Supporting Information

Synthesis and characterization of open and sandwich-type polyoxometalates reveals visible-light-driven water oxidation via POM-photosensitizer complexes

Pierre-Emmanuel Car,*^{*a*} Miguel Guttentag,^{*a*} Kim K. Baldridge,^{*b*} Roger Alberto,^{*a*} Greta R. Patzke*^{*a*}

^aInstitute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

^bInstitute of Organic Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

Corresponding author: Tel: +41 44 635 491; Fax: +41 44 635 6802; E-mail: greta.patzke@aci.uzh.ch

Contents

1. Thermogravimetric analysis	2
2. FT-IR spectroscopy	4
3. Raman spectroscopy	6
4. UV/vis spectroscopy	8
5. Electrochemical characterizations	9
6. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry	13
7. Visible light driven water oxidation	15
8. References	23

1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)



Figure S1. Thermogravimetric plot of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (1) (air, Al₂O₃ crucible, heating rate: 5 K/min).



Figure S2. Thermogravimetric plot of α -K₁₁Na₁[Co₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·26H₂O (**2**) (air, Al₂O₃ crucible, heating rate: 5 K/min).



Figure S3. Thermogravimetric plot of α -K₁₁Na₁[Ni₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·27H₂O (**3**) (air, Al₂O₃ crucible, heating rate: 5 K/min).

2. FT-IR spectroscopy



Figure S4. FT-IR spectrum of α -Na₁₀[SiW₉O₃₄]·15H₂O.



Figure S5. FT-IR spectrum of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (**1**).



Figure S6. FT-IR spectrum of α -K₁₁Na₁[Co₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·26H₂O (2).



Figure S7. FT-IR Spectrum (KB pellet) of α -K₁₁Na₁[Ni₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·27H₂O (**3**).

3. Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectra were recorded on a Renishaw Ramascope spectrometer equipped with a laser diode working at 514 nm for the compound (1) and 715 nm for compounds (2) and (3). Compound (1) was characterized as a concentrated liquid sample. Compounds (2) and (3) were characterized as solid samples.



Figure S8. Raman spectrum of a concentrated aqueous solution of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (**1**) displaying the characteristic v(W=O) band at 960 cm⁻¹.



Figure S9. Solid-state Raman spectrum of α -K₁₁Na₁[Co₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·26H₂O (2) exhibiting the characteristic v(W=O) band at 962 cm⁻¹.



Figure S10. Solid-state Raman spectrum of α -K₁₁Na₁[Ni₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·27H₂O (**3**) exhibiting the characteristic v(W=O) band at 964 cm⁻¹.

4. UV/vis absorption spectra

UV/vis spectra were recorded with a Lambda 650 S Perkin Elmer UV-Visible spectrometer in the 300-800 nm range using a Quartz SUPRASIL precision cell (10 mm).



Figure S11. UV/vis absorption spectrum in solution $(0.5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (**1**).



Figure S12. Time-dependent UV/vis absorption spectra of α -K₁₁Na₁[Co₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·26H₂O (2) (1.06 mM) over 150 min in Na₂SiF₆ buffer (20 mM, pH 5.8).

5. Electrochemical characterizations

All voltammograms were recorded on a Metrohm 797 VA Computrace instrument. The solutions were deaerated thoroughly for at least 10 min with pure N_2 and all experiments were performed at room temperature. A glassy carbon electrode (Metrohm AG, 3 mm diameter) was used as working electrode and all potentials were quoted to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The glassy carbon electrode was polished after each new measurement.

The cyclic voltammograms of (1), (2) and (3) recorded at various pH values and in various media display the according redox waves of the different metallic centers. The potential domain of the voltammograms is divided into a positive and a negative section (vs. Ag/AgCl) for their sequential analysis.



Figure S13. Cyclic voltammograms of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (1) (0.25 mM) for pH 3 (black line) and pH 1 (red line) in sulfate buffer; scan rate: 25 mV/s.



