Electronic Supplementary Information

Controllable Synthesis of Brookite/Anatase/Rutile TiO₂ Nanocomposites and Single-crystalline Rutile Nanorods Array

Yulong Liao,^{*a,b*} Wenxiu Que,^{*a,b*} Qiaoying Jia,^{*a,b*} Yucheng He,^{*a,b*} Jin Zhang^{*a,b*} and Peng Zhong^{*a,b*}

^a Electronic Materials Research Laboratory, School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong

University, Xi'an 710049, Shaanxi, People's Republic of China

^b International Center for Dielectric Research, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, Shaanxi, China

* To whom correspondence should be addressed, E-mail: wxque@mail.xjtu.edu.cn



Figure S1. FESEM images of oriented rutile TiO₂ nanorod film grown on FTO substrate in 10.0 mL of toluene, 1.0

mL of hydrochloric acid, and 1 mL of TOBT at 180 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 20 h.



Figure S2. Relative components of anatase, brookite, rutile phase within all the as-prepared TiO_2 powders; labels T1~T7 corresponding to the sample No. as given in Table 1.



Figure S3. TEM images of the sample T7 (> 90% rutile), indicating the spherical aggregates consist of close-packed

rutile nanorods.



Figure S4. Typical FTIR spectra of the powders synthesized with various reagent ingredients.



Figure S5. Absorption spectra of the MO aqueous solution (20 mg/L) under visible-light irradiation (λ >420 nm, obtained from a 300 W high-pressure mercury lamp with colored glass filter) in the presence of the TiO₂ nanocomposites (0.3g/L).

Discussion:

Although the TOC results have already proved the photo-catalytically decompounding of MO by TiO₂, to exclude the self-sensitization of the dye, we also tested the solution containing both MO and TiO₂ under visible-light irradiation. Known that TiO₂ can not absorb visible light photons (down to λ >385 nm), the photo-degradation observed should be mainly attributed to the electron transfer from the adsorbed dye in its singlet excited state to the conduction band of TiO₂. As shown in Figure S5, the absorption spectra of the tested solution only show little change after 70 min irradiation, which indicates that the electron injection from photoexcited MO molecules to TiO₂ is poor and thus neglectable. This testing excludes the influence of the photoabsorption/photoexcitation by MO. Therefore, the UV-light-induced degradation of MO in this work can be attributed to the photocatalytic activity of the TiO₂ catalysts.



Figure S6. TEM images of (a) the sample T2 and (b) the commercial Degussa P-25 powders.



Figure S7. Calculated band structure plots for (a) brookite, (b) anatase, (c) rutile.



Figure S8. Total density of states (DOS) and the projected density of states (PDOS) of brookite, anatase, and rutile.