- 1 -

Highly Monodisperse Water-Dispersable Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications

Andreas Hofmann,^{a*} Steffen Thierbach,^a Annetta Semisch,^b Andrea Hartwig,^b Matthias

Taupitz,^c Eckart Rühl,^a and Christina Graf^{a*}

Supporting Information

1. Experimental details

a) The reaction schemes for the preparation of ligand (2) are shown in Figure 1-SI and Figure 2-SI.

Supplementary material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010



Figure 1-SI. Synthesis of the key intermediate 7.



Figure 2-SI. Synthesis of the hydroxamic acid 10.

Synthesis of 11-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)undecanoic acid (2): ¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 10.88 (br, 1H), 4.54 (br, 1H), 3.08 (m, 2H), 2.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.65-

1.58 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.37 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.34-1.20 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 179.3 (s), 156.0 (s), 79.0 (s), 40.6 (t), 34.0 (t), 30.0 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.24 (t), 29.16 (t), 29.1 (t), 29.0 (t), 28.4 (q, 3C), 26.7 (t), 24.7 (t).

Synthesis of benzyl-11-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)undecanoate (3): m.p. 31-32 °C. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 7.36-7.32 (m, 5H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 4.53 (br, 1H), 3.11-3.06 (m, 2H), 2.34 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.67-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.31-1.22 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 173.6 (s), 155.9 (s), 136.1 (s), 128.5 (d, 2C), 128.1 (d, 3C), 78.9 (s), 66.0 (t), 40.6 (t), 34.3 (t), 30.0 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 29.1 (t), 29.0 (t), 28.5 (q, 3C), 26.7 (t), 24.9 (t).

Synthesis of 11-[tert-butoxycarbonyl-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]undecanoic acid (4): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 10.63 (br, 1H), 3.62-3.50 (m, 10H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.34-3.26 (m, 2H), 3.20-3.14 (m, 2H), 2.27 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.62-1.54 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.38 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.31-1.14 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 179.4 (s), 178.8 (s), 79.3 (s), 71.8 (t), 70.5 (t), 70.4 (t), 70.3 (t), 69.5 (t), 58.9 (q), 47.9 (t), 46.6 (t), 34.2 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t, 3C), 29.1 (t), 29.0 (t), 28.4 (q, 3C), 26.7 (t), 24.8 (t).

Synthesisofbenzyl-11-[tert-butoxycarbonyl-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]undec-anoate (5): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm= 7.33-7.27 (m, 5H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 3.64-3.49 (m, 10H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.37-3.27 (m, 2H), 3.22-3.16 (m, 2H), 2.31 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.63-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.50-1.39 (m, 2H),1.29-1.19 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 173.7 (s), 155.5 (s), 136.0 (s),128.4 (d, 2C), 128.0 (d, 3C), 79.0 (s), 71.8 (t), 70.5 (t), 70.4 (t, 2C), 70.2 (t), 69.5 (t) 65.9 (t),58.9 (q), 48.2 (t), 46.6 (t), 34.3 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.2 (t, 2C), 29.1 (t), 29.0 (t), 28.3 (q, 3C), 26.7 (t), 24.9 (t). HR-MS (+ESI): m/z calculated for C₃₀H₅₁NNaO₇ ([M + Na]⁺): 560.3563. Found:560.3559.

Synthesis of benzyl 11-[2-[2-(2-methoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]ethylamino]undecanoate (6): ¹H-

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 7.35-7.28 (m, 5H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 3.64-3.52 (m, 10H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 2.76 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.71 (br, 1H), 1.65-1.58 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.42 (m, 2H), 1.33-1.15 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 173.6 (s), 136.1 (s), 128.5 (d, 2C), 128.1 (d, 3C), 71.9 (t), 70.6 (t), 70.5 (t), 70.4 (t), 70.2 (t), 66.0 (t), 59.0 (q), 50.0 (t), 49.3 (t), 34.3 (t), 30.1 (t), 29.5 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 29.0 (t), 27.3 (t), 24.9 (t).