Figure S14. Cyclic voltammograms of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (1) (0.25 mM) at pH 1 in sulfate buffer; scan rate: 25 mV/s. Insert: enlarged voltammogram of the positive potential domain.

POM (1) recorded in Na₂SO₄ solution (pH = 1 and 3) displays one pair of redox peaks in the positive domain and an corresponding pair in the negative domain that are attributed to the Ru^V/Ru^{IV}, Ru^{IV}/Ru^{III} and Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} redox processes, respectively. Values less defined around +0.70 to +0.87 V for Ru^V/Ru^{IV}, values around +0.32 to +0.54 V for Ru^{IV}/Ru^{III} and around -0.12 to -0.22 V for Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} are in good agreement with reported results¹ for a ruthenium Keggin type polyoxometalate and with other related compounds.^{2,1b}



Figure S15. Cyclic voltammograms of α -K₁₁Na₁[Co₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·26H₂O (**2**) (2 × 10⁻⁴ M) in HCl 0.1 M medium; scan rate: 10 mV/s. Insert: enlarged voltammogram of the negative potential domain.

Cyclic voltammetry studies on (2) in 0.1 M HCl revealed a less defined oxidation peak around 1.35-1.5 V and the associated reduction peak at 0.75 V which is assigned to the redox processes of Co^{II} ions.³ As expected for the redox process of W(VI) centers in a polyoxotungstate, four wave pairs are located at -0.32(I), -0.40(II), -0.49(III) and 0.54(IV) V for the oxidation process and respectively -0.34(I'), -0.45(II'), -0.54(III') and 0.63(IV') for the reduction process.



Figure S16. Cyclic voltammogram of α -K₁₁Na₁[Ni₄(H₂O)₂(SiW₉O₃₄)₂]·27H₂O (**3**) (2 × 10⁻⁴ M) in a pH 3 medium (0.5 M Na₂SO₄ + H₂SO₄ 1 M); scan rate: 25 mV/s.

Cyclic voltammetry studies on (3) in sulfate medium at pH = 3 (Na₂SO₄ 0.5 M + H₂SO₄ 1M) confirm the presence of the {SiW₉O₃₄} precursor motif. At pH 3 two wave pairs at -0.62(I) - 0.75(II) V and -0.70(I') - -0.80(II') V are respectively assigned to the oxidation and the reduction processes of W(VI) centers.⁴



6. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS)

Figure S17. Negative ion mass spectrum showing $[\{Ru_3O_3(H_2O)Cl_2\}(SiW_9O_{34})]^{7-}$ in the m/z = 0-3000 range. (1) was dissolved in NaI in methanol / H₂O (20:80). The ESI measurement was performed at 300 °C with azote as carrier gas at a flow of 6 L/min. Mass spectra were recorded on a Bruker HCT instrument and data were collected in negative mode. Parameters used: the end plate voltage was set to -500 V and the capillary to 4000 V. The sample solution was injected via a syringe pump directly connected the the ESI source (with a nebulizer pressure of 11 psi).



Figure S18. Experimental isotopic pattern (top) compared to the simulated isotopic pattern (bottom) of the $[{Ru_3O_3(H_2O)Cl_2}(SiW_9O_{34})]^7$ anion present in (1). The observed isotopic pattern matches the theoretical isotope pattern calculated from the elemental composition of (1) using the ESI Compass 1.3 program.

7. Visible-light-driven water oxidation



(a) (b) **Figure S19.** (a) Solution containing only (1) in Na₂SiF₆ buffer after 3 h of illumination with a 470 nm LED; (b) formation of POM-photosensitizer complex from (1) and $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$.



Figure S20. UV/vis spectra of mixtures shown in Fig. S19 above: (a) UV/vis spectrum of a solution containing only (1) in Na₂SiF₆ buffer after 3 h of illumination with a 470 nm LED; (b) UV/vis spectrum of a solution containing (1) + Na₂S₂O₈ in Na₂SiF₆ buffer after 3 h of illumination with a 470 nm LED.



Figure S21. Solid state ATR FT-IR spectrum of the photosensitizer [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂.



