

Supporting information for

On the usefulness of Life Cycle Assessment in early chemical methodology development: the case of organophosphorus catalyzed Appel and Wittig reactions

Henri A. van Kalkeren,^a Anneloes L. Blom,^b Floris P. J. T. Rutjes,^a Mark A. J. Huijbregts^{b*}

Radboud University Nijmegen

^aInstitute for Molecules and Materials and ^bDepartment of Environmental Science
Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

E-mail: m.huijbregts@science.ru.nl; Fax: + 31 243553450; Tel: + 31 243652835

Table of Contents

Results	2
Appel reactions	2
Wittig reactions.....	2
Data input.....	4
Specified assumptions per reagent/process based on technical data:.....	4
Specified process data	5
Calculations to determine maximum allow impact values of aldehyde in catalytic Wittig reactions.	14
References.....	14

Results

Appel reactions

Table 1 Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) of the classic and catalytic Appel reactions, before and after correction for the solvent treatment as predicted by Capello's ecosolvent tool.¹

CED (MJ-eq) Category	<i>No solvent treatment</i>				<i>Corrected for solvent treatment</i>			
	<i>Catalytic Appel reactions</i>				<i>Catalytic Appel reactions</i>			
	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂
MeCN	537	603	605	604	121	137	139	138
PPh ₃	152				93			
CCl ₃	7				11			
DECM		182	182	181		134	134	133
Silane		10	126	115		11	66	66
Rest	8	10	13	11	8	10	13	11
Total	704	805	926	911	233	292	352	348

Table 2. Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) of the classic and catalytic Appel reactions, before and after correction for the solvent treatment as predicted by Capello's ecosolvent tool.¹

GHG emissions (CO ₂ -eq) Category	<i>No solvent treatment</i>				<i>Corrected for solvent treatment</i>			
	<i>Catalytic Appel reactions</i>				<i>Catalytic Appel reactions</i>			
	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂
MeCN	18.8	21.1	21.2	21.2	12.4	14.0	14.1	14.1
PPh ₃	4.8				5.8			
CCl ₃	0.3				0.3			
DECM	0.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		6.4	6.4	6.4
Silane	0.0	0.4	4.3	3.8		0.5	4.7	4.2
Rest	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total	24.8	28.6	32.5	32.0	19.4	21.9	26.2	25.6

Wittig reactions

Table 3. Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) of the classic and catalytic Wittig reactions, before and after correction for the solvent treatment as predicted by Capello's ecosolvent tool.¹

CED (MJ-eq) Category	<i>No solvent treatment</i>				<i>Corrected for solvent treatment</i>			
	<i>Catalytic Wittig reactions</i>				<i>Catalytic Wittig reactions</i>			
	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂
Toluene	19	23	23	23	5	5	5	5
EPPA	271				117			
ECA		42	42	42		20	20	20
Na ₂ CO ₃		4	4	4		4	4	4
Silane		10	126	115		10	66	66
Rest	8	9	8	12	8	9	8	12
Total	298	89	203	196	130	50	104	107

Table 4. Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) of the classic and catalytic Wittig reactions, before and after correction for the solvent treatment as predicted by Capello's ecosolvent tool.¹

GHG emissions (CO ₂ -eq) Category	<i>No solvent treatment</i>				<i>Corrected for solvent treatment</i>			
	<i>Catalytic Wittig reactions</i>				<i>Catalytic Wittig reactions</i>			
	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	Classic	PMHS	PhSiH ₃	Ph ₂ SiH ₂
Toluene	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
EPPA	7.9				10.4			
ECA		1.2	1.2	1.2		1.6	1.6	1.6
Na ₂ CO ₃		0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.2	0.2
Silane		0.4	4.3	3.8		0.4	4.7	4.2
Rest	0.8	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.6	1.0
<i>Total</i>	<i>9.1</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>6.8</i>	<i>6.7</i>	<i>11.9</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>8.0</i>	<i>7.7</i>

Table 5 Original and alternative impacts by variation of the diethyl chloromalonate (DECM) process. For the alternative processes, stoichiometric amounts of ethanol and chlorine were used instead of the large excess used in the original process.