Synthesis of benzyl 11-[(2-bromoacetyl)-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]undecanoate (7): ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 7.37-7.29 (m, 5H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 3.98 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 1H), 3.62-3.58 (m, 8H), 3.58-3.49 (m, 3H+2H), 3.38-3.29 (m, 3H), 3.362 + 3.359 (2 x s, 3H), 2.34 + 2.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.66-1.47 (m, 4H), 1.32-1.18 (m, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 173.59 (s), 173.55 (s), 176.3 (s), 166.5 (s), 136.0 (s, 2C), 128.4 (d, 4C), 128.1 (d, 6C), 71.84 (t), 71.81 (t), 70.74 (t), 70.53 (t, 2C), 70.45 (t), 70.43 (t), 70.38 (t), 68.78 (t), 68.75 (t), 66.0 (t, 2C), 59.0 (q, 2C), 50.1 (t), 48.4 (t), 46.26 (t), 46.20 (t), 34.2 (t, 2C), 29.4 (t, 2C), 29.24 (t, 2C), 29.22 (t, 2C), 29.1 (t, 2C), 29.00 (t), 28.99 (t), 27.3 (t), 27.1 (t), 26.70 (t, BrCH₂), 26.67 (t, BrCH₂), 26.3 (t), 24.84 (t), 24.82 (t). HR-MS (+ESI): m/z calculated for C₂₇H₄₄BrNNaO₆ ([M + Na]⁺): 580.2250. Found: 580.2251.

Synthesis of benzyl-11-[[2-[2-[3-(benzyloxycarbamoyl)-5-[2-[bis[2-[(11-benzyloxy-11-oxoundecyl)-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]-2-oxoethyl]amino]ethoxy]phenoxy]ethyl-[2-[(11-benzyloxy-11-oxo-undecyl)-[2-[2-(2methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]-2-oxo-ethyl]amino]acetyl]-[2-[2-(2methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]undecanoate (9): ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 10.71 (br, 1H), 7.45-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.23 (m, 23H), 7.10 (t, J = 13.1 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 5.07 (s, 8H), 5.01 (s, 2H), 4.15-4.08 (m, 4H), 3.72-3.58 (m, 8H), 3.65-3.51 (m, 24H), 3.51-3.43 (m, 24H), 3.31 (s, 6H), 3.29 (s, 6H), 3.34-3.23 (m, 8H), 3.12-3.03 (m, 4H), 2.30 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 8H), 1.63-1.55 (m, 8H), 1.52-1.42 (m, 8H), 1.28-1.16 (m, 48H). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ / ppm = 173.4 (s, 4C), 170.42 (s, 1C), 170.34 (s, 1C), 170.25 (s, 1C), 170.21 (s, 1C), 164.9 (s, 1C), 159.7 (s, 2C), 136.0 (s, 4C), 135.7 (s, 1C), 133.8 (s, 1C), 129.0 (d, 2C), 128.3+128.23+128.20+127.9 (d, 23C), 105.9 (d, 1C), 105.6 (d, 2C), 77.9 (t, 1C), 71.75 (t, 2C), 71.72 (t, 2C), 70.5+70.37+70.34+70.18 (t, 12C), 69.24 (t, 2C), 69.08 (t, 2C), 67.05 (t, 2C), 65.88 (t, 4C), 58.83 (q, 2C), 58.77 (q, 2C), 56.3 (t, 1C), 56.2 (t, 1C), 55.72 (t, 1C), 55.70 (t, 1C), 53.3 (t, 2C), 48.4 (t, 2C), 46.7 (t, 2C), 46.3 (t, 2C), 45.6 (t, 2C), 34.1 (t, 4C), 29.41+29.37+29.27+29.21+29.06+28.94 (t, 20C), 28.76 (t, 2C), 27.51 (t, 2C), 26.93 (t, 2C), 26.73 (t, 2C), 24.8 (t, 4C). HR-MS (+ESI): m/z calculated for C₁₂₆H₁₉₆N₇O₂₈ ([M + H]⁺): 2256.4156. Found: 2256.4147. HR-MS (+ESI): m/z calculated for C₁₂₆H₁₉₅N₇Na₂O₂₈ ([M + 2Na]²⁺): 1150.6934. Found: 1150.6896.

