## SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Mathematical derivation of dissipative friction coefficients

Dissipated power $(P)$ released by ruptures of integrin receptor-ligand bonds is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\frac{d E}{d t}=-\boldsymbol{F}_{D, i}^{c} \cdot v_{i}^{c}=C_{c} \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{c}=C_{c}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{c}\right|^{2} . \tag{A-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider that there were $m$ ruptures over time $t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}$. The energy released at the $i$-th rupture that occurred at time $t_{i}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{i}=\frac{1}{2} k_{L R}\left(L_{b}\left(t_{i}\right)-\lambda\right)^{2} . \tag{A-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total energy released by the $m$ ruptures is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta E_{i}=\frac{1}{2} k_{L R} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(L_{b}\left(t_{i}\right)-\lambda\right)^{2} . \tag{A-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the velocity is constant over time $t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}$, we relate this energy release to the frictional dissipation coefficient:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{c}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{c}\right|^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)=\Delta E \\
& C_{c}=\frac{\Delta E}{\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{c}\right|^{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)} \tag{A-10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Supporting Figure Legends

Figure S1. Meshes of lumen models of diameters of A) $8.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and B) $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; all meshes have equilateral triangular element with a side length of $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Figure S2. A) Simulated trajectories of cell migrations along seven rectangular conduits with the identical height of $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and different widths of $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and 70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Cells are initially spherical. Ligand surface density is varied continuously from $1.25 \times 10^{3}$ molecules $/ \mu^{2}$ to $1.55 \times 10^{3}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{\mu m}^{2}$ over a longitudinal conduit length of $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The black lines indicate trajectories of nuclei for the first three hours, B) comparison of average cell migration speeds: the simulation model vs. experiment data by Irimia and Toner (S20). Average speed and standard error of mean $(\mathrm{N}=5$ ) are shown for the seven different channels, and C$)$. linear regression ( $R^{2}=0.719$ ) of simulated migration speed vs. experimental migration speed.

Figure S3. Steps of individual cell's migratory direction at the $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ tall channel with the width of 30 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ at times of A) $60 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{~B}) 90 \mathrm{~min}$, and C) 114 min .

Figure S4. Three different plug shaped cell migrations in narrowed lumens, whose diameters are A) $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, B) $8.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and C) $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; black arrows indicate the directions of cell migrations, and blue arrows represent tangential forces of stress fibers on the surface of lumens.
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