	CED (MJ-eq)				GHG emissions (kg CO₂ eq)			
	<i>DECM</i>		<i>Total impact</i>		<i>DECM</i>		<i>Total impact</i>	
	<i>org.</i>	<i>alt.</i>	<i>org.</i>	<i>alt.</i>	<i>org.</i>	<i>alt.</i>	<i>org.</i>	<i>alt.</i>
Classic Appel	-	-	233	233	-	-	19.4	19.4
<i>Catalytic Appel:</i>								
PMHS	182	69	292	226	6.0	2.1	26.8	17.5
PhSiH ₃	182	69	352	287	6.0	2.1	32.5	22.0
Ph ₂ SiH ₂	181	69	348	284	6.0	2.1	32.0	21.4

Table 6. Sensitivity analysis for the greenhouse gas emissions (in CO₂-eq/functional unit) of the Appel reaction in maximum and minimum deviations from the original total values.

Entry		Classic Appel	PMHS	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	PhSiH ₃
1	1 M concentration <i>Sensitivity predicted by ecosolvent:</i>	-58%			
2	MeCN	+/-29%	+/-27%	+/-21%	+/-22%
3	Et ₂ O (silane)			+/-3%	+/-3%
4	PhMe	+/-4%			
5	EtOH		+/-3%	+/-3%	+/-3%
6	DEBM process efficiency		+/-35%	+/-32%	+/-33%

Table 7. Sensitivity analysis for the greenhouse gas emissions (in CO₂-eq/functional unit) of the Wittig reaction in maximum and minimum deviations from the original total values as provided by the ecosolvent tool.

Entry		Classic Wittig	PMHS	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	PhSiH ₃
1	PhMe in reaction	+/-6%	+/-12%	+/-6%	+/-6%
2	PhMe (ethylchloroacetate)	+/-6%	+/-15%	+/-7%	+/-7%
3	PhMe (ylide)	+/-16%			
4	Et ₂ O (silane)			+/-16%	+/-9%

Data input

Specified assumptions per reagent/process based on technical data:

	Amount	Yield	Ref.	Comments
lithium aluminum hydride (LAH)	A	97%	^{2, 3, 4}	
lithium hydride (LiH)	A	98%	^{2, 3}	2 MJ/kg additional heat based on mol. capacity of Li
aluminum trichloride (AlCl ₃)	A	100%	³	
phenylsilane ((PhSiH ₃))	B	86%	^{2, 5}	Barry process with SiCl ₄ and benzene
trichlorophenylsilane (PhSiCl ₃)	A	95%	^{2, 6}	
diphenylsilane (Ph ₂ SiH ₂)	A	78%	^{2, 5}	Barry process with SiCl ₄ and benzene
dichlorodiphenylsilane (Ph ₂ SiCl ₂)	A	95%	^{2, 6}	Silicon product from Ecoinvent used. Average of 2000 product from that plant. Mass based on 3 H ⁺ equiv.
poly(methylhydrosiloxane) (PMHS)				20 equiv. chlorine used. No reuse applied.
diethyl chloromalonate (DECM)	B	98%	⁷	Carbonylation process
diethyl malonate	B	81%	^{3, 8}	Standard esterification
ethyl chloroacetate	A	95%	Expert judgment	
ethyl(triphenylphosphoranylidene)-acetate (ylide, EPPA)	A	95%	Expert judgment	in PhMe/NaOH sol.
triphenylphosphine (PPh ₃)	A	95%	³	Li replaces Na

A – Stoichiometry from reaction equation

B – Stoichiometry calculated based on technical literature

C – Based on guidelines as suggested by Hischer *et al.*: 4.0⁻¹⁰ parts of chemical plant, 2 MJ heat/kg, 0.333 kWh/kg, 0.2% raw materials emitted into the air

Specified process data

AlCl₃ (Yield: 100%)	Amount
Product output	
AlCl ₃	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
Al	0.201 kg
Cl ₂	0.7951 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Cl ₂	1.585E ⁻⁴ kg
Al	4.02E ⁻⁵ kg