Synthesis of 11-[[2-[2-[3-[2-[bis[2-[(11-hydroxy-11-oxo-undecyl)-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)-ethoxy]-ethyl]-amino]-2-oxo-ethyl]amino]ethoxy]-5-(hydroxycarbamoyl)phenoxy]ethyl-[2-[(11-hydroxy-11-oxo-undecyl)-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]-2-oxoethyl]amino]acetyl]-[2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]amino]undecanoic acid (10): $¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/DMSO-d₆): <math>\delta$ / ppm = 8.9 (br s, 1H), 6.96 (br s, 2H), 6.36 (br s, 1H), 4.00-3.80 (m, 4H), 3.65-3.25 (m, 48H), 3.25-3.05 (m, 12H+8H), 3.05-2.85 (m, 4H), 2.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 8H), 1.49-1.29 (m, 8H+8H), 1.28-0.95 (m, 48H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/DMSO-d₆): δ / ppm = 176.4 (s, 4C), 170.55 (s, 1C), 170.48 (s, 1C), 170.40 (s, 1C), 170.30 (s, 1C), 164.3 (s, 1C), 159.8 (s, 2C), 133.8 (s, 1C), 105.9 (d, 1C), 105.6 (d, 2C), 71.4 (t, 4C), 70.4+70.1+70.34+70.05+70.00+69.9 (t, 12C), 68.9 (t, 2C), 68.7 (t, 2C), 66.6 (t, 2C), 58.52 (q, 2C), 58.49 (q, 2C), 56.1 (t, 1C), 55.9 (t, 1C), 55.44 (t, 1C), 55.36 (t, 1C), 52.9 (t, 2C), 48.1 (t, 2C), 46.5 (t, 2C), 46.0 (t, 2C), 45.4 (t, 2C), 33.8 (t, 4C), 29.9+29.0+28.9+28.8+28.6 (t, 20C), 28.4 (t, 2C), 27.1 (t, 2C), 26.6 (t, 2C), 26.4 (t, 2C), 24.5 (t, 4C). **HR-MS (+ESI)**: m/z calculated for $C_{91}H_{167}N_7O_{28}$ ([M + 2H]²⁺): 903.5940. Found: 903.5912. **HR-MS (+ESI)**: m/z calculated for $C_{91}H_{166}N_7NaO_{28}$ ([M + H + Na]²⁺): 914.5850. Found: 914.5797.

b) Materials: The solvents (CHCl₃, DMF, Et₂O, ethyl acetate, hexane, methanol, THF) were purchased in technical quality, purified by distillation, and dried according to standard procedures¹ if mentioned as dry solvent. 11-Aminoundecanoic acid (Fluka, 98%), benzyl bromide (Acros, 98%), bromoacetyl bromide (Fluka, 98%), Di-tert-butyldicarbonate (ABCR, 97%), DMEM and DMEM/FCS (Sigma-Aldrich), HCl_{aq} (p.a.), Et₃N (Acros, 99%), NaCl (Grüssing, 99.5%), NaH (Adrich, 60% in mineral oil), NaHCO₃ (Fluka, 99.5%), Na₂SO₄ (Fluka, 99%), tetrabutylammonium iodide (Fluka, 99%), trifluoroacetic acid (Acros, 99%), Pd/C (Fluka, 10% Pd), ^{*i*}Pr₂NEt (ABCR, 99%).

The preparation of compound $\mathbf{8}$ is described in reference ².

2. Spectroscopic results

a) An infrared spectrum of the oleic acid protected iron oxide nanoparticles is shown in **Figure 3-SI**. The IR vibrations of the iron oxide nanocrystals with oleic acid as well as those of the nanoparticles functionalized with the ligands L-1 to L-5 are presented in **Table SI-1**.

Supplementary material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010



Figure 3-SI. Infrared spectrum of the oleic acid capped Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (NP-OA).

