Electronic Supporting Information

Superhydrophobic supported Ag-NPs@ZnO-Nanorods with photoactivity in the visible.

Manuel Macias-Montero, Ana Borras,* Zineb Saghi, Pablo Romero-Gomez, Juan R. Sanchez-Valencia, Juan C. Gonzalez-Gonzalez, Angel Barranco, Paul Midgley, Jose Cotrino and Agustin R. Gonzalez-Elipe



Figure S1. Heterostructured Ag/Si(100) substrate after the heating pretreatment at 135 °C in O_2 atmosphere at 4 x 10⁻³ Torr during 60 minutes.



Figure S2. STEM micrograph of several Ag-NPs@ZnO NWs showing a silver nanoparticle on the top of one of the nanowires.

Water contact modelling.

In the present case, the water contact angle (WCA) of a surface formed by a high density of Ag-NPs@ZnO-NWs (Fig. 1 e) is higher than > 150° (i.e., a superhydrophobic behaviour, see insets in Figure 4). Since the WCA of a rather flat ZnO reference thin film (deposited in the same conditions on a Si(100) substrate) is ~ 110°, we can relate the superhydrophobicity found for the NW surfaces with the number of NWs per unit area, their length and diameter.^[1, 2] With such purpose we have evaluated the application to our system of two classic models: the Wenzel Model, which main parameter is the roughness of the surface (Eq. 1) and the Cassie-Baxter Model taking into account the effect of air trapped in the porous structure of the NWs film (Eq. 2).^[2-3]

$$\cos\theta' = r\cos\theta \quad (1)$$
$$\cos\theta' = -1 + \phi_{\rm S}(1 + \cos\theta) \quad (2)$$

Where θ' is the actual contact angle, θ the contact angle of the equivalent flat and compact surface, *r* the roughness factor and ϕ_s the fraction of solid in contact with the liquid. In order to calculate the roughness of our system we have modelled the individual nanowire as a circular paraboloid of height h = 860 nm and radius of the basis $r_0 = 34.5$ nm (see Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Schematic of the Ag-NPs@ZnO-NWs, where r_0 is the radius of the NW basis, h the total length of the NW and h_W the length of the in contact with the micrometric droplet.

Thus, the area of a single Ag-NPs@ZnO NW is ca. $A_{NW} = 1.245 \times 10^5 \text{ nm}^2$. The roughness factor *r* is given by the ratio between the total and geometrical areas: applied

to a 1 μ m x 1 μ m surface, the total area (A_{total}) corresponds to the relation established in Eq. 3.

$$A_{total} = 1 \times 10^6 nm^2 + N \cdot A_{NW} - N \cdot \pi r_0^2 \qquad (3)$$

Where N addresses the number of wires per μ m². For the surface shown at Fig. 1 N is ~70 NW μ m⁻², therefore $r = A_{total}/A_{geometric} = 9.45$. Such a high value of roughness factor yields an unreal value of equivalent contact angle (θ) in Eq. 1 when θ ~150°. On other hand, it is well known that for a high roughness surface the air trapped in the system plays a key role in the contact angle.^[3] Since the contact angle of the water in air is 180°, the contact angle of a rough surface with open porous structure is expected to be higher than the corresponding to the equivalent compact and flat material. Thus, the Cassie-Baxter model might be the more appropriated to describe the NWs-film surface. The factor ϕ_{s} in Eq. 2 indicates the solid fraction in contact with the drop. In a simple approximation the drop in contact with the surface follows the profile depicted in Scheme 1, i.e. the water contact line with the NW does not reach the substrate. ϕ_{s} is related through Eq. 4 to the NWs parameters (h, r₀), density (N) and the length of the NW in contact with the water drop (h_w).

$$\phi_{s} = \frac{\pi a / 6((a^{2} + 4h_{w})^{3/2} - a^{3})}{1\mu m^{2} - N\pi r_{0}^{2} + \pi a / 6((a^{2} + 4h)^{3/2} - a^{3})} \text{ with } a = \sqrt{\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{h^{2}}}$$
(4)

 $\phi_s = 0.2036$ when $\theta' = 150^\circ$ and $\theta = 100^\circ$ in Eq. 2. Consequently, h_W can be calculated from Eq. 4 with a result ~ 300 nm. In summary, for the surface formed by a high density of Ag-NPs@ZnO-NWs the micrometric water drops wet the NWs approximately a third part of their length.

- 1 A. Borras, A. Barranco and A. R. Gonzalez-Elipe, Langmuir, 2008, 24, 8021.
- 2 A. Borras and A. R. Gonzalez-Elipe, Langmuir, 2010, 20, 15875.
- 3 A. Lafuma and D. Quere, Nature Mater., 2003, 2, 457.