Cat. Appel reaction – Ph₂SiH₂ (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output	
Alkyl chloride	1 mol
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
DECM	0.2897 kg
Ph ₂ SiH ₂	0.2534 kg
MeCN (0.1 M)	9.825 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	0.6 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
MeCN	1.96E ⁻² kg
Silicates, unspecified	4E ⁻⁴ kg
Organic substances	5.8E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Waste</i>	
Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.225 kg malonate, 0.25 kg silane)	0.478 kg
Cat. Appel reaction – PhSiH₃ (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output	
Alkyl chloride	1 mol
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
DECM	0.2897 kg
PhSiH ₃	0.1488 kg
MeCN (0.1 M)	9.825 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	0.6 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
MeCN	1.96E ⁻² kg
Silicates, unspecified	4E ⁻⁴ kg
Organic substances	5.8E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Waste</i>	
Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.225 kg malonate, 0.148 kg silane)	0.373 kg
Cat. Appel reaction – PMHS (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output	
Alkyl chloride	1 mol
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
DECM	0.2897 kg
silicon product (PMHS)	0.1653 kg
MeCN (0.1 M)	9.825 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part

<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	0.6 MJ 0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> MeCN Silicates, unspecified Organic substances	1.96E ⁻² kg 4E ⁻⁴ kg 5.8E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Waste</i> Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.225 kg malonate, 0.165 kg silane)	0.39 kg
Classic Appel reaction (Yield: 90%)	Amount
Product output Alkyl chloride	1 mol
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> PPh ₃ CCl ₄ MeCN (0.1 M) chemical plant	0.3497 kg 0.2051 kg 8.7333 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	0.6 MJ 0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> phosphorus compounds, unspecified acetonitrile	9E ⁻⁴ kg 6.1E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Waste</i> Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.021 kg CCl ₄ , 0.35 kg PPh ₃)	0.39 kg
Cat. Wittig reaction – Ph₂SiH₂ (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output Olefin	1 mol
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> Ethyl chloroacetate Na ₂ CO ₃ from AlCl ₃ production Ph ₂ SiH ₂ Toluene chemical plant	0.184 kg 0.20 kg 0.253 kg 0.36 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	0.6 MJ 0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> Toluene Silicates, unspecified Organic substances	7.2E ⁻⁴ kg 3E ⁻⁴ kg 1E ⁻³ kg

<i>Waste</i> Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.113 kg carbonate, 0.253 kg silane)	0.365 kg
Cat. Wittig reaction – PhSiH₃ (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output	
Olefin	1 mol
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
Ethyl chloroacetate	0.184 kg
Na ₂ CO ₃ from AlCl ₃ production	0.20 kg
PhSiH ₃	0.149 kg
Toluene	0.36 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	0.6 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Toluene	7.2E ⁻⁴ kg
Silicates, unspecified	3E ⁻⁴ kg
Organic substances	1E ⁻³ kg
<i>Waste</i>	
Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.113 kg carbonate, 0.148 kg silane)	0.260 kg
Cat. Wittig reaction – PMHS (Yield: 80%)	Amount
Product output	
Olefin	1 mol
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
Ethyl chloroacetate	0.184 kg
Na ₂ CO ₃ from AlCl ₃ production	0.20 kg
silicon product (PMHS)	0.1653 kg
Toluene	0.36 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	0.6 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Toluene	7.2E ⁻⁴ kg
Silicates, unspecified	3E ⁻⁴ kg
Organic substances	1E ⁻³ kg
<i>Waste</i>	
Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.112 kg carbonate, 0.330 kg silane)	0.443 kg
Classic Wittig reaction (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output	
Alkyl chloride	1 mol

Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> EPPA (ylide) Toluene chemical plant	0.440 kg 0.305 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	0.6 MJ 0.1 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> phosphorus compounds, unspecified toluene	6E ⁻⁴ kg 1.6E ⁻² kg
<i>Waste</i> Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated (0.02112 kg EPPA, 0.296 kg PPh ₃)	0.318 kg
Ph₂SiCl₂ (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output Ph ₂ SiCl ₂	1 kg
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> SiCl ₄ benzene chemical plant	0.71 kg 0.7661 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	2 MJ 0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> silicates benzene	1.4E ⁻⁴ kg 3.1E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Waste</i> Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated	0.111 kg
PhSiCl₃ (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output PhSiCl ₃	1 kg
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> SiCl ₄ benzene chemical plant	0.845 kg 0.458 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	2 MJ 0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> silicates benzene	1.4E ⁻⁴ kg 3.1E ⁻⁴ kg

