Supporting Information

High-Performance Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Based on Hierarchical Yolk-Shell Anatase TiO₂ Beads

Jin-Yun Liao, Hai-Peng Lin, Hong-Yan Chen, Dai-Bin Kuang,* and Cheng-Yong Su

MOE Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic and Synthetic Chemistry, KLGHEI of Environment and Energy Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China. E-mail: kuangdb@mail.sysu.edu.cn



Fig. S1. FE-SEM images of hierarchical yolk-shell TiO_2 beads prepared at 160 °C for 12 h. There is a small interstitial space between yolk and shell (a), and the typical shells separated from the yolk-shell beads (b and c).



Fig. S2 Electron microscopy (TEM and SEM) images of hierarchical TiO_2 beads prepared at 160 °C for 3 h (a-c) and 6 h (d-f).



Fig. S3 FTIR curves of the sample prepared via a hydrothermal reaction at 160 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h (red curve) and annealed sample at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h (black curve).



Fig. S4 TG curve of the as-prepared sample (Sample-160).



Fig. S5 FE-SEM images of hierarchical mesoporous yolk-shell TiO₂ beads prepared at different hydrothermal reaction temperatures for 12 h. (a) 100 °C; (b) 120 °C; (c) 140 °C and (d) 180 °C.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of hierarchical yolk-shell TiO_2 beads prepared at different hydrothermal reaction temperatures for 12 h.



Fig. S7 Diffused reflectance spectra of Sample-160 and P25 films with similar thickness of around 15 μ m.



Fig. S8 Charge collection efficiencies measured at different light intensities for P25 and Sample-160 based DSSCs.



Fig. S9 Comparison of electron diffusion length at different light intensities for P25 and Sample-160 based cells.