It cannot be concluded without any ambiguity if the double bond in oleic acid is stable under the experimental conditions. Considering the high temperatures during the growth process saturation of the molecule cannot be fully excluded. A similar discussion was given by Willis et al. for maghemite particles, which were synthesized from iron pentacarbonyl and oleic acid at $350 \,^{\circ}\text{C.}^{3}$

sample	wave number [cm ⁻¹]	explanation
NP-OA	3004	v _{vinyl} C-H (free oleic acid)
	2954	v _{as} CH ₃
	2925	v _a CH ₂
	2855	v _s CH ₂
	1711	v C-O (free oleic acid)
	1466	δ_s C-H
	1377	$\delta_s CH_3$
	966	δ_{oop} C=C (free oleic acid)

Table SI-1. IR vibrations of the iron oxide nanocrystals.⁴⁻¹⁰

Supplementary material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010 - 2

8	-
0	-

	722	r CH ₂
NP-1, NP-2, NP-3	1726	carboxylic acid (NP-2 and NP-3)
	1654-1650	Amid-I (v C=O; v C-N)
	1580-1500	Amid-II (ν C=O; ν C-N; δ N-H)
	1340-1330	Amid-III (δ N-H rocking)
	1200-1050	alkyl ether
	1170	v _{as} C-O-C
	1110	v _{as} C-O-C
	985	$\gamma_{\rm oop}$ C-H
NP-4	1700	carboxylic acid functionality
	1255	v _{as} C-O-C
	1250	$\delta_{as,s}$ C-H (aryl ether)
	1022	v _{as} C-O-C
NP-5	1706	carboxylic acid functionality
	1583	hydroxamic acid group
	1524	hydroxamic acid group
	1428	hydroxamic acid group

b) The time dependence of the absorption spectra of sample NP-3 in 0.05 M HCl solution is shown in Figure 4-SI.



Figure 4-SI. The time dependence of the measured absorption spectra is shown for NP-3 in 0.05 M HCl solution. A lamp change in the spectrometer at 380 nm is causing a step in all spectra.

c) The Raman spectrum of the oleic acid protected iron oxide nanoparticles is compared to the spectra of sample NP-3 and magnetite (bulk) in **Figure 5-SI**.

Supplementary material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2010 - 10 -





Figure 5-SI. Raman spectra of the oleic acid capped iron oxide particles (NP-OA) and of iron oxide particles after a ligand exchange reaction with ligand L-3 (see Figure 1, NP-3). A Raman spectrum of pure bulk magnetite (Fe₃O₄) is included for a comparison. The dominant features are assigned to the spectra as described in ref. 64 in the article for bulk magnetite.

References

- 1. Gattermann, L.; Wieland, T., Die Praxis des organischen Chemikers. de Gruyter: Berlin, 1982.
- 2. Hofmann, A.; Graf, C.; Kung, S.-H.; Kim, M.; Peng, X.; El-Aama, R.; E. Rühl, Synthesis 2010, accepted for publication.
- 3. Willis, A. L.; Turro, N. J.; O'Brien, S., Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 5970.
- C. Fang, N. Bhattarai, C. Sun and M. Zhang, Small, 2009, 5, 1637. 4.
- A. L. Willis, N. J. Turro and S. O'Brien, Chem. Mater. , 2005, 17, 5970. 5.
- C. Yee, G. Kataby, A. Ulman, T. Prozorov, H. White, A. King, M. Rafailovich, J. 6. Sokolov and A. Gedanken, Langmuir 1999, 15, 7111.
- E. Tombacz, D. Bica, A. Hajdu, E. Illes, A. Majzik and L. Vakas, J. Phys.: Condens. 7. Matter, 2008, 20, 204103.
- 8. L. M. Bronstein, X. Huang, J. Retrum, A. Schmucker, M. Pink, B. D. Stein and B. Dragnea, Chem. Mater. , 2007, 19, 3624.
- Y. Lu and J. D. Miller, J. Colloid. Interface Sci., 2002, 256, 41. 9.
- H. Günzler and H.-U. Gremlich, IR-Spektroskopie, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (Germany) 10. 2003.