Waste Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated	6.5E ⁻² kg
diethyl chloromalonate (Yield: 98%)	Amount
Product output DECM	1 kg
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> diethyl malonate Cl ₂ chemical plant	0.8398 kg 7.516 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	2 MJ 0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> Cl ₂ organic substance	1.5E ⁻⁴ kg 1.7E ⁻⁴ kg
Waste Hazardous waste, 25% water, incinerated	1.5E ⁻² kg
diethyl malonate (Yield: 98%)	Amount
Product output diethyl malonate	1 kg
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> ethyl chloroacetate EtOH, from ethylene NaOH, 50% in H ₂ O CO chemical plant	0.95 kg 6 kg 0.5 kg 0.28 kg 4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i> heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace electricity, medium voltage	2 MJ 0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste <i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i> CO ethyl acetate EtOH	5.6 ⁻⁴ kg 1.9E ⁻³ kg 0.001 kg
<i>Emission to water</i> NaOH	0.50 kg
Ph₂SiH₂ (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output Ph ₂ SiH ₂	1 kg
Input <i>Input from technosphere</i> LAH Ph ₂ SiCl ₂ Et ₂ O	0.132 kg 1.472 kg 3.87 kg

chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
silicates	2.9E ⁻³ kg
aluminum	2E ⁻⁴ kg
Et ₂ O	6E ⁻⁴ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
Li-ion	9.6E ⁻² kg
Aluminum oxide	0.1773 kg
silicon	0.04 kg
ethyl chloroacetate (Yield: 98%)	Amount
Product output	
ethyl chloroacetate	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
chloroacetic acid	0.812 kg
EtOH, from ethylene	0.40 kg
H ₂ SO ₄	8E ⁻³ kg
toluene	2.5 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
chloroacetic acid	1.6 ⁻³ kg
ethanol	8E ⁻⁴ kg
toluene	5E ⁻³ kg
H ₂ SO ₄	1.7E ⁻⁵ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
chloroacetic acid	3.90 ⁻³ kg
ethanol	1.9E ⁻² kg
H ₂ SO ₄	8E ⁻³ kg
EPPA – ylide (Yield: 98%)	Amount
Product output	
EPPA (ylide)	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
PPh ₃	0.793 kg
ethyl chloroacetate	0.370 kg
toluene	2.754 kg
NaOH, 50% in H ₂ O	0.24 kg
water, deionised	3.18 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ

electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
phosphorus compounds	1.6 ⁻³ kg
ethyl acetate	7E ⁻⁴ kg
toluene	8.5E ⁻³ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
phosphate	1.44 ⁻² kg
LiAlH₄ – LAH (Yield: 97%)	Amount
Product output	
LAH	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
AlCl ₃	3.81 kg
LiH	0.91 kg
Et ₂ O	8.6 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Li	1.8E ⁻⁵ kg
Al	7.6E ⁻⁴ kg
Et ₂ O	1.7E ⁻³ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
aluminum oxide	0.112 kg
Li-ion	0.727 kg
LiH (Yield: 98%)	Amount
Product output	
LiH	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
Li	0.891 kg
H ₂	0.26 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Li	1.8E ⁻⁵ kg
H ₂	5.2E ⁻⁵ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
aluminum oxide	0.112 kg
Li-ion	0.727 kg
LiH (Yield: 98%)	Amount

Product output	
LiH	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
Li	0.891 kg
H ₂	0.26 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
Li	1.8E ⁻⁵ kg
H ₂	5.2E ⁻⁵ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
aluminum oxide	0.112 kg
Li-ion	0.727 kg
PhSiH₃ (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output	
PhSiH ₃	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
LAH	0.306 kg
PhSiCl ₃	1.472 kg
Et ₂ O	7.66 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part
<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
silicates	4.6E ⁻³ kg
aluminum	6E ⁻⁴ kg
Et ₂ O	1.5E ⁻² kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
Li-ion	0.22 kg
Aluminum oxide	0.411 kg
silicon	0.04 kg
PPh₃ (Yield: 95%)	Amount
Product output	
PPh ₃	1 kg
Input	
<i>Input from technosphere</i>	
PCl ₃	0.551 kg
PhCl ⁹	1.355 kg
Li	0.166 kg
toluene	3.5 kg
chemical plant	4.0E ⁻¹⁰ part

<i>Energy input</i>	
heat, natural gas, at industrial furnace	2 MJ
electricity, medium voltage	0.333 kWh
Emissions and waste	
<i>Emissions to air (0.2 wt% raw materials)</i>	
PCl ₃	1.1E ⁻³ kg
PhCl	2.7E ⁻³ kg
Toluene	7.0E ⁻³ kg
<i>Emission to water</i>	
phosphate	0.0191 kg
Li-ion	0.166

Calculations to determine maximum allow impact values of aldehyde in catalytic Wittig reactions.

