**Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)** 

## Simultaneous glycerol dehydration and *in-situ* hydrogenolysis over Cu-Al oxide under an inert atmosphere

Rasika B. Mane and Chandrashekhar V. Rode\*

## **Experimental**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected on a VG Scientific ESCA-3000 spectrometer using a non-monochromatised Mg K $\alpha$  radiation (1253.6 eV) at a pressure of about  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr (pass energy of 50 eV, electron takeoff angle 55) and overall resolution ~0.7 eV determined from the full width at half maximum of the 4f<sub>7/2</sub> core level of gold surface. The error in the binding energy values were within 0.1 eV. The binding energy values were charge-corrected to the C<sub>1s</sub> signal (285.0 eV).

The chemical composition of the sample was determined by Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) attached to SEM (JEOL JSM 500).

Gas analysis was carried out using Chemito 8610 GC fitted with Porapac-Q column connected to TCD detector.

The Temperature-programmed desorption of  $CO_2$  ( $CO_2$ -TPD) was carried out in a Quantachrome Autosorb -1C sorption unit. 100 mg activated Cu-Al sample was heated at a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 110 °C under He flow (30ml min<sup>-1</sup>) and maintained at this temperature for 1 h in order to remove the surface impurities. After being cooled to room temperature under He flow, the sample was exposed to a mixture of 30 %  $CO_2$ /He for 1.3 h. Subsequently, the sample was purged with He for 30 min and then heated to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Desorption of  $CO_2$  was monitored by the mass spectrometry.



Fig.1 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherm of activated Cu-Al catalyst.



Fig.2 O 1s spectra of the calcined and activated Cu-Al catalyst.



Fig. 3 Al 2p spectra of the calcined and activated Cu-Al catalyst.



Fig. 4 CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD profile of the activated Cu-Al catalyst.

## Table 1 Gas phase analysis

Catalysts	Substrate	Temperature	Gas phase composition (%)		
			H <sub>2</sub>	$CO_2$	CH <sub>4</sub>
Cu:Al (1:1) <sup>a</sup>	Glycerol	220	80	20	0.0
$20\%~Cu/Al_2O_3{}^a$	Glycerol	230	0.0	0.0	0.0
$Al_2O_3^{\ a}$	Glycerol	230	0.0	0.0	0.0
3%Pt/C <sup>a</sup>	Glycerol	220	86	8	6
$Cu:Al(1:1)^b$	Glycerol	220	76	24	0.0
$Cu:Al(1:1)^b$	Glycerol	230	80	20	0.0
Cu:Al (1:1) <sup>a</sup>	Acetol	220	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cu:Al (1:1) <sup>a</sup>	1,2-PDO	220	100	0.0	0.0
<b>Reaction conditi</b> GHSV= $513 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , 1	ons: <sup>a</sup> batch op LHSV = 1.53	peration, reaction t $h^{-1}$	ime, 3h. <sup>b</sup> co	ntinuous ope	ration at



Fig. 5 GC of gas phase composition (Cu-Al catalyst).

 $* N_2$  was used as an inert in the reaction hence the compositions of other gases were calculated by excluding  $N_2$ .



Fig. 6 GC of gas phase composition (3% Pt/C).



Scheme 1 Formaldehyde formation by C-C cleavage of glycerol under dehydration condition



**Scheme 2** Schematic representation of reaction pathways during autogeneous hydrogenolysis of aqueous glycerol under inert atmosphere. Pathway I is desirable for glycerol dehydration to give acetol followed by its further hydrogenation to 1,2-PDO and its excess hydrogenation leads to undesirable 2-propanol formation. Pathways II, III, IV present the undesirable C-C cleavage leading to formation of ethylene glycol, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde. Pathway V is the acetol isomerization giving propionic acid.



Fig. 7 EDX of Cu-Al catalyst.



Fig. 8 Schematic of a batch reactor set-up.



Fig. 9 Schematic of a continuous fixed bed reactor set-up.