻¹)
Pentane	72.15	309	0.626	62 ²	0.1
Hexane	86.18	342	0.655	26 ²	0.01
Ethanol	40.07	351	0.789	130 ³	Miscible
Propanol	60.1	370	0.803	118 ³	Miscible
Acetone	58.08	330	0.793	118 ³	Miscible
Butanol	74.12	390	0.810	96 ³	77
Butanone	72.11	353	0.805	96 ³	290
Tetrahydrofuran	72.11	339	0.889	73 ⁴	301
Butanoic acid	88.11	435	0.860	96 ³	Miscible
Pentanol	88.15	409	0.814	84 ³	27
Pentanone	86.13	376	0.809	84 ³	0.04
2-Methyl-tetrahydro-furan	86.13	352	0.86	86 ⁵	140
Tetrahydropyran	86.13	361	0.880	73 ⁴	80
Pentanoic acid	102.13	459	0.930	97 ³	50
Tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol	102.13	451	1.054	108 ⁴	Miscible
Hexanol	102.17	431	0.814	56 ⁶	6
Hexanone	100.16	400	0.811	56 ⁶	14
2,5-Dimethyl-tetrahydrofuran	100.16	364	0.833	82 ⁶	Miscible
2-Methyl-tetrahydropyran	100.16	352	0.863	87 ⁵	39
Hexanoic acid	116.16	475	0.920	56 ⁶	11
Tetrahydropyran alcohol	116.16	460	1.027	108 ⁴	Miscible

References

1. K. Owen and T. Coley, *Automotive Fuels Reference Book*, Society of Automotive Engineers. Inc., Warrendale, 1995.
2. A. T. Balaban, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1983, **55**, 199-206.
3. M. S. Graboski, An Analysis of Alternatives for Unleaded Petrol Additives for South Africa, <http://www.unep.org/PCFV/PDF/PubGraboskiReport.pdf>.
4. S. Sitthisa, M. C. Camacho, T. Sooknoi, R. G. Mallinson, L. L. Lobban and D. E. Resasco, Improvement of Bio-oil Molecule for a Gasoline Octane Booster, <http://www.ou.edu/cbr/2008-Kyu-GROWposter.pdf>.
5. S. F. Paul, AN OPTIMIZED ALTERNATIVE MOTOR FUEL FORMULATION: NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS, ETHANOL, AND A BIOMASS-DERIVED ETHER, http://www.anl.gov/PCS/acsfuel/preprint%20archive/Files/43_3_BOSTON_08-98_0373.pdf.

6. Y. Roman-Leshkov, C. J. Barrett, Z. Y. Liu and J. A. Dumesic, *Nature*, 2007, **447**, 982-985.

Table S2 Hydrogenation of mono-, di- and polysaccharides.

Saccharide	Catalyst	Conditions	Conversion	Yield	Ref.
glucose	Ru	T=393 K, total pressure = 120 bar, glucose concentration: 40 wt % glucose in aqueous solution (120 mL), reaction time 5 h, stirring at 850 rpm	~100% conversion	~100% selectivity sorbitol	1
glucose	Ru/C	5%Ru/C catalyst was studied in a semi-batch slurry autoclave, 373–403K 4.0–7.5MPa H ₂	100% >300min	~100% selective to sorbitol	2
glucose	Ru/MWNT	5wt% Ru 393 K; time 120 min; initial H ₂ pressure 4 MPa	62.5%		3
fructose	CuO-ZnO	35–65 bar and between 90 and 130°C	~100% conv. and selectivity after 250min	mannitol	4
Glucose/fructose	Raney Ni	85 to 130°C, 50 to 1000 psi		Fructose not hydrogenated	5
Glucose/fructose	Rh			Most effective	5
Glucose/fructose	Ru			>90% xylitol	6
xylose	Raney Ni	90-100°C, 40-60bar, 50-60wt% xylose	200min		6
maltose	Ru-P	maltose aqueous solution (40 wt%, 50 mL), T = 363 K, PH ₂ = 2.0 MPa, reaction time = 2 h, stirring rate = 1200 rpm	98%	100% selectivity maltitol	7
lactose	Ni sponge,	300 mL, Parr Co., operating at 20-70 bar and between 110 and 130 °C	100% 200min	90-99% selectivity lactitol, small amounts of lactobionic acid, lactulose, lactulitol, sorbitol, and galactitol	8
lactose	Ni sponge , Ru/C	120°C, 20-70 Bar H ₂	~100% after 150 min Ni 120 min Ru/C	Lactitol ~100%	9
sucrose	Raney Ni	3 h of reaction at 130 °C and 750 psia 1wt% cat, 40 g/L sucrose	~60% 3h		10
sucrose	Ru/Al ₂ O ₃	3 h of reaction at 130°C and 750 psia 1wt% cat, 40 g/L sucrose	~100% 3h	100%, Sorbitol, mannitol	10
sucrose	Ru/NaY, Ru/USY Ru/CaY	135°C~ and 12 atm H ₂ 2.5h	100% after 3h	Sorbitol, mannitol	11
Inulin	Ru/C(activated)	1% Ru:C, 80 mL aqueous solution of 1 g inulin and 0.100 g 1% Ru: SX1GNS, 100 °C, 100 bar H ₂ .	100% 300min	inulin to D-mannitol and D-glucitol	12
cellulose	Ru/CNT	cellulose (0.16 g, equivalent to 1 mmol C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅ unit) and the catalyst (0.050 g) with H ₂ O (20 mL H ₂ of 5 MPa, 185°C, for 24 h with stirring		69 sorbitol 4.0 mannitol 5 Erythritol 5 Glycerol	13
Cellulose	Ru/C	245°C, 4 wt% Ru/C, 6 MPa H ₂	85.8% 30min	34.6 sorbitol, 11.4mannitol, 13.4 sorbitan	14
cellobiose	Pd Rh Pt Ru Ru Ru Ru/C	120 °C, 40 bar H ₂ 12 h cellobiose (7.31 mmol), using H ₂ O (30 g) HCl to adjust pH	Pd pH 2 100% Rh pH2 100% Pt pH2 100% Ru pH2 100% Ru pH7 87.8% Ru pH10 75. c Ru/C pH7 100%	100% glucose 6.9 sorb 66.9 glu 18.5 sorb 42.6 glu 100% sorbitol 26.4 sorb 1.6 glu 64.8 alc 7.2 other 24.0 sorb 3.2 glu 55.7 alc 17.1 other >99% alc	15
cellulose	Ru/C	170°C, 1wt% H ₂ SO ₄	95% 4h		16,17
biomass	Ru/C	15% load, <190°C for 3-5h, 0.8% H ₃ PO ₄ 5wt% Ru/C, 10 wt% cat/biomass	>99%	~100%	16,17