$I_{cat.}$ = impact of aldehyde in catalytic reaction

$m_{cat.}$ = moles of aldehyde required per functional unit in catalytic reaction

$I_{clas.}$ = impact of aldehyde in classic reactions

$m_{clas.}$ = moles of aldehyde required per functional unit in classic reaction

Δ_{max}

= max. allowed difference between $I_{cat.}$ and $I_{clas.}$ in order to for the catalytic reaction to remain beneficial
= difference between impacts shown in Tables 3 and 4

$$\frac{I_{cat.}}{m_{cat.}} = \frac{I_{clas.}}{m_{clas.}}, \text{ thus } I_{clas.} = I_{cat.} \frac{m_{clas.}}{m_{cat.}}$$

$$\Delta_{max} = I_{cat.} - I_{clas.} = I_{cat.} - I_{cat.} \frac{m_{clas.}}{m_{cat.}} = I_{cat.} \left(1 - \frac{m_{clas.}}{m_{cat.}}\right), \text{ thus } I_{cat.} = \frac{\Delta_{max}}{\left(1 - \frac{m_{clas.}}{m_{cat.}}\right)}$$

$$m_{cat.} = 1.3; m_{clas.} = 1.1, \text{ thus } I_{cat.} \approx \frac{\Delta_{max}}{0.154}$$

The Δ_{max} can be obtained from Table 3 and 4 in this supporting information and with this the maximum allowed impact of the aldehyde (per functional unit, i.e. 1.3 mol aldehyde) in order to remain a beneficial catalytic reaction is determined.

Entry		CED (MJ-eq/mol olefin)		GHG emission (CO ₂ -eq/mol olefin)	
		Δ_{max}	$I_{cat.}$	Δ_{max}	$I_{cat.}$
1	PMHS	80	519	7.8	51
2	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	23	149	3.9	25
3	PhSiH ₃	27	175	4.2	27

References

- (1) (a) Capello, C.; Hellweg, S.; Hungerbuhler, K., *J. Ind. Ecol.* **2008**, 12, 111-127; (b) Capello, C.; Hellweg, S.; Badertscher, B.; Betschart, H.; Hungerbuhler, K., *J. Ind. Ecol.* **2007**, 11, 26-38.
- (2) Kroschwitz, J. I.; Seidel, A., *Kirk-Othmer encyclopedia of chemical technology*. 5th ed.; Wiley-Interscience: Hoboken, N.J., 2004.
- (3) In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, 5th ed.; Wiley-VCH.
- (4) Finholt, A. E.; Bond, A. C.; Schlesinger, H. I., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, 69, 1199-1203.

- (5) Benkeser, R. A.; Landesman, H.; Foster, D. J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 74, 648-650.
- (6) (a) Barry, A. J. (Dow Chemical Company) *Production of alkyl silicon halides*. US Patent 2,488,487, **1949**; (b) Brewer, S. J. (General Electric Company) *Peparation of phenyltrichlorosilane*. US Patent 2,594,860, **1952**.
- (7) Kutschera, D.; Riener, F.-X.; Schlueter, M. (Wacker Chemie AG) *Process for Preparing Chlorinated Carbonyl Compounds in Jet Loop Reactors*. US 2008/0114196 A1, **2008**.
- (8) Prange, U.; El Chahawi, M.; Vogt, W.; Richtzenhain, H. (Dynamit Nobel Aktiengesellschaft) *Method of Preparing Malonic Acid Dialkyl Esters*. US Patent 4,399,300, **1983**.
- (9) An adjustment was made for chlorobenzene as benzene recovery was not included in the data from the database. We corrected this by assuming a 95% recovery efficiency and maintaining the original allocation rules (mass based). This led to the requirement of 0.7935 kg benzene (instead of 6.39 kg) for the production of 1 kg chlorobenzene.