Figure S22. Solid state ATR FT-IR spectra comparison between POM (1) (red), precipitate formed by mixing the $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$ and (1) in water (blue) and $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$ (blue).



Figure S23. Representative GC trace chromatogram of a headspace of (1) after 15 min of illumination with a 470 nm LED under catalytic conditions $(1 \text{ mM } [Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2, 5 \text{ mM } Na_2S_2O_8, Na_2SiF_6$ buffer pH 5.8 (20 mM) and 50 μ M of (1). N₂ area is in line with manual injection.⁵



Figure S24. Representative GC trace chromatogram of a headspace of the catalytic suspension formed from (1) (after 15 min, see Fig. S22) and dispersed in a fresh catalytic solution containing 1 mM [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂ and 5 mM Na₂S₂O₈, Na₂SiF₆ buffer pH 5.8 (20 mM) and stopped after 120 min. N₂ area is in line with manual injection.⁵



Figure S25. Representative GC trace chromatogram of a headspace of (2) after 15 min of illumination with a 470 nm LED under catalytic conditions (1 mM $[Ru(bpy)_3]Cl_2$, 5 mM $Na_2S_2O_8$, Na_2SiF_6 buffer pH 5.8 (20 mM), and 2.4 mg of (2). N_2 area is in line with manual injection.⁵



Figure S26. Representative GC trace chromatogram of a headspace of the catalytic suspension formed from (2) (after 15 min, see Fig. S24) and dispersed in a fresh catalytic solution containing 1 mM [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂ and 5 mM Na₂S₂O₈, Na₂SiF₆ buffer pH 5.8 (20 mM) and stopped after 120 min. N₂ area is in line with manual injection.⁵



Figure S27. Representative GC trace chromatogram of a headspace in the reaction of water oxidation with 46 μ M of (1), 1mM of Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ and 5 mM of Na₂S₂O₈ in Na₂SiF₆ buffer media (20 mM, pH 5.8). Red: blank measurement after 30 min of He flow (in line with a manual injection).⁵ Blue: O₂ evolution after 80 min (in very good agreement with a constant quantity of N₂).



Figure S28. Full kinetic data of light induced O₂ formation from water oxidation with persulfate as a sacrificial electron acceptor. Conditions: LED lamp, 470 nm; 1 mM [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂, 5 mM Na₂S₂O₈, Na₂SiF₆ buffer pH 5.8 (20 mM), 10 μ M (1) (\blacksquare), 16 μ M (1) (\bullet), 21 μ M (1) (\blacktriangle), 30 μ M (1) (\checkmark), 31 μ M (1) (\bullet), 50.00 μ M (1) (\checkmark); total solution volume in each reaction: 13 mL.



Figure S29. Turnover number (TON) vs. concentration of α -K₆Na[{Ru₃O₃(H₂O)Cl₂}(SiW₉O₃₄)]·17H₂O (**1**).



Figure S30. Left: gas chromatograph (Varian CP-3800) for recording chromatograms and monitoring O_2 evolution during water oxidation; right: illumination of the reaction vessel by the light of a 470 nm high flux LED (Rhopoint Components LTD).

8. References

- 1 J. A. F. Gamelas, H. M. Carapuça, M. S. Balula, D. V. Schlindwein, F. G. Figueiras, V. S. Amaral and A. M. V. Cavaleiro, *Polyhedron*, 2010, **29**, 3066.
- a) K. Filipek, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1995, 231, 237; b) M. Sadakane, D. Tsukuma, M. H. Dickman, B. S. Bassil, U. Kortz, M. Higashijima and W. Ueda, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 4271.
- 3 B. S. Bassil, S. Nellutla, U. Kortz, A. C. Stowe, J. Van Tol, N. S. Dalal, B. Keita and L. Nadjo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 2659.
- 4 X. Zhao, Y. G. Li, Y. H. Wang and E. B. Wang, *Transition Metal Chem.*, 2008, **33**, 323.
- 5 Y. V. Geletii, B. Botar, P. Kögerler, D. A. Hillesheim, D. G. Musaev and C. L. Hill, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3896.