References

1. Kusserow, B.; Sabine Schimpf; Claus, P. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 289.
2. Crezee, E.; Bram W. Hoffer; Rob J. Berger; Michiel Makkee; Freek Kapteijn; Moulijn, J. A. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2003**, *251*, 1.
3. Pan, J.; Jinhua Li; Wang, C.; Yang, Z. *Reaction Kinetics and Catalysis Letters* **2007**, *90*, 233–242.
4. Kuusisto, J.; Mikkola, J.-P.; Casal, P. P. e.; Karhu, H.; V`ayrynen, J.; Salmi, T. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2005**, *115*, 93.
5. Wisniak, J.; Simon, R. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* **1979**, *18*.
6. Mikkola, J.-P.; Rainer SjoEholm; Tapio Salmi; MaEki-Arvela, P. *Catalysis Today* **1999**, *48*, 73.
7. Li, H.; Dongsheng Chu; Jun Liu; Minghua Qiao; Weilin Dai; Lia, H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, *350*, 829 – 836.
8. Kuusisto, J.; Mikkola, J.-P.; Sparv, M.; Waern, J.; Heikkilae, H.; Peraelae, R.; Vaeyrynen, J.; Salmi, T. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2006**, *45*, 5900.
9. Salmi, T.; Kuusisto, J.; Warna, J.; Mikkola, J.-P. Conference on the catalysis of organic reactions, 2009.
10. Castoldi, M. C. M.; Camara, L. D. T.; Aranda, D. A. G. *React.Kinet.Catal. Lett.* **2009**, *98*, 83.
11. Barbosa, C. M. B. M.; E. Falabella b; M. J. Mendes c; Lima, N. M.; A., C.; Abreu, M. *React.Kinet.Catal. Lett.* **1999**, *68*, 291.
12. Heinen, A. W.; Joop A. Peters; Bekkum, H. v. *Carbohydrate Research* **2001**, *330*, 381–390.
13. Deng, W.; Tan, X.; Fang, W.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, Y. *Catalysis Letters* **2009**, *133*, 167–174.
14. Luo, C.; Shuai Wang; Liu, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7636–7639.
15. Yan, N.; Chen Zhao; Chen Luo; Paul J. Dyson; Haichao Liu; Kou, Y. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2006**, *128*, 8714.
16. Robinson, J. M.; Burgess, C. E.; Bently, M. A.; Brasher, C. D.; Horne, B. O.; Lillard, D. M.; Macias, J. M.; Mandal, H. D.; Mills, S. C.; O'Hara, K. D.; Pon, J. T.; Raigoza, A. F.; Sanchez, E. H.; Villarreal, J. S. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **2004**, *26*, 473.
17. Robinson, J. M.; Burgess, C. E.; Bently, M. A.; Brasher, C. D.; Horne, B. O.; Lillard, D. M.; Macias, J. M.; Mandal, H. D.; Mills, S. C.; O'Hara, K. D.; Pon, J. T.; Raigoza, A. F.; Sanchez, E. H.; Villarreal, J. S.; Xiang, Q. Polyols: An alternative sugar platform for conversion of biomass to fuels and chemicals. In *ACS Symposium Series*; American Chemical Society: Washington, 2006; Vol. 921